# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Package: semTools (via r-universe)

September 13, 2024

Encoding UTF-8

Version 0.5-6.941

Title Useful Tools for Structural Equation Modeling

Description Provides tools for structural equation modeling, many of which extend the 'lavaan' package; for example, to pool results from multiple imputations, probe latent interactions, or test measurement invariance.

**Depends**  $R$ ( $>= 4.0$ ), lavaan( $>= 0.6-12$ ), methods

Imports graphics, stats, utils

Suggests Amelia, blavaan, emmeans, lavaan.mi, MASS, mice, mnormt, parallel, pbivnorm, testthat

License GPL  $(>= 2)$ 

LazyData yes

LazyLoad yes

URL <https://github.com/simsem/semTools/wiki>

BugReports <https://github.com/simsem/semTools/issues>

Date/Publication 2024-06-25

RoxygenNote 7.3.1

Roxygen list(old\_usage=TRUE, markdown=TRUE)

Repository https://simsem.r-universe.dev

RemoteUrl https://github.com/simsem/semtools

RemoteRef HEAD

RemoteSha 8c35480e9f86e869a81a1b801e18fe5a15ce9e71

## **Contents**





#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>auxiliary 3000 and 2000 and 20



#### **Index** the contract of the co

auxiliary *Implement Saturated Correlates with FIML*

## Description

Automatically add auxiliary variables to a lavaan model when using full information maximum likelihood (FIML) to handle missing data

#### Usage

```
auxiliary(model, data, aux, fun, ..., envir = getNamespace("lavaan"),
  return.syntax = FALSE)
lavaan.auxiliary(model, data, aux, ..., envir = getNamespace("lavaan"))
cfa.auxiliary(model, data, aux, ..., envir = getNamespace("lavaan"))
sem.auxiliary(model, data, aux, ..., envir = getNamespace("lavaan"))
growth.auxiliary(model, data, aux, ..., envir = getNamespace("lavaan"))
```
## Arguments

model The analysis model can be specified with 1 of 2 objects:

1. lavaan [lavaan::model.syntax\(\)](#page-0-0) specifying a hypothesized model *without* mention of auxiliary variables in aux



## Details

These functions are wrappers around the corresponding lavaan functions. You can use them the same way you use [lavaan::lavaan\(\)](#page-0-0), but you *must* pass your full data.frame to the data argument. Because the saturated-correlates approaches (Enders, 2008) treats exogenous variables as random, fixed.x must be set to FALSE. Because FIML requires continuous data (although nonnormality corrections can still be requested), no variables in the model nor auxiliary variables specified in aux can be declared as ordered.

## Value

a fitted [lavaan](#page-0-0) object. Additional information is stored as a list in the @external slot:

- baseline.model. a fitted [lavaan](#page-0-0) object. Results of fitting an appropriate independence model for the calculation of incremental fit indices (e.g., CFI, TLI) in which the auxiliary variables remain saturated, so only the target variables are constrained to be orthogonal. See Examples for how to send this baseline model to [lavaan::fitMeasures\(\)](#page-0-0).
- aux. The character vector of auxiliary variable names.
- baseline.syntax. A character vector generated within the auxiliary function, specifying the baseline.model syntax.

#### Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

#### References

Enders, C. K. (2008). A note on the use of missing auxiliary variables in full information maximum likelihood-based structural equation models. *Structural Equation Modeling, 15*(3), 434–448. [doi:10.1080/10705510802154307](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705510802154307)

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span> $AVE$  5

## Examples

```
dat1 <- lavaan::HolzingerSwineford1939
set.seed(12345)
dat1$z <- rnorm(nrow(dat1))
dat1$x5 <- ifelse(dat1$z < quantile(dat1$z, .3), NA, dat1$x5)
dat1$x9 <- ifelse(dat1$z > quantile(dat1$z, .8), NA, dat1$x9)
targetModel <- "
 visual = x1 + x2 + x3textual =~x4 + x5 + x6speed = x7 + x8 + x9"
## works just like cfa(), but with an extra "aux" argument
fitaux1 <- cfa.auxiliary(targetModel, data = dat1, aux = "z",
                        missing = "film1", estimator = "mlr")## with multiple auxiliary variables and multiple groups
fitaux2 <- cfa.auxiliary(targetModel, data = dat1, aux = c("z","ageyr","grade"),
                        group = "school", group.equal = "loadings")
## calculate correct incremental fit indices (e.g., CFI, TLI)
fitMeasures(fitaux2, fit.measures = c("cfi","tli"))
## NOTE: lavaan will use the internally stored baseline model, which
## is the independence model plus saturated auxiliary parameters
lavInspect(fitaux2@external$baseline.model, "free")
```
AVE *Calculate average variance extracted*

## Description

Calculate average variance extracted (AVE) per factor from lavaan object

#### Usage

```
AVE(object, obs.var = TRUE, omit.imps = c("no.conv", "no.se"),
  omit.factors = character(0), dropSingle = TRUE, return.df = TRUE)
```
#### Arguments





#### Details

The average variance extracted (AVE) can be calculated by

$$
AVE = \frac{\mathbf{1}' \text{diag} (\Lambda \Psi \Lambda') \mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1}' \text{diag} (\hat{\Sigma}) \mathbf{1}},
$$

Note that this formula is modified from Fornell & Larcker (1981) in the case that factor variances are not 1. The proposed formula from Fornell & Larcker (1981) assumes that the factor variances are 1. Note that AVE will not be provided for factors consisting of items with dual loadings. AVE is the property of items but not the property of factors. AVE is calculated with polychoric correlations when ordinal indicators are used.

## Value

numeric vector of average variance extracted from indicators per factor. For models with multiple "blocks" (any combination of groups and levels), vectors may be returned as columns in a data.frame with additional columns indicating the group/level (see return.df= argument description for caveat).

## Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

#### $AVE$  7

## References

Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement errors. *Journal of Marketing Research, 18*(1), 39–50. [doi:10.2307/](https://doi.org/10.2307/3151312) [3151312](https://doi.org/10.2307/3151312)

## See Also

[compRelSEM\(\)](#page-17-1) for composite reliability estimates

#### Examples

```
data(HolzingerSwineford1939)
HS9 <- HolzingerSwineford1939[ , c("x7","x8","x9")]
HSbinary <- as.data.frame( lapply(HS9, cut, 2, labels=FALSE) )
names(HSbinary) <- c("y7","y8","y9")
HS <- cbind(HolzingerSwineford1939, HSbinary)
HS.model \leq ' visual = \times x1 + x2 + x3
              textual = x4 + x5 + x6speed = \times y7 + y8 + y9 '
fit \leq cfa(HS.model, data = HS, ordered = c("y7","y8","y9"), std.lv = TRUE)
## works for factors with exclusively continuous OR categorical indicators
AVE(fit) # uses observed (or unconstrained polychoric/polyserial) by default
AVE(fit, obs.var = FALSE)
## works for multigroup models and for multilevel models (and both)
data(Demo.twolevel)
## assign clusters to arbitrary groups
Demo.twolevel$g <- ifelse(Demo.twolevel$cluster %% 2L, "type1", "type2")
model2 <- ' group: type1
  level: within
    fac =~ y1 + L2*y2 + L3*y3level: between
    fac =~ y1 + L2*y2 + L3*y3group: type2
  level: within
   fac =~ y1 + L2*y2 + L3*y3level: between
   fac =~ y1 + L2*y2 + L3*y3'fit2 <- sem(model2, data = Demo.twolevel, cluster = "cluster", group = "g")
AVE(fit2)
```
<span id="page-7-1"></span><span id="page-7-0"></span>

This class contains the results of Bollen-Stine bootstrap with missing data.

#### Usage

```
## S4 method for signature 'BootMiss'
show(object)
## S4 method for signature 'BootMiss'
summary(object)
## S4 method for signature 'BootMiss'
hist(x, ..., alpha = 0.05, nd = 2,
 printLegend = TRUE, legendArgs = list(x = "topleft"))
```
## Arguments



## Value

The hist method returns a list of length == 2, containing the arguments for the call to hist and the arguments to the call for legend, respectively.

#### Slots

- time A list containing 2 difftime objects (transform and fit), indicating the time elapsed for data transformation and for fitting the model to bootstrap data sets, respectively.
- transData Transformed data

bootDist The vector of  $chi^2$  values from bootstrap data sets fitted by the target model

origChi The  $chi^2$  value from the original data set

df The degree of freedom of the model

bootP The  $p$  value comparing the original  $chi^2$  with the bootstrap distribution

#### <span id="page-8-0"></span>bsBootMiss 9

## Objects from the Class

Objects can be created via the [bsBootMiss\(\)](#page-8-1) function.

### Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

#### See Also

[bsBootMiss\(\)](#page-8-1)

#### Examples

# See the example from the bsBootMiss function

<span id="page-8-1"></span>bsBootMiss *Bollen-Stine Bootstrap with the Existence of Missing Data*

## Description

Implement the Bollen and Stine's (1992) Bootstrap when missing observations exist. The implemented method is proposed by Savalei and Yuan (2009). This can be used in two ways. The first and easiest option is to fit the model to incomplete data in lavaan using the FIML estimator, then pass that lavaan object to bsBootMiss.

#### Usage

```
bsBootMiss(x, transformation = 2, nBoot = 500, model, rawData, Sigma, Mu,
  group, ChiSquared, EMcov, writeTransData = FALSE, transDataOnly = FALSE,
 writeBootData = FALSE, bootSamplesOnly = FALSE, writeArgs, seed = NULL,
  suppressWarn = TRUE, showProgress = TRUE, ...)
```
#### Arguments





## Details

The second is designed for users of other software packages (e.g., LISREL, EQS, Amos, or Mplus). Users can import their data,  $\chi^2$  value, and model-implied moments from another package, and they have the option of saving (or writing to a file) either the transformed data or bootstrapped samples of that data, which can be analyzed in other programs. In order to analyze the bootstrapped samples and return a *p* value, users of other programs must still specify their model using lavaan syntax.

#### Value

As a default, this function returns a [BootMiss](#page-7-1) object containing the results of the bootstrap samples. Use show, summary, or hist to examine the results. Optionally, the transformed data set is returned if transDataOnly = TRUE. Optionally, the bootstrap data sets are returned if bootSamplesOnly = TRUE.

## Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>) Syntax for transformations borrowed from http://www2.psych.ubc.ca/~vsavalei/

#### <span id="page-10-0"></span>chisqSmallN 11

#### References

Bollen, K. A., & Stine, R. A. (1992). Bootstrapping goodness-of-fit measures in structural equation models. *Sociological Methods & Research, 21*(2), 205–229. [doi:10.1177/0049124192021002004](https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124192021002004)

Savalei, V., & Yuan, K.-H. (2009). On the model-based bootstrap with missing data: Obtaining a p-value for a test of exact fit. *Multivariate Behavioral Research, 44*(6), 741–763. [doi:10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/00273170903333590) [00273170903333590](https://doi.org/10.1080/00273170903333590)

#### See Also

**[BootMiss](#page-7-1)** 

#### Examples

```
## Not run:
dat1 <- HolzingerSwineford1939
dat1$x5 <- ifelse(dat1$x1 <= quantile(dat1$x1, .3), NA, dat1$x5)
dat1$x9 <- ifelse(is.na(dat1$x5), NA, dat1$x9)
targetModel <- "
visual = x1 + x2 + x3textual = x4 + x5 + x6speed = x7 + x8 + x9"
targetFit <- sem(targetModel, dat1, meanstructure = TRUE, std.lv = TRUE,
                 missing = "fiml", group = "school")
summary(targetFit, fit = TRUE, standardized = TRUE)
# The number of bootstrap samples should be much higher.
temp <- bsBootMiss(targetFit, transformation = 1, nBoot = 10, seed = 31415)
temp
summary(temp)
hist(temp)
hist(temp, printLegend = FALSE) # suppress the legend
## user can specify alpha level (default: alpha = 0.05), and the number of
## digits to display (default: nd = 2). Pass other arguments to hist(...),
## or a list of arguments to legend() via "legendArgs"
hist(temp, alpha = .01, nd = 3, xlab = "something else", breaks = 25,
     legendArgs = list("bottomleft", box.lty = 2))
## End(Not run)
```
chisqSmallN *Small-*N *correction for* chiˆ2 *test statistic*

#### **Description**

Calculate small-*N* corrections for  $chi^2$  model-fit test statistic to adjust for small sample size (relative to model size).

#### Usage

```
chisqSmallN(fit0, fit1 = NULL, smallN.method = if (is.null(fit1))
  c("swain", "yuan.2015") else "yuan.2005", ..., omit.imps = c("no.conv",
  "no.se"))
```
#### Arguments



#### Details

Four finite-sample adjustments to the chi-squared statistic are currently available, all of which are described in Shi et al. (2018). These all assume normally distributed data, and may not work well with severely nonnormal data. Deng et al. (2018, section 4) review proposed small-*N* adjustments that do not assume normality, which rarely show promise, so they are not implemented here. This function currently will apply small-*N* adjustments to scaled test statistics with a warning that they do not perform well (Deng et al., 2018).

### Value

A list of numeric vectors: one for the originally requested statistic(s), along with one per requested smallN.method. All include the the (un)adjusted test statistic, its *df*, and the *p* value for the test under the null hypothesis that the model fits perfectly (or that the 2 models have equivalent fit). The adjusted chi-squared statistic(s) also include(s) the scaling factor for the small-*N* adjustment.

#### Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

## References

Deng, L., Yang, M., & Marcoulides, K. M. (2018). Structural equation modeling with many variables: A systematic review of issues and developments. *Frontiers in Psychology, 9*, 580. [doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00580](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00580)

Shi, D., Lee, T., & Terry, R. A. (2018). Revisiting the model size effect in structural equation modeling. *Structural Equation Modeling, 25*(1), 21–40. [doi:10.1080/10705511.2017.1369088](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2017.1369088)

#### <span id="page-12-0"></span>clipboard to the control of the control of

## Examples

```
HS.model <- '
   visual =  x1 + b1*x2 + x3textual = x4 + b2*x5 + x6speed = x7 + b3*x8 + x9fit1 <- cfa(HS.model, data = HolzingerSwineford1939[1:50,])
## test a single model (implicitly compared to a saturated model)
chisqSmallN(fit1)
## fit a more constrained model
fit0 <- cfa(HS.model, data = HolzingerSwineford1939[1:50,],
           orthogonal = TRUE)
## compare 2 models
chisqSmallN(fit1, fit0)
```
<span id="page-12-1"></span>

*Copy or save the result of lavaan or* FitDiff *objects into a clipboard or a file*

## Description

Copy or save the result of lavaan or [FitDiff](#page-38-1) object into a clipboard or a file. From the clipboard, users may paste the result into the Microsoft Excel or spreadsheet application to create a table of the output.

## Usage

```
clipboard(object, what = "summary", ...)
saveFile(object, file, what = "summary", tableFormat = FALSE,
  fit.measures = "default", writeArgs = list(), ...)
```
#### Arguments



14 clipboard and the clipboard of the clipboard and the clipboard of the clipboard of



## Value

The resulting output will be saved into a clipboard or a file. If using the clipboard function, users may paste it in the other applications.

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

#### Examples

```
## Not run:
library(lavaan)
HW.model \leq ' visual = \times x1 + c1*x2 + x3
             textual = x4 + c1*x5 + x6speed = x7 + x8 + x9fit <- cfa(HW.model, data = HolzingerSwineford1939, group = "school")
# Copy the summary of the lavaan object
clipboard(fit)
# pass additional arguments to summary() method for class?lavaan
clipboard(fit, rsquare = TRUE, standardized = TRUE, fit.measures = TRUE)
# Copy modification indices and fit stats from the miPowerFit() function
clipboard(fit, "mifit")
# Copy the parameter estimates
clipboard(fit, "coef")
# Copy the standard errors
clipboard(fit, "se")
# Copy the sample statistics
clipboard(fit, "samp")
# Copy the fit measures
clipboard(fit, "fit")
# Save the summary of the lavaan object
saveFile(fit, "out.txt")
# Save modification indices and fit stats from the miPowerFit() function
saveFile(fit, "out.txt", "mifit")
# Save the parameter estimates
```
## <span id="page-14-0"></span>combinequark 15

```
saveFile(fit, "out.txt", "coef")
# Save the standard errors
saveFile(fit, "out.txt", "se")
# Save the sample statistics
saveFile(fit, "out.txt", "samp")
# Save the fit measures
saveFile(fit, "out.txt", "fit")
## End(Not run)
```
#### combinequark *Combine the results from the quark function*

#### Description

This function builds upon the [quark\(\)](#page-149-1) function to provide a final dataset comprised of the original dataset provided to [quark\(\)](#page-149-1) and enough principal components to be able to account for a certain level of variance in the data.

#### Usage

```
combinequark(quark, percent)
```
## Arguments



## Value

The output of this function is the original dataset used in quark combined with enough principal component scores to be able to account for the amount of variance that was requested.

## Author(s)

Steven R. Chesnut (University of Southern Mississippi <Steven.Chesnut@usm.edu>)

## See Also

[quark\(\)](#page-149-1)

## Examples

```
set.seed(123321)
dat <- HolzingerSwineford1939[,7:15]
misspat <- matrix(runif(nrow(dat) * 9) < 0.3, nrow(dat))
dat[misspat] <- NA
dat <- cbind(HolzingerSwineford1939[,1:3], dat)
quark.list \leq quark(data = dat, id = c(1, 2))
final.data <- combinequark(quark = quark.list, percent = 80)
```

```
compareFit Build an object summarizing fit indices across multiple models
```
## Description

This function will create the template to compare fit indices across multiple fitted lavaan objects. The results can be exported to a clipboard or a file later.

## Usage

```
compareFit..., nested = TRUE, argsLRT = list(), indices = TRUE,
 moreIndices = FALSE, baseline.model = NULL, nPrior = 1)
```
## Arguments



<span id="page-15-0"></span>

#### compareFit 17

#### Value

A [FitDiff](#page-38-1) object that saves model fit comparisons across multiple models. If the models are not nested, only fit indices for each model are returned. If the models are nested, the differences in fit indices are additionally returned, as well as test statistics comparing each sequential pair of models (ordered by their degrees of freedom).

## Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### See Also

[FitDiff,](#page-38-1) [clipboard\(\)](#page-12-1)

#### Examples

```
HS.model \leq ' visual = \propto x1 + x2 + x3
              textual =~x4 + x5 + x6speed = x7 + x8 + x9## non-nested models
fit1 <- cfa(HS.model, data = HolzingerSwineford1939)
m2 \le - ' f1 = \propto x1 + x2 + x3 + x4
        f2 =~ x5 + x6 + x7 + x8 + x9 '
fit2 <- cfa(m2, data = HolzingerSwineford1939)
(out1 <- compareFit(fit1, fit2, nested = FALSE))
summary(out1)
## nested model comparisons: measurement equivalence/invariance
fit.config <- cfa(HS.model, data = HolzingerSwineford1939, group = "school")
fit.metric <- cfa(HS.model, data = HolzingerSwineford1939, group = "school",
                  group.equal = "loadings")
fit.scalar <- cfa(HS.model, data = HolzingerSwineford1939, group = "school",
                  group.equal = c("loadings","intercepts"))
fit.strict <- cfa(HS.model, data = HolzingerSwineford1939, group = "school",
                  group.equal = c("loadings","intercepts","residuals"))
measEqOut <- compareFit(fit.config, fit.metric, fit.scalar, fit.strict,
                        moreIndices = TRUE) # include moreFitIndices()
summary(measEqOut)
summary(measEqOut, fit.measures = "all")
summary(measEqOut, fit.measures = c("aic", "bic", "sic", "ibic"))
## Not run:
## also applies to lavaan.mi objects (fit model to multiple imputations)
library(lavaan.mi)
```

```
data("HS20imps", package = "lavaan.mi") # example data: 20 imputations
## request robust test statistics
mgfit2 <- cfa.mi(HS.model, data = HS20imps, group = "school", estimator = "mlm")
mgfit1 <- cfa.mi(HS.model, data = HS20imps, group = "school", estimator = "mlm",
                 group.equal = "loadings")
mgfit0 <- cfa.mi(HS.model, data = HS20imps, group = "school", estimator = "mlm",
                 group.equal = c("loadings","intercepts"))
## request the strictly-positive robust test statistics
out2 <- compareFit(scalar = mgfit0, metric = mgfit1, config = mgfit2,
                   argsLRT = list(asymptotic = TRUE,
                                  method = "satorra.bentler.2010"))
## note that moreFitIndices() does not work for lavaan.mi objects
summary(out2, fit.measures = c("crmr","srmr", "cfi.robust","tli.robust",
                               "rmsea.robust",
                               "rmsea.ci.lower.robust",
                               "rmsea.ci.upper.robust"))
## End(Not run)
```
<span id="page-17-1"></span>

compRelSEM *Composite Reliability using SEM*

## Description

Calculate composite reliability from estimated factor-model parameters

#### Usage

```
compRelSEM(object, obs.var = TRUE, tau.eq = FALSE, ord.scale = TRUE,
 config = character(0), shared = character(0), higher = character(0),
  return.total = FALSE, dropSingle = TRUE, omit.factors = character(0),
 omit.indicators = character(0), omit.imps = c("no.conv", "no.se"),
  return.df = TRUE)
```
#### Arguments



- ord.scale logical indicating whether to apply Green and Yang's (2009, formula 21) correction, so that reliability is calculated for the actual ordinal response scale (ignored for factors with continuous indicators). Setting FALSE yields coefficients that are only applicable to the continuous latent-response scale.
- config character vector naming any configural constructs in a multilevel CFA. For these constructs (and optional total composite), Lai's (2021) coefficients  $\omega^W$  and  $\omega^2$  are returned (or corresponding  $\alpha$  coefficients when tau.eq=TRUE), rather than Geldhof et al.'s (2014) coefficients for hypothetical composites of latent components (although the same formula is used for  $\omega^W$  in either case). Note that the same name must be used for the factor component represented at each level of the model.
- shared character vector naming any shared constructs in a multilevel CFA. For these constructs (and optional total composite), Lai's (2021) coefficient  $\omega^B$  or  $\alpha^B$  is returned, rather than Geldhof et al.'s (2014) between-level coefficient for hypothetical composites of latent cluster means. Lai's (2021) coefficient quantifies reliability relative to error associated with both indicators (measurement error) and subjects (sampling error), like a generalizability coefficient. Given that subjects can be considered as raters of their cluster's shared construct, an interrater reliability (IRR) coefficient is also returned, quantifying reliability relative to rater/sampling error alone. To quantify reliability relative to indicator/measurement error alone (i.e.,  $\omega^2$ ), the shared= construct name(s) can additionally be included in config= argument.
- higher character vector naming any higher-order constructs in object for which composite reliability should be calculated. Ignored when tau.eq=TRUE because alpha is not based on a CFA model; instead, users must fit a CFA with tauequivalence constraints. To obtain Lai's (2021) multilevel composite-reliability indices for a higher-order factor, do not use this argument; instead, specify the higher-order factor(s) using the shared= or config= argument (compRelSEM will automatically check whether it includes latent indicators and apply the appropriate formula).
- return.total logical indicating whether to return a final column containing the reliability of a composite of all indicators (not listed in omit.indicators) of first-order factors not listed in omit.factors. Ignored in 1-factor models, and should only be set TRUE if all factors represent scale dimensions that could be meaningfully collapsed to a single composite (scale sum or scale mean). Setting a negative value (e.g., -1 returns only the total-composite reliability (excluding coefficients per factor), except when requesting Lai's (2021) coefficients for multilevel configural or shared= constructs.
- dropSingle logical indicating whether to exclude factors defined by a single indicator from the returned results. If TRUE (default), single indicators will still be included in the total column when return.total = TRUE.
- omit.factors character vector naming any common factors modeled in object whose composite reliability is not of interest. For example, higher-order or method factors. Note that [reliabilityL2\(\)](#page-0-0) should be used to calculate composite reliability of a higher-order factor.



#### Details

Several coefficients for factor-analysis reliability have been termed "omega", which Cho (2021) argues is a misleading misnomer and argues for using  $\rho$  to represent them all, differentiated by descriptive subscripts. In our package, we strive to provide unlabeled coefficients, leaving it to the user to decide on a label in their report. But we do use the symbols  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$  in the formulas below in order to distinguish coefficients that do (not) assume essential tau-equivalence. For higher-order constructs with latent indicators, only  $\omega$  is available. Lai's (2021) multilevel coefficients are labeled in accordance with the symbols used in that article (more details below).

Bentler (1968) first introduced factor-analysis reliability for a unidimensional factor model with congeneric indicators, labeling the coeficients  $\alpha$ . McDonald (1999) later referred to this *and other reliability coefficients*, first as  $\theta$  (in 1970), then as  $\omega$ , which is a source of confusion when reporting coefficients (Cho, 2021). Coefficients based on factor models were later generalized to account for multidimenisionality (possibly with cross-loadings) and correlated errors. The general  $\omega$  formula implemented in this function is:

$$
\omega = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i\right)^2 Var(\psi)}{1'\hat{\Sigma}1},
$$

where  $\hat{\Sigma}$  can be the model-implied covariance matrix from either the saturated model (i.e., the "observed" covariance matrix, used by default) or from the hypothesized CFA model, controlled by the obs. var argument. A k-dimensional vector 1 is used to sum elements in the matrix. Note that if the model includes any directed effects (latent regression slopes), all coefficients are calculated from **total** factor variances: lavInspect(object, "cov.lv").

Assuming (essential) tau-equivalence (tau.eq=TRUE) makes  $\omega$  equivalent to coefficient  $\alpha$  from classical test theory (Cronbach, 1951):

$$
\alpha = \frac{k}{k-1} \left[ 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_{ii}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_{ii} + 2 \sum_{i < j} \sigma_{ij}} \right],
$$

where k is the number of items in a factor's composite,  $\sigma_{ii}$  signifies item *i*'s variance, and  $\sigma_{ij}$ signifies the covariance between items *i* and *j*. Again, the obs.var argument controls whether  $\alpha$  is calculated using the observed or model-implied covariance matrix.

By setting return.total=TRUE, one can estimate reliability for a single composite calculated using all indicators in a multidimensional CFA (Bentler, 1972, 2009). Setting return.total = -1 will return only the total-composite reliability (not per factor).

Higher-Order Factors: The reliability of a composite that represents a higher-order construct requires partitioning the model-implied factor covariance matrix  $\Phi$  in order to isolate the commonfactor variance associated only with the higher-order factor. Using a second-order factor model, the model-implied covariance matrix of observed indicators  $\Sigma$  can be partitioned into 3 sources:

- 1. the second-order common-factor (co)variance:  $\Lambda B \Phi_2 B' \Lambda'$
- 2. the residual variance of the first-order common factors (i.e., not accounted for by the secondorder factor):  $\Lambda \Psi_u \Lambda'$
- 3. the measurement error of observed indicators: Θ

where  $\Lambda$  contains first-order factor loadings,  $\bm{B}$  contains second-order factor loadings,  $\Phi_2$  is the model-implied covariance matrix of the second-order factor(s), and  $\Psi_u$  is the covariance matrix of first-order factor disturbances. In practice, we can use the full B matrix and full model-implied  $\Phi$ matrix (i.e., including all latent factors) because the zeros in  $\bm{B}$  will cancel out unwanted components of Φ. Thus, we can calculate the proportion of variance of a composite score calculated from the observed indicators (e.g., a total score or scale mean) that is attributable to the second-order factor (i.e., coefficient  $\omega$ ):

$$
\omega=\frac{\mathbf{1}'\Lambda\boldsymbol{B}\Phi\boldsymbol{B}'\Lambda'\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1}'\hat{\Sigma}\mathbf{1}},
$$

where 1 is the *k*-dimensional vector of 1s and *k* is the number of observed indicators in the composite. Note that if a higher-order factor also has observed indicators, it is necessary to model the observed indicators as single-indicator constructs, so that all of the higher-order factor indicators are latent (with loadings in the Beta matrix, not Lambda).

**Categorical Indicators:** When all indicators (per composite) are ordinal, the ord. scale argument controls whether the coefficient is calculated on the latent-response scale (FALSE) or on the observed ordinal scale (TRUE, the default). For  $\omega$ -type coefficients (tau.eq=FALSE), Green and Yang's (2009, formula 21) approach is used to transform factor-model results back to the ordinal response scale. When ord. scale=TRUE and tau.eq=TRUE, coefficient  $\alpha$  is calculated using the covariance matrix calculated from the integer-valued numeric weights for ordinal categories, consistent with its definition (Chalmers, 2018) and the alpha function in the psych package; this implies obs.var=TRUE, so obs. var=FALSE will be ignored When ord. scale=FALSE, the standard  $\alpha$  formula is applied to the polychoric correlation matrix ("ordinal  $\alpha$ "; Zumbo et al., 2007), estimated from the saturated or hypothesized model (see obs.var), and  $\omega$  is calculated from CFA results without applying Green and Yang's (2009) correction (see Zumbo & Kroc, 2019, for a rationalization). No method analogous to Green and Yang (2009) has been proposed for calculating reliability with a mixture of categorical and continuous indicators, so an error is returned if object includes factors with a mixture of indicator types (unless omitted using omit.factors). If categorical indicators load on a different factor(s) than continuous indicators, then reliability will still be calculated separately for those factors, but return.total must be FALSE (unless omit.factors is used to isolate factors with indicators of the same type).

Multilevel Measurement Models: Under the default settings, compRe1SEM() will apply the same formula in each "block" (group and/or level of analysis). In the case of multilevel (ML-)SEMs, this yields "reliability" for latent within- and between-level components, as proposed by Geldhof et al. (2014). Although this works fine to calculate reliability per group, this is not recommended for ML-SEMs because the coefficients do not correspond to actual composites that would be calculated from the observed data. Lai (2021) proposed coefficients for reliability of actual composites, depending on the type of construct, which requires specifying the names of constructs for which reliability is desired (or multiple constructs whose indicators would compose a multidimensional composite). Configural (config=) and/or shared= constructs can be specified; the same construct can be specified in both arguments, so that overall scale-reliability can be estimated for a shared construct by including it in config. Instead of organizing the output by block (the default), specifying config= and/or shared= will prompt organizing the list of output by \$config and/or \$shared.

- The overall (\_2L) scale reliability for configural constructs is returned, along with the reliability of a purely individual-level composite (\_W, calculated by cluster-mean centering).
- The reliability for a shared construct quantifies generalizability across both indicators and raters (i.e., subjects rating their cluster's construct). Lüdtke et al. (2011) refer to these as measurement error and sampling error, respectively. An interrater reliability (IRR) coefficient is also returned, quantifying generalizability across rater/sampling-error only. To obtain a scale-reliability coefficient (quantifying a shared construct's generalizability across indicator/measurement-error only), include the same factor name in config=. Jak et al. (2021) recommended modeling components of the same construct at both levels, but users may also saturate the within-level model (Lai, 2021).

Be careful about including Level-2 variables in the model, especially whether it makes sense to include them in a total composite for a Level-2 construct. dropSingle=TRUE only prevents estimating reliability for a single-indicator construct, not from including such an indicator in a total composite. It is permissible for shared= constructs to have additional indicators at Level-2 only. If it is necessary to model other Level-2 variables (e.g., to justify the missing-at-random assumption when using missing="FIML" estimation), they should be placed in the omit.indicators= argument to exclude them from total composites.

#### Value

A numeric vector of composite reliability coefficients per factor, or a list of vectors per "block" (group and/or level of analysis), optionally returned as a data. frame when possible (see return.  $df =$ argument description for caveat). If there are multiple factors, whose multidimensional indicators combine into a single composite, users can request return.total=TRUE to add a column including a reliability coefficient for the total composite, or return.total  $= -1$  to return only the totalcomposite reliability (ignored when config= or shared= is specified because each factor's specification must be checked across levels).

#### compReISEM 23

#### Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

Uses hidden functions written by Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>) for the old reliability() function.

#### References

Bentler, P. M. (1968). Alpha-maximized factor analysis (alphamax): Its relation to alpha and canonical factor analysis. *Psychometrika, 33*(3), 335–345. [doi:10.1007/BF02289328](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02289328)

Bentler, P. M. (1972). A lower-bound method for the dimension-free measurement of internal consistency. *Social Science Research, 1*(4), 343–357. [doi:10.1016/0049089X\(72\)900828](https://doi.org/10.1016/0049-089X%2872%2990082-8)

Bentler, P. M. (2009). Alpha, dimension-free, and model-based internal consistency reliability. *Psychometrika, 74*(1), 137–143. [doi:10.1007/s1133600891001](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-008-9100-1)

Chalmers, R. P. (2018). On misconceptions and the limited usefulness of ordinal alpha. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 78*(6), 1056–1071. [doi:10.1177/0013164417727036](https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164417727036)

Cho, E. (2021) Neither Cronbach's alpha nor McDonald's omega: A commentary on Sijtsma and Pfadt. *Psychometrika, 86*(4), 877–886. [doi:10.1007/s11336021098011](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-021-09801-1)

Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrika, 16*(3), 297–334. [doi:10.1007/BF02310555](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02310555)

Geldhof, G. J., Preacher, K. J., & Zyphur, M. J. (2014). Reliability estimation in a multilevel confirmatory factor analysis framework. *Psychological Methods, 19*(1), 72–91. [doi:10.1037/a0032138](https://doi.org/10.1037/a0032138)

Green, S. B., & Yang, Y. (2009). Reliability of summed item scores using structural equation modeling: An alternative to coefficient alpha. *Psychometrika, 74*(1), 155–167. [doi:10.1007/s11336008-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-008-9099-3) [90993](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-008-9099-3)

Jak, S., Jorgensen, T. D., & Rosseel, Y. (2021). Evaluating cluster-level factor models with lavaan and M*plus*. *Psych, 3*(2), 134–152. [doi:10.3390/psych3020012](https://doi.org/10.3390/psych3020012)

Lai, M. H. C. (2021). Composite reliability of multilevel data: It's about observed scores and construct meanings. *Psychological Methods, 26*(1), 90–102. [doi:10.1037/met0000287](https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000287)

Lüdtke, O., Marsh, H. W., Robitzsch, A., & Trautwein, U. (2011). A  $2 \times 2$  taxonomy of multilevel latent contextual models: Accuracy–bias trade-offs in full and partial error correction models. *Psychological Methods, 16*(4), 444–467. [doi:10.1037/a0024376](https://doi.org/10.1037/a0024376)

McDonald, R. P. (1999). *Test theory: A unified treatment*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Zumbo, B. D., Gadermann, A. M., & Zeisser, C. (2007). Ordinal versions of coefficients alpha and theta for Likert rating scales. *Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 6*(1), 21–29. [doi:10.22237/jmasm/1177992180](https://doi.org/10.22237/jmasm/1177992180)

Zumbo, B. D., & Kroc, E. (2019). A measurement is a choice and Stevens' scales of measurement do not help make it: A response to Chalmers. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 79*(6), 1184–1197. [doi:10.1177/0013164419844305](https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164419844305)

#### See Also

[maximalRelia\(\)](#page-62-1) for the maximal reliability of weighted composite

## Examples

```
data(HolzingerSwineford1939)
HS9 <- HolzingerSwineford1939[ , c("x7","x8","x9")]
HSbinary <- as.data.frame( lapply(HS9, cut, 2, labels=FALSE) )
names(HSbinary) <- c("y7","y8","y9")
HS <- cbind(HolzingerSwineford1939, HSbinary)
HS.model \leq ' visual = \propto x1 + x2 + x3
              textual =~x4 + x5 + x6speed = -y7 + y8 + y9fit \leq cfa(HS.model, data = HS, ordered = c("y7","y8","y9"), std.lv = TRUE)
## works for factors with exclusively continuous OR categorical indicators
compRelSEM(fit)
## reliability for ALL indicators only available when they are
## all continuous or all categorical
compRelSEM(fit, omit.factors = "speed", return.total = TRUE)
## loop over visual indicators to calculate alpha if one indicator is removed
for (i in paste0("x", 1:3)) {
  cat("Drop ", i, ":\n\langle n", sep = "")
  print(compRelSEM(fit, omit.factors = c("textual","speed"),
                   omit.indicators = i, tau.eq = TRUE))
}
## item-total correlations obtainable by adding a composite to the data
HS$Visual <- HS$x1 + HS$x2 + HS$x3
cor(HS$Visual, y = HS[paste0("x", 1:3)])
## comparable to psych::alpha(HS[paste0("x", 1:3)])
## Reliability of a composite that represents a higher-order factor
mod.hi \leq ' visual = \times x1 + x2 + x3
            textual =~x4 + x5 + x6speed = x7 + x8 + x9general =~ visual + textual + speed '
fit.hi <- cfa(mod.hi, data = HolzingerSwineford1939)
compRelSEM(fit.hi, higher = "general")
## reliabilities for lower-order composites also returned
## works for multigroup models and for multilevel models (and both)
data(Demo.twolevel)
## assign clusters to arbitrary groups
Demo.twolevel$g <- ifelse(Demo.twolevel$cluster %% 2L, "type1", "type2")
model2 <- ' group: type1
 level: 1
    f1 = -y1 + L2*y2 + L3*y3f2 = \times y4 + L5 \timesy5 + L6 \timesy6
  level: 2
```

```
f1 = \times y1 + L2*y2 + L3*y3
    f2 = \times y4 + L5*y5 + L6*y6
group: type2
 level: 1
   f1 = \times y1 + L2*y2 + L3*y3
   f2 =~ y4 + L5*y5 + L6*y6
 level: 2
   f1 = \times y1 + L2*y2 + L3*y3
   f2 =~ y4 + L5*y5 + L6*y6
fit2 <- sem(model2, data = Demo.twolevel, cluster = "cluster", group = "g")
compRelSEM(fit2) # Geldhof's indices (hypothetical, for latent components)
## Lai's (2021) indices for Level-1 and configural constructs
compRelSEM(fit2, config = c("f1","f2"))
## Lai's (2021) indices for shared (Level-2) constructs
## (also an interrater reliability coefficient)
compRelSEM(fit2, shared = c("f1","f2"))
## Shared construct using saturated within-level model
mod.sat1 <- ' level: 1
  y1 ~~ y1 + y2 + y3 + y4 + y5 + y6
  y2 ~~ y2 + y3 + y4 + y5 + y6
  y3 ~~ y3 + y4 + y5 + y6
  y4 \sim y4 + y5 + y6y5 ~~ y5 + y6
 y6 ~~ y6
 level: 2
 f1 = -y1 + L2*y2 + L3*y3f2 =~ y4 + L5*y5 + L6*y6
fit.sat1 <- sem(mod.sat1, data = Demo.twolevel, cluster = "cluster")
compRelSEM(fit.sat1, shared = c("f1","f2"))
## Simultaneous shared-and-configural model (Stapleton et al, 2016, 2019),
## not recommended, but possible by omitting shared or configural factor.
mod.both <- ' level: 1
    fc =~ y1 + L2*y2 + L3*y3 + L4*y4 + L5*y5 + L6*y6
  level: 2
  ## configural construct
   fc =~ y1 + L2*y2 + L3*y3 + L4*y4 + L5*y5 + L6*y6
  ## orthogonal shared construct
   fs = N_A + y2 + y3 + y4 + y5 + y6
    fs \sim 1*fs + 0*fc
fit.both <- sem(mod.both, data = Demo.twolevel, cluster = "cluster")
```

```
compRelSEM(fit.both, shared = "fs", config = "fc")
```
<span id="page-25-0"></span>

A simulated data set with 2 independent factors and 1 dependent factor where each factor has three indicators

#### Usage

dat2way

## Format

A data.frame with 500 observations of 9 variables.

- x1 The first indicator of the first independent factor
- x2 The second indicator of the first independent factor
- x3 The third indicator of the first independent factor
- x4 The first indicator of the second independent factor
- x5 The second indicator of the second independent factor
- x6 The third indicator of the second independent factor
- x7 The first indicator of the dependent factor
- x8 The second indicator of the dependent factor
- x9 The third indicator of the dependent factor

## Source

Data were generated by the MASS:: mvrnorm() function in the MASS package.

## Examples

head(dat2way)

<span id="page-26-0"></span>

A simulated data set with 3 independent factors and 1 dependent factor where each factor has three indicators

#### Usage

dat3way

#### Format

A data.frame with 500 observations of 12 variables.

- x1 The first indicator of the first independent factor
- x2 The second indicator of the first independent factor
- x3 The third indicator of the first independent factor
- x4 The first indicator of the second independent factor
- x5 The second indicator of the second independent factor
- x6 The third indicator of the second independent factor
- x7 The first indicator of the third independent factor
- x8 The second indicator of the third independent factor
- x9 The third indicator of the third independent factor
- x10 The first indicator of the dependent factor
- x11 The second indicator of the dependent factor
- x12 The third indicator of the dependent factor

#### Source

Data were generated by the MASS:: mvrnorm() function in the MASS package.

## **Examples**

head(dat3way)

<span id="page-27-0"></span>

A simulated data set with 2 factors with 4 indicators each separated into two groups

## Usage

datCat

## Format

A data.frame with 200 observations of 9 variables.

- g Sex of respondents
- u1 Indicator 1
- u2 Indicator 2
- u3 Indicator 3
- u4 Indicator 4
- u5 Indicator 5
- u6 Indicator 6
- u7 Indicator 7
- u8 Indicator 8

#### Source

Data were generated using the lavaan package.

## Examples

head(datCat)

<span id="page-28-0"></span>discriminantValidity *Calculate discriminant validity statistics*

## Description

Calculate discriminant validity statistics based on a fitted lavaan object

#### Usage

```
discriminantValidity(object, cutoff = 0.9, merge = FALSE, level = 0.95,
 boot.ci.type = "perc")
```
#### Arguments



#### Details

Evaluated on the measurement scale level, discriminant validity is commonly evaluated by checking if each pair of latent correlations is sufficiently below one (in absolute value) that the latent variables can be thought of representing two distinct constructs.

discriminantValidity function calculates two sets of statistics that are commonly used in discriminant validity evaluation. The first set are factor correlation estimates and their confidence intervals. The second set is a series of nested model tests, where the baseline model is compared against a set of constrained models that are constructed by constraining each factor correlation to the specified cutoff one at a time.

The function assume that the object is set of confirmatory factor analysis results where the latent variables are scaled by fixing their variances to 1s. If the model is not a CFA model, the function will calculate the statistics for the correlations among exogenous latent variables, but for the *residual* variances with endogenous variables. If the latent variables are scaled in some other way (e.g. fixing the first loadings), the function issues a warning and re-estimates the model by fixing latent variances to 1 (and estimating all loadings) so that factor covariances are already estimated as correlations.

The likelihood ratio tests are done by comparing the original baseline model against more constrained alternatives. By default, these alternatives are constructed by fixing each correlation at a time to a cutoff value. The typical purpose of this test is to demonstrate that the estimated factor correlation is well below the cutoff and a significant  $chi^2$  statistic thus indicates support for discriminant validity. In some cases, the original correlation estimate may already be greater than the cutoff, making it redundant to fit a "restricted" model. When this happens, the likelihood ratio test will be replaced by comparing the baseline model against itself. For correlations that are estimated to be negative, a negation of the cutoff is used in the constrained model.

Another alternative is to do a nested model comparison against a model where two factors are merged as one by setting the merge argument to TRUE. In this comparison, the constrained model is constructed by removing one of the correlated factors from the model and assigning its indicators to the factor that remains in the model.

#### Value

A data.frame of latent variable correlation estimates, their confidence intervals, and a likelihood ratio tests against constrained models. with the following attributes:

baseline The baseline model after possible rescaling.

constrained A list of the fitted constrained models used in the likelihood ratio test.

#### Author(s)

Mikko Rönkkö (University of Jyväskylä; <mikko.ronkko@jyu.fi>):

## References

Rönkkö, M., & Cho, E. (2022). An updated guideline for assessing discriminant validity. *Organizational Research Methods*, 25(1), 6–14. [doi:10.1177/1094428120968614](https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428120968614)

#### Examples

```
library(lavaan)
```
HS.model  $<-$  ' visual = $~x1 + x2 + x3$ textual = $~x4 + x5 + x6$ speed =  $x7 + x8 + x9$ 

fit <- cfa(HS.model, data = HolzingerSwineford1939) discriminantValidity(fit) discriminantValidity(fit, merge = TRUE)

<span id="page-30-0"></span>

This class contains the results of rotated exploratory factor analysis

## Usage

```
## S4 method for signature 'EFA'
show(object)
```

```
## S4 method for signature 'EFA'
summary(object, suppress = 0.1, sort = TRUE)
```
## Arguments



## Slots

loading Rotated standardized factor loading matrix

rotate Rotation matrix

gradRotate gradient of the objective function at the rotated loadings

convergence Convergence status

phi: Factor correlation matrix. Will be an identity matrix if orthogonal rotation is used.

se Standard errors of the rotated standardized factor loading matrix

method Method of rotation

call The command used to generate this object

## Objects from the Class

Objects can be created via the [orthRotate](#page-0-0) or [oblqRotate](#page-0-0) function.

## Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

## See Also

[efaUnrotate](#page-0-0); [orthRotate](#page-0-0); [oblqRotate](#page-0-0)

## Examples

```
unrotated <- efaUnrotate(HolzingerSwineford1939, nf = 3,
                        variable = paste0("x", 1:9), estimator = "mlr")
summary(unrotated, std = TRUE)
lavInspect(unrotated, "std")
# Rotated by Quartimin
rotated <- oblqRotate(unrotated, method = "quartimin")
summary(rotated)
```
efa.ekc *Empirical Kaiser criterion*

## Description

Identify the number of factors to extract based on the Empirical Kaiser Criterion (EKC). The analysis can be run on a data.frame or data matrix (data), or on a correlation or covariance matrix (sample.cov) and the sample size (sample.nobs). A data.frame is returned with two columns: the eigenvalues from your data or covariance matrix and the reference eigenvalues. The number of factors suggested by the Empirical Kaiser Criterion (i.e. the sample eigenvalues greater than the reference eigenvalues), and the number of factors suggested by the original Kaiser Criterion (i.e. sample eigenvalues  $> 1$ ) is printed above the output.

#### Usage

```
efa.ekc(data = NULL, sample.cov = NULL, sample.nobs = NULL,
 missing = "default", ordered = NULL, plot = TRUE)
```
## Arguments



<span id="page-31-0"></span>

#### <span id="page-32-0"></span>exLong 33

## Value

A data. frame showing the sample and reference eigenvalues.

The number of factors suggested by the Empirical Kaiser Criterion (i.e. the sample eigenvalues greater than the reference eigenvalues) is returned as an attribute (see Examples).

The number of factors suggested by the original Kaiser Criterion (i.e. sample eigenvalues  $> 1$ ) is also printed as a header to the data.frame

## Author(s)

Ylenio Longo (University of Nottingham; <yleniolongo@gmail.com>)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

## References

Braeken, J., & van Assen, M. A. L. M. (2017). An empirical Kaiser criterion. *Psychological Methods, 22*(3), 450–466. [doi:10.1037/met0000074](https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000074)

#### Examples

```
## Simulate data with 3 factors
model < -f1 = -3*x1 + .5*x2 + .4*x3f2 =~ .3*x4 + .5*x5 + .4*x6
  f3 = - .3*x7 + .5*x8 + .4*x9
'dat <- simulateData(model, seed = 123)
## save summary statistics
myCovMat <- cov(dat)
myCorMat <- cor(dat)
N < - nrow(dat)
## Run the EKC function
(out <- efa.ekc(dat))
## To extract the recommended number of factors using the EKC:
attr(out, "nfactors")
## If you do not have raw data, you can use summary statistics
(x1 <- efa.ekc(sample.cov = myCovMat, sample.nobs = N, plot = FALSE))
(x2 <- efa.ekc(sample.cov = myCorMat, sample.nobs = N, plot = FALSE))
```
exLong *Simulated Data set to Demonstrate Longitudinal Measurement Invariance*

#### **Description**

A simulated data set with 1 factors with 3 indicators in three timepoints

#### Usage

exLong

## Format

A data.frame with 200 observations of 10 variables.

sex Sex of respondents

y1t1 Indicator 1 in Time 1

y2t1 Indicator 2 in Time 1

y3t1 Indicator 3 in Time 1

y1t2 Indicator 1 in Time 2

y2t2 Indicator 2 in Time 2

y3t2 Indicator 3 in Time 2

y1t3 Indicator 1 in Time 3

- y2t3 Indicator 2 in Time 3
- y3t3 Indicator 3 in Time 3

## Source

Data were generated using the simsem package.

## Examples

head(exLong)

findRMSEApower *Find the statistical power based on population RMSEA*

#### Description

Find the proportion of the samples from the sampling distribution of RMSEA in the alternative hypothesis rejected by the cutoff dervied from the sampling distribution of RMSEA in the null hypothesis. This function can be applied for both test of close fit and test of not-close fit (MacCallum, Browne, & Suguwara, 1996)

#### Usage

```
findRMSEApower(rmsea0, rmseaA, df, n, alpha = 0.05, group = 1)
```
<span id="page-33-0"></span>

#### findRMSEApower 35

#### **Arguments**



#### Details

This function find the proportion of sampling distribution derived from the alternative RMSEA that is in the critical region derived from the sampling distribution of the null RMSEA. If rmseaA is greater than rmsea0, the test of close fit is used and the critical region is in the right hand side of the null sampling distribution. On the other hand, if rmseaA is less than rmsea $\theta$ , the test of not-close fit is used and the critical region is in the left hand side of the null sampling distribution (MacCallum, Browne, & Suguwara, 1996).

There is also a Shiny app called "power4SEM" that provides a graphical user interface for this functionality (Jak et al., in press). It can be accessed at <https://sjak.shinyapps.io/power4SEM/>.

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### References

MacCallum, R. C., Browne, M. W., & Sugawara, H. M. (1996). Power analysis and determination of sample size for covariance structure modeling. *Psychological Methods, 1*(2), 130–149. [doi:10.1037/1082989X.1.2.130](https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.1.2.130)

Jak, S., Jorgensen, T. D., Verdam, M. G., Oort, F. J., & Elffers, L. (2021). Analytical power calculations for structural equation modeling: A tutorial and Shiny app. *Behavior Research Methods, 53*, 1385–1406. [doi:10.3758/s13428020014790](https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-020-01479-0)

#### See Also

- plotRMSEApower () to plot the statistical power based on population RMSEA given the sample size
- [plotRMSEAdist\(\)](#page-126-1) to visualize the RMSEA distributions
- [findRMSEAsamplesize\(\)](#page-36-1) to find the minium sample size for a given statistical power based on population RMSEA

#### Examples

```
findRMSEApower(rmsea0 = .05, rmseaA = .08, df = 20, n = 200)
```
<span id="page-35-0"></span>findRMSEApowernested *Find power given a sample size in nested model comparison*

#### Description

Find the sample size that the power in rejection the samples from the alternative pair of RMSEA is just over the specified power.

## Usage

```
findRMSEApowernested(rmsea0A = NULL, rmsea0B = NULL, rmsea1A,
 rmsea1B = NULL, dfA, dfB, n, alpha = 0.05, group = 1)
```
## Arguments



## Author(s)

Bell Clinton Pavel Panko (Texas Tech University; <pavel.panko@ttu.edu>) Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

## References

MacCallum, R. C., Browne, M. W., & Cai, L. (2006). Testing differences between nested covariance structure models: Power analysis and null hypotheses. *Psychological Methods, 11*(1), 19–35. [doi:10.1037/1082989X.11.1.19](https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.11.1.19)

#### See Also

- [plotRMSEApowernested\(\)](#page-130-1) to plot the statistical power for nested model comparison based on population RMSEA given the sample size
- [findRMSEAsamplesizenested\(\)](#page-37-1) to find the minium sample size for a given statistical power in nested model comparison based on population RMSEA
### Examples

```
findRMSEApowernested(rmsea0A = 0.06, rmsea0B = 0.05, rmsea1A = 0.08,
                     rm{sea1B} = 0.05, dfA = 22, dfB = 20, n = 200,
                     alpha = 0.05, group = 1)
```
findRMSEAsamplesize *Find the minimum sample size for a given statistical power based on population RMSEA*

## Description

Find the minimum sample size for a specified statistical power based on population RMSEA. This function can be applied for both test of close fit and test of not-close fit (MacCallum, Browne, & Suguwara, 1996)

#### Usage

```
findRMSEAsamplesize(rmsea0, rmseaA, df, power = 0.8, alpha = 0.05,
 group = 1)
```
# Arguments



#### Details

This function find the minimum sample size for a specified power based on an iterative routine. The sample size keep increasing until the calculated power from [findRMSEApower\(\)](#page-33-0) function is just over the specified power. If group is greater than 1, the resulting sample size is the sample size per group.

## Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

## References

MacCallum, R. C., Browne, M. W., & Sugawara, H. M. (1996). Power analysis and determination of sample size for covariance structure modeling. *Psychological Methods, 1*(2), 130–149. [doi:10.1037/1082989X.1.2.130](https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.1.2.130)

Jak, S., Jorgensen, T. D., Verdam, M. G., Oort, F. J., & Elffers, L. (2021). Analytical power calculations for structural equation modeling: A tutorial and Shiny app. *Behavior Research Methods, 53*, 1385–1406. [doi:10.3758/s13428020014790](https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-020-01479-0)

## See Also

- [plotRMSEApower\(\)](#page-128-0) to plot the statistical power based on population RMSEA given the sample size
- [plotRMSEAdist\(\)](#page-126-0) to visualize the RMSEA distributions
- [findRMSEApower\(\)](#page-33-0) to find the statistical power based on population RMSEA given a sample size

#### Examples

```
findRMSEAsamplesize(rmsea0 = .05, rmseaA = .08, df = 20, power = 0.80)
```
findRMSEAsamplesizenested

*Find sample size given a power in nested model comparison*

## Description

Find the sample size that the power in rejection the samples from the alternative pair of RMSEA is just over the specified power.

### Usage

```
findRMSEAsamplesizenested(rmsea0A = NULL, rmsea0B = NULL, rmsea1A,
  rmsea1B = NULL, dfA, dfB, power = 0.8, alpha = 0.05, group = 1)
```
## Arguments



### FitDiff-class 39

### Author(s)

Bell Clinton

Pavel Panko (Texas Tech University; <pavel.panko@ttu.edu>)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

## References

MacCallum, R. C., Browne, M. W., & Cai, L. (2006). Testing differences between nested covariance structure models: Power analysis and null hypotheses. *Psychological Methods, 11*(1), 19–35. [doi:10.1037/1082989X.11.1.19](https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.11.1.19)

# See Also

- [plotRMSEApowernested\(\)](#page-130-0) to plot the statistical power for nested model comparison based on population RMSEA given the sample size
- [findRMSEApowernested\(\)](#page-35-0) to find the power for a given sample size in nested model comparison based on population RMSEA

## Examples

findRMSEAsamplesizenested(rmsea0A = 0, rmsea0B = 0, rmsea1A =  $0.06$ ,  $rmsea1B = 0.05$ , dfA = 22, dfB = 20, power = 0.80, alpha =  $.05$ , group = 1)

FitDiff-class *Class For Representing A Template of Model Fit Comparisons*

## Description

This class contains model fit measures and model fit comparisons among multiple models

### Usage

```
## S4 method for signature 'FitDiff'
show(object)
## S4 method for signature 'FitDiff'
summary(object, fit.measures = "default", nd = 3,
  tag = "†")
```
#### Arguments



### Slots

name character. The name of each model model.class character. One class to which each model belongs nested data.frame. Model fit comparisons between adjacently nested models that are ordered by their degrees of freedom (*df*)

fit data.frame. Fit measures of all models specified in the name slot, ordered by their *df*

fit.diff data.frame. Sequential differences in fit measures in the fit slot

### Objects from the Class

Objects can be created via the [compareFit\(\)](#page-15-0) function.

## Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>) Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

## See Also

[compareFit\(\)](#page-15-0); [clipboard\(\)](#page-12-0)

```
HS.model \leq ' visual = \times x1 + x2 + x3
             textual = x4 + x5 + x6speed = x7 + x8 + x9fit.config <- cfa(HS.model, data = HolzingerSwineford1939, group = "school")
## invariance constraints
fit.metric <- cfa(HS.model, data = HolzingerSwineford1939, group = "school",
                  group.equal = "loadings")
fit.scalar <- cfa(HS.model, data = HolzingerSwineford1939, group = "school",
                  group.equal = c("loadings","intercepts"))
fit.strict <- cfa(HS.model, data = HolzingerSwineford1939, group = "school",
                 group.equal = c("loadings","intercepts","residuals"))
measEqOut <- compareFit(fit.config, fit.metric, fit.scalar, fit.strict)
summary(measEqOut)
summary(measEqOut, fit.measures = "all")
```

```
summary(measEqOut, fit.measures = c("aic", "bic"))
## Not run:
## Save results to a file
saveFile(measEqOut, file = "measEq.txt")
## Copy to a clipboard
clipboard(measEqOut)
## End(Not run)
```
fmi *Fraction of Missing Information.*

# Description

This function estimates the Fraction of Missing Information (FMI) for summary statistics of each variable, using either an incomplete data set or a list of imputed data sets.

# Usage

fmi(data, method = "saturated", group = NULL, ords = NULL, varnames = NULL, exclude = NULL, return.fit = FALSE)

# Arguments



The function estimates a saturated model with [lavaan::lavaan\(\)](#page-0-0) for a single incomplete data set using FIML, or with  $l$ avaan.mi:: $l$ avaan.mi() for a list of imputed data sets. If method = "saturated", FMI will be estiamted for all summary statistics, which could take a lot of time with big data sets. If method = "null", FMI will only be estimated for univariate statistics (e.g., means, variances, thresholds). The saturated model gives more reliable estimates, so it could also help to request a subset of variables from a large data set.

#### Value

fmi() returns a list with at least 2 of the following:



#### Author(s)

Mauricio Garnier Villarreal (Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam; <m.garniervillarreal@vu.nl>) Terrence Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

#### References

Rubin, D. B. (1987). *Multiple imputation for nonresponse in surveys*. New York, NY: Wiley.

Savalei, V. & Rhemtulla, M. (2012). On obtaining estimates of the fraction of missing information from full information maximum likelihood. *Structural Equation Modeling, 19*(3), 477–494. [doi:10.1080/10705511.2012.687669](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2012.687669)

Wagner, J. (2010). The fraction of missing information as a tool for monitoring the quality of survey data. *Public Opinion Quarterly, 74*(2), 223–243. [doi:10.1093/poq/nfq007](https://doi.org/10.1093/poq/nfq007)

```
HSMiss <- HolzingerSwineford1939[ , c(paste("x", 1:9, sep = ""),
                                      "ageyr","agemo","school")]
set.seed(12345)
HSMiss$x5 <- ifelse(HSMiss$x5 <= quantile(HSMiss$x5, .3), NA, HSMiss$x5)
age <- HSMiss$ageyr + HSMiss$agemo/12
HSMiss$x9 <- ifelse(age <= quantile(age, .3), NA, HSMiss$x9)
## calculate FMI (using FIML, provide partially observed data set)
(out1 <- fmi(HSMiss, exclude = "school"))
(out2 <- fmi(HSMiss, exclude = "school", method = "null"))
(out3 <- fmi(HSMiss, varnames = c("x5","x6","x7","x8","x9")))
```
#### htmt and the state of the s

```
(out4 <- fmi(HSMiss, method = "cor", group = "school")) # correlations by group
## significance tests in lavaan(.mi) object
out5 <- fmi(HSMiss, method = "cor", return.fit = TRUE)
summary(out5) # factor loading == SD, covariance = correlation
## Not run:
## ordered-categorical data
data(datCat)
lapply(datCat, class)
## impose missing values
set.seed(123)
for (i in 1:8) datCat[sample(1:nrow(datCat), size = .1*nrow(datCat)), i] <- NA
## impute data m = 3 times
library(Amelia)
set.seed(456)
impout \le - amelia(datCat, m = 5, noms = "g", ords = paste0("u", 1:8), p2s = FALSE)
imps <- impout$imputations
## calculate FMI, using list of imputed data sets
fmi(imps, group = "g")
## End(Not run)
```
htmt *Assessing Discriminant Validity using Heterotrait–Monotrait Ratio*

#### Description

This function assesses discriminant validity through the heterotrait-monotrait ratio (HTMT) of the correlations (Henseler, Ringlet & Sarstedt, 2015). Specifically, it assesses the arithmetic (Henseler et al., ) or geometric (Roemer et al., 2021) mean correlation among indicators across constructs (i.e. heterotrait–heteromethod correlations) relative to the geometric-mean correlation among indicators within the same construct (i.e. monotrait–heteromethod correlations). The resulting HTMT(2) values are interpreted as estimates of inter-construct correlations. Absolute values of the correlations are recommended to calculate the HTMT matrix, and are required to calculate HTMT2. Correlations are estimated using the [lavaan::lavCor\(\)](#page-0-0) function.

### Usage

```
htmt(model, data = NULL, sample.cov = NULL, missing = "listwise",
 ordered = NULL, absolute = TRUE, html2 = TRUE)
```
## Arguments





## Value

A matrix showing HTMT(2) values (i.e., discriminant validity) between each pair of factors.

## Author(s)

Ylenio Longo (University of Nottingham; <yleniolongo@gmail.com>) Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

# **References**

Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 43*(1), 115–135. [doi:10.1007/s1174701404038](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8)

Roemer, E., Schuberth, F., & Henseler, J. (2021). HTMT2—An improved criterion for assessing discriminant validity in structural equation modeling. *Industrial Management & Data Systems, 121*(21), 2637–2650. [doi:10.1108/IMDS0220210082](https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-02-2021-0082)

Voorhees, C. M., Brady, M. K., Calantone, R., & Ramirez, E. (2016). Discriminant validity testing in marketing: An analysis, causes for concern, and proposed remedies. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 44*(1), 119–134. [doi:10.1007/s1174701504554](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-015-0455-4)

## Examples

```
HS.model \leq ' visual = \propto x1 + x2 + x3
             textual =~x4 + x5 + x6speed = x7 + x8 + x9dat <- HolzingerSwineford1939[, paste0("x", 1:9)]
```
htmt(HS.model, dat)

```
## save covariance matrix
HS.cov <- cov(HolzingerSwineford1939[, paste0("x", 1:9)])
## HTMT using arithmetic mean
```
# imposeStart 45

```
htmt(HS.model, sample.cov = HS.cov, htmt2 = FALSE)
```
imposeStart *Specify starting values from a lavaan output*

#### Description

This function will save the parameter estimates of a lavaan output and impose those parameter estimates as starting values for another analysis model. The free parameters with the same names or the same labels across two models will be imposed the new starting values. This function may help to increase the chance of convergence in a complex model (e.g., multitrait-multimethod model or complex longitudinal invariance model).

#### Usage

```
imposeStart(out, expr, silent = TRUE)
```
# Arguments



### Value

A fitted lavaan model

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

```
## The following example show that the longitudinal weak invariance model
## using effect coding was not convergent with three time points but convergent
## with two time points. Thus, the parameter estimates from the model with
## two time points are used as starting values of the three time points.
## The model with new starting values is convergent properly.
```

```
weak2time <- '
# Loadings
f1t1 =~ LOAD1*y1t1 + LOAD2*y2t1 + LOAD3*y3t1
   f1t2 = - LOAD1*y1t2 + LOAD2*y2t2 + LOAD3*y3t2
```

```
# Factor Variances
f1t1 ~~ f1t1
```
46 imposeStart

```
f1t2 ~~ f1t2
 # Factor Covariances
 f1t1 ~~ f1t2
 # Error Variances
y1t1 ~~ y1t1
y2t1 ~~ y2t1
y3t1 ~~ y3t1
y1t2 ~~ y1t2
y2t2 ~~ y2t2
y3t2 ~~ y3t2
 # Error Covariances
y1t1 ~~ y1t2
y2t1 ~~ y2t2
y3t1 ~~ y3t2
# Factor Means
f1t1 \sim NA*1
f1t2 \sim NA*1# Measurement Intercepts
y1t1 ~ INT1*1
y2t1 ~ INT2*1
y3t1 ~ INT3*1
y1t2 - INT4*1y2t2 ~ INT5*1
y3t2 ~ INT6*1
 # Constraints for Effect-coding Identification
LOAD1 == 3 - LOAD2 - LOAD3INT1 == 0 - INT2 - INT3INT4 == 0 - INT5 - INT6model2time <- lavaan(weak2time, data = exLong)
weak3time <- '
 # Loadings
 f1t1 =~ LOAD1*y1t1 + LOAD2*y2t1 + LOAD3*y3t1
    f1t2 =~ LOAD1*y1t2 + LOAD2*y2t2 + LOAD3*y3t2
   f1t3 =~ LOAD1*y1t3 + LOAD2*y2t3 + LOAD3*y3t3
 # Factor Variances
 f1t1 ~~ f1t1
 f1t2 ~~ f1t2
f1t3 ~~ f1t3
 # Factor Covariances
f1t1 ~~ f1t2 + f1t3
f1t2 \sim f1t3
# Error Variances
```
# imposeStart 47

```
y1t1 ~~ y1t1
y2t1 ~~ y2t1
y3t1 ~~ y3t1
y1t2 ~~ y1t2
y2t2 ~~ y2t2
y3t2 ~~ y3t2
y1t3 ~~ y1t3
y2t3 ~~ y2t3
y3t3 ~~ y3t3
# Error Covariances
y1t1 ~~ y1t2
 y2t1 ~~ y2t2
 y3t1 ~~ y3t2
y1t1 ~~ y1t3
y2t1 ~~ y2t3
y3t1 ~~ y3t3
y1t2 ~~ y1t3
y2t2 ~~ y2t3
y3t2 ~~ y3t3
# Factor Means
f1t1 ~\sim NA*1
f1t2 \sim NA*1
f1t3 \sim NA*1
# Measurement Intercepts
y1t1 ~ INT1*1
y2t1 ~ INT2*1
y3t1 ~ INT3*1
y1t2 ~ INT4*1
y2t2 ~ INT5*1
y3t2 ~ INT6*1
y1t3 \sim INT7*1y2t3 ~ INT8*1
y3t3 ~ INT9*1
# Constraints for Effect-coding Identification
LOAD1 == 3 - LOAD2 - LOAD3
INT1 == 0 - INT2 - INT3INT4 == 0 - INT5 - INT6INT7 == 0 - INT8 - INT9### The following command does not provide convergent result
# model3time <- lavaan(weak3time, data = exLong)
```

```
### Use starting values from the model with two time points
model3time <- imposeStart(model2time, lavaan(weak3time, data = exLong))
summary(model3time)
```
## Description

The indProd function will make products of indicators using no centering, mean centering, doublemean centering, or residual centering. The orthogonalize function is the shortcut of the indProd function to make the residual-centered indicators products.

# Usage

```
indProd(data, var1, var2, var3 = NULL, match = TRUE, meanC = TRUE,
  residualC = FALSE, doubleMC = TRUE, namesProd = NULL)
orthogonalize(data, var1, var2, var3 = NULL, match = TRUE,
```

```
namesProd = NULL)
```
## Arguments



## Value

The original data attached with the products.

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>) Alexander Schoemann (East Carolina University; <schoemanna@ecu.edu>)

## indProd 49

#### References

Marsh, H. W., Wen, Z. & Hau, K. T. (2004). Structural equation models of latent interactions: Evaluation of alternative estimation strategies and indicator construction. *Psychological Methods, 9*(3), 275–300. [doi:10.1037/1082989X.9.3.275](https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.9.3.275)

Lin, G. C., Wen, Z., Marsh, H. W., & Lin, H. S. (2010). Structural equation models of latent interactions: Clarification of orthogonalizing and double-mean-centering strategies. *Structural Equation Modeling, 17*(3), 374–391. [doi:10.1080/10705511.2010.488999](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2010.488999)

Little, T. D., Bovaird, J. A., & Widaman, K. F. (2006). On the merits of orthogonalizing powered and product terms: Implications for modeling interactions among latent variables. *Structural Equation Modeling, 13*(4), 497–519. [doi:10.1207/s15328007sem1304\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328007sem1304_1)

## See Also

- [probe2WayMC\(\)](#page-136-0) For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from mean-centering, or double-mean centering.
- [probe3WayMC\(\)](#page-142-0) For probing the three-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from mean-centering, or double-mean centering.
- [probe2WayRC\(\)](#page-139-0) For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from residual-centering approach.
- [probe3WayRC\(\)](#page-146-0) For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from residual-centering approach.
- [plotProbe\(\)](#page-123-0) Plot the simple intercepts and slopes of the latent interaction.

```
## Mean centering / two-way interaction / match-paired
dat \le indProd(attitude[, -1], var1 = 1:3, var2 = 4:6)
## Residual centering / two-way interaction / match-paired
dat2 <- indProd(attitude[ , -1], var1 = 1:3, var2 = 4:6, match = FALSE,
                meanC = FALSE, residualC = TRUE, doubleMC = FALSE)
## Double-mean centering / two-way interaction / match-paired
dat3 <- indProd(attitude[, -1], var1 = 1:3, var2 = 4:6, match = FALSE,
                meanC = TRUE, residualC = FALSE, doubleMC = TRUE)
## Mean centering / three-way interaction / match-paired
dat4 <- indProd(attitude[ , -1], var1 = 1:2, var2 = 3:4, var3 = 5:6)
## Residual centering / three-way interaction / match-paired
dat5 <- orthogonalize(attitude[ , -1], var1 = 1:2, var2 = 3:4, var3 = 5:6,
                     match = FALSE)## Double-mean centering / three-way interaction / match-paired
dat6 <- indProd(attitude[ , -1], var1 = 1:2, var2 = 3:4, var3 = 5:6,
               match = FALSE, meanC = TRUE, residualC = TRUE,doubleMC = TRUE)
```

```
## To add product-indicators to multiple-imputed data sets
## Not run:
HSMiss <- HolzingerSwineford1939[ , c(paste0("x", 1:9), "ageyr","agemo")]
set.seed(12345)
HSMiss$x5 <- ifelse(HSMiss$x5 <= quantile(HSMiss$x5, .3), NA, HSMiss$x5)
age <- HSMiss$ageyr + HSMiss$agemo/12
HSMiss$x9 <- ifelse(age <= quantile(age, .3), NA, HSMiss$x9)
library(Amelia)
set.seed(12345)
HS.amelia <- amelia(HSMiss, m = 3, p2s = FALSE)
imps <- HS.amelia$imputations # extract a list of imputations
## apply indProd() to the list of data.frames
imps2 <- lapply(imps, indProd,
                var1 = c("x1","x2","x3"), var2 = c("x4","x5","x6"))
## verify:
lapply(imps2, head)
## End(Not run)
```
kd *Generate data via the Kaiser-Dickman (1962) algorithm.*

#### Description

Given a covariance matrix and sample size, generate raw data that correspond to the covariance matrix. Data can be generated to match the covariance matrix exactly, or to be a sample from the population covariance matrix.

#### Usage

kd(covmat, n, type = c("exact", "sample"))

#### Arguments



# Details

By default,  $R$ 's cov() function divides by n-1. The data generated by this algorithm result in a covariance matrix that matches covmat, but you must divide by n instead of n-1.

### Value

kd returns a data matrix of dimension n by nrow(covmat).

## Author(s)

Ed Merkle (University of Missouri; <merklee@missouri.edu>)

# References

Kaiser, H. F. and Dickman, K. (1962). Sample and population score matrices and sample correlation matrices from an arbitrary population correlation matrix. *Psychometrika, 27*(2), 179–182. [doi:10.1007/BF02289635](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02289635)

#### Examples

#### First Example

```
## Get data
dat <- HolzingerSwineford1939[ , 7:15]
hs.n \leftarrow new(data)
```
## Covariance matrix divided by n hscov  $\leftarrow$  ((hs.n-1)/hs.n)  $\star$  cov(dat)

```
## Generate new, raw data corresponding to hscov
newdat <- kd(hscov, hs.n)
```

```
## Difference between new covariance matrix and hscov is minimal
newcov <- (hs.n-1)/hs.n * cov(newdat)
summary(as.numeric(hscov - newcov))
```

```
## Generate sample data, treating hscov as population matrix
newdat2 <- kd(hscov, hs.n, type = "sample")
```
#### Another example

```
## Define a covariance matrix
covmat \leq matrix(0, 3, 3)
diag(covmat) <-1.5covmat[2:3,1] < -c(1.3, 1.7)covmat[3,2] <- 2.1
covmat <- covmat + t(covmat)
```

```
## Generate data of size 300 that have this covariance matrix
rawdat <- kd(covmat, 300)
```

```
## Covariances are exact if we compute sample covariance matrix by
## dividing by n (vs by n - 1)
summary(as.numeric((299/300)*cov(rawdat) - covmat))
```

```
## Generate data of size 300 where covmat is the population covariance matrix
rawdat2 <- kd(covmat, 300)
```
<span id="page-51-0"></span>

## Description

Finding excessive kurtosis  $(g_2)$  of an object

#### Usage

```
kurtosis(object, population = FALSE)
```
# Arguments



# Details

The excessive kurtosis computed by default is  $g_2$ , the fourth standardized moment of the empirical distribution of object. The population parameter excessive kurtosis  $\gamma_2$  formula is

$$
\gamma_2 = \frac{\mu_4}{\mu_2^2} - 3,
$$

where  $\mu_i$  denotes the *i* order central moment.

The excessive kurtosis formula for sample statistic  $g_2$  is

$$
g_2 = \frac{k_4}{k_2^2} - 3,
$$

where  $k_i$  are the *i* order *k*-statistic.

The standard error of the excessive kurtosis is

$$
Var(\hat{g}_2) = \frac{24}{N}
$$

where  $N$  is the sample size.

# Value

A value of an excessive kurtosis with a test statistic if the population is specified as FALSE

### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

## lavaan2emmeans 53

# References

Weisstein, Eric W. (n.d.). *Kurtosis.* Retrieved from *MathWorld*–A Wolfram Web Resource: [http:](http://mathworld.wolfram.com/Kurtosis.html) [//mathworld.wolfram.com/Kurtosis.html](http://mathworld.wolfram.com/Kurtosis.html)

# See Also

- [skew\(\)](#page-156-0) Find the univariate skewness of a variable
- [mardiaSkew\(\)](#page-60-0) Find the Mardia's multivariate skewness of a set of variables
- [mardiaKurtosis\(\)](#page-59-0) Find the Mardia's multivariate kurtosis of a set of variables

### Examples

kurtosis(1:5)

lavaan2emmeans emmeans *Support Functions for* lavaan *Models*

#### Description

Provide emmeans support for lavaan objects

#### Usage

```
recover_data.lavaan(object, lavaan.DV, ...)
```

```
emm_basis.lavaan(object, trms, xlev, grid, lavaan.DV, ...)
```
### Arguments



trms, xlev, grid See emmeans::emm\_basis

#### Details

Supported DVs: lavaan.DV must be an *endogenous variable*, by appearing on the left-hand side of either a regression operator  $("~")$  or an intercept operator  $("~'']$ , or both.

lavaan.DV can also be a vector of endogenous variable, in which case they will be treated by emmeans as a multivariate outcome (often, this indicates repeated measures) represented by an additional factor named rep.meas by default. The rep.meas= argument can be used to overwrite this default name.

Unsupported Models: This functionality does not support the following models:

- Multi-level models are not supported.
- Models not fit to a data. frame (i.e., models fit to a covariance matrix).

Dealing with Fixed Parameters: Fixed parameters (set with lavaan's modifiers) are treated as-is: their values are set by the users, and they have a *SE* of 0 (as such, they do not co-vary with any other parameter).

Dealing with Multigroup Models: If a multigroup model is supplied, a factor is added to the reference grid, the name matching the group argument supplied when fitting the model. *Note that you must set* nesting = NULL.

Dealing with Missing Data: Limited testing suggests that these functions do work when the model was fit to incomplete data.

Dealing with Factors: By default emmeans recognizes binary variables (0,1) as a "factor" with two levels (and not a continuous variable). With some clever contrast defenitions it should be possible to get the desired emmeans / contasts. See example below.

### Author(s)

Mattan S. Ben-Shachar (Ben-Gurion University of the Negev; <matanshm@post.bgu.ac.il>)

### Examples

## Not run:

```
library(lavaan)
library(emmeans)
#### Moderation Analysis ####
mean_sd \leq function(x) mean(x) + c(-sd(x), 0, sd(x))
model <- '
# regressions
Sepal.Length \sim b1 \star Sepal.Width + b2 \star Petal.Length + b3 \star Sepal.Width:Petal.Length
# define mean parameter label for centered math for use in simple slopes
Sepal.Width ~ Sepal.Width.mean * 1
# define variance parameter label for centered math for use in simple slopes
Sepal.Width ~~ Sepal.Width.var * Sepal.Width
# simple slopes for condition effect
SD.below := b2 + b3 \times (Sepal.Width.mean - sqrt(Sepal.Width.var))mean := b2 + b3 * (Sepal.Width.macan)SD.above := b2 + b3 \times (Send.Midth.mean + sqrt(Sepal.Width.var))semFit < - sem (mode1 = mode1,
```

```
data = iris)## Compare simple slopes
dat<br>## Compare simple<br># From `emtrends`
test(
  emtrends(semFit, ~ Sepal.Width, "Petal.Length",
           lavaan.DV = "Sepal.Length",
           cov.red = mean_sd)
\lambda# From lavaan
parameterEstimates(semFit, output = "pretty")[13:15, ]
# Identical slopes.
# SEs differ due to lavaan estimating uncertainty of the mean / SD
# of Sepal.Width, whereas emmeans uses the mean+-SD as is (fixed).
#### Latent DV ####
model <- '
LAT1 =~ Sepal.Length + Sepal.Width
LAT1 \sim b1 \star Petal.Width + 1 \star Petal.Length
Petal.Length ~ Petal.Length.mean * 1
V1 := 1 * Petal. Length. mean + 1 * b1V2 := 1 * Petal. Length. mean + 2 * b1semFit <- sem(model = model,
              data = iris, std.lv = TRUE)## Compare emmeans
# From emmeans
test(
  emmeans(semFit, ~ Petal.Width,
          lavaan.DV = "LAT1",
          at = list(Petal.Width = 1:2))
# From lavaan
parameterEstimates(semFit, output = "pretty")[15:16, ]
# Identical means.
# SEs differ due to lavaan estimating uncertainty of the mean
# of Petal.Length, whereas emmeans uses the mean as is.
#### Multi-Variate DV ####
model < -ind60 = x1 + x2 + x3# metric invariance
```

```
dem60 = \times y1 + a*y2 + b*y3 + c*y4
dem65 = \times y5 + a*y6 + b*y7 + c*y8
# scalar invariance
y1 + y5 \sim dx1y2 + y6 ~ e*1
y3 + y7 - f*1y4 + y8 ~ g*1
# regressions (slopes differ: interaction with time)
dem60 ~ b1*ind60
dem65 \sim b2*ind60 + NA*1 + Mean.Diff*1
# residual correlations
y1 ~~ y5
y2 ~~ y4 + y6
y3 ~~ y7
y4 ~~ y8
y6 ~~ y8
# conditional mean differences (besides mean(ind60) == 0)
low := (-1*b2 + Mean.Diff) - (-1*b1) # 1 SD below Mhigh := (b2 + Mean.Diff) - b1 # 1 SD above M
semFit <- sem(model, data = PoliticalDemocracy)
## Compare contrasts
# From emmeans
emmeans(semFit, pairwise ~ rep.meas|ind60,
        lavaan.DV = c("dem60","dem65"),
        at = list(ind60 = c(-1,1))[[2]]
# From lavaan
parameterEstimates(semFit, output = "pretty")[49:50, ]
#### Multi Group ####
model \leq 'x1 \sim c(int1, int2)*1 + c(b1, b2)*ageyr
diff_11 := (int2 + b2*11) - (int1 + b1*11)diff_13 := (int2 + b2*13) - (int1 + b1*13)diff_15 := (int2 + b2*15) - (int1 + b1*15)semFit <- sem(model, group = "school", data = HolzingerSwineford1939)
## Compare contrasts
# From emmeans (note `nesting = NULL`)
emmeans(semFit, pairwise ~ school | ageyr, lavaan.DV = "x1",
        at = list(ageyr = c(11, 13, 15)), nesting = NULL)[[2]]
```
# loadingFromAlpha 57

```
# From lavaan
parameterEstimates(semFit, output = "pretty")
#### Dealing with factors ####
warpbreaks <- cbind(warpbreaks,
                     model.max(\sim \text{wood} + tension, data = warphreaks))model <- "
# Split for convenience
breaks ~ 1
breaks ~ woolB
breaks ~ tensionM + tensionH
breaks ~ woolB:tensionM + woolB:tensionH
"
semFit <- sem(model, warpbreaks)
## Compare contrasts
# From lm -> emmeans
lmFit <- lm(breaks ~ wool * tension, data = warpbreaks)
lmEM <- emmeans(lmFit, ~ tension + wool)
contrast(lmEM, method = data.frame(L_all = c(-1, .05, 0.5),M_H = c(0, 1, -1), by = "wool")
# From lavaan -> emmeans
lavEM <- emmeans(semFit, ~ tensionM + tensionH + woolB,
                  lavaan.DV = "breaks")
contrast(lavEM,
         method = list(
           "L_a11|A" = c(c(-1, .05, 0.5, 0), rep(0, 4)),"M_H |A" = c(c(\emptyset, 1, -1, \emptyset)), rep(0, 4)),
           "L_all|A" = c(rep(0, 4), c(-1, .05, 0.5, 0)),<br>"M_H |A" = c(rep(0, 4), c(0, 1, -1, 0))
           M_H |A" = c(rep(0, 4),
         ))
```
## End(Not run)

loadingFromAlpha *Find standardized factor loading from coefficient alpha*

#### **Description**

Find standardized factor loading from coefficient alpha assuming that all items have equal loadings.

### Usage

loadingFromAlpha(alpha, ni)

58 lrv2ord and the state of the state of

# Arguments



# Value



# Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

# Examples

loadingFromAlpha(0.8, 4)

lrv2ord *Calculate Population Moments for Ordinal Data Treated as Numeric*

# Description

This function calculates ordinal-scale moments implied by LRV-scale moments

# Usage

```
lrv2ord(Sigma, Mu, thresholds, cWts)
```
# Arguments



### lrv2ord 59

#### Details

Binary and ordinal data are frequently accommodated in SEM by incorporating a threshold model that links each observed categorical response variable to a corresponding latent response variable that is typically assumed to be normally distributed (Kamata & Bauer, 2008; Wirth & Edwards, 2007). This function can be useful for real-data analysis or for designing Monte Carlo simulations, as described by Jorgensen and Johnson (2022).

# Value

A list including the LRV-scale population moments (means, covariance matrix, correlation matrix, and thresholds), the category weights, a data.frame of implied univariate moments (means, *SD*s, skewness, and excess kurtosis (i.e., in excess of 3, which is the kurtosis of the normal distribution) for discretized data treated as numeric, and the implied covariance and correlation matrix of discretized data treated as numeric.

## Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

Andrew Johnson (Curtin University; <andrew.johnson@curtin.edu.au>)

### References

Jorgensen, T. D., & Johnson, A. R. (2022). How to derive expected values of structural equation model parameters when treating discrete data as continuous. *Structural Equation Modeling, 29*(4), 639–650. [doi:10.1080/10705511.2021.1988609](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2021.1988609)

Kamata, A., & Bauer, D. J. (2008). A note on the relation between factor analytic and item response theory models. *Structural Equation Modeling, 15*(1), 136–153. [doi:10.1080/10705510701758406](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705510701758406)

Wirth, R. J., & Edwards, M. C. (2007). Item factor analysis: Current approaches and future directions. *Psychological Methods, 12*(1), 58–79. [doi:10.1037/1082989X.12.1.58](https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.12.1.58)

```
## SCENARIO 1: DIRECTLY SPECIFY POPULATION PARAMETERS
## specify population model in LISREL matrices
Nu \leq rep(0, 4)
Alpha <-c(1, -0.5)Lambda \leq matrix(c(1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1), nrow = 4, ncol = 2,
                 dimnames = list(\text{past} \neq 0("y", 1:4), past \neq 0("eta", 1:2)))Psi <- diag(c(1, .75))
Theta \leq diag(4)
Beta \leq matrix(c(0, .5, 0, 0), nrow = 2, ncol = 2)
## calculate model-implied population means and covariance matrix
## of latent response variables (LRVs)
IB \le solve(diag(2) - Beta) # to save time and space
Mu_LRV <- Nu + Lambda %*% IB %*% Alpha
Sigma_LRV <- Lambda %*% IB %*% Psi %*% t(IB) %*% t(Lambda) + Theta
```

```
## Specify (unstandardized) thresholds to discretize normally distributed data
## generated from Mu_LRV and Sigma_LRV, based on marginal probabilities
PiList \leftarrow list(y1 = c(.25, .5, .25),
               y2 = c(.17, .33, .33, .17),
               y3 = c(.1, .2, .4, .2, .1),## make final variable highly asymmetric
               y4 = c(.33, .25, .17, .12, .08, .05))sapply(PiList, sum) # all sum to 100%
CumProbs <- sapply(PiList, cumsum)
## unstandardized thresholds
TauList \leq mapply(qnorm, p = \text{lapply}(\text{CumProbs}, \text{function}(x) \times [-\text{length}(x)]),m = Mu_LRV, sd = sqrt(diag(Sigma_LRV)))
for (i in 1:4) names(TauList[[i]]) <- paste0(names(TauList)[i], "|t",
                                               1:length(TauList[[i]]))
## assign numeric weights to each category (optional, see default)
NumCodes <- list(y1 = c(-0.5, 0, 0.5), y2 = 0:3, y3 = 1:5, y4 = 1:6)
## Calculate Population Moments for Numerically Coded Ordinal Variables
lrv2ord(Sigma = Sigma_LRV, Mu = Mu_LRV, thresholds = TauList, cWts = NumCodes)
## SCENARIO 2: USE ESTIMATED PARAMETERS AS POPULATION
data(datCat) # already stored as c("ordered","factor")
fit <- cfa(' f = 1*u1 + 1*u2 + 1*u3 + 1*u4 ', data = datCat)
lrv2ord(Sigma = fit, thresholds = fit) # use same fit for both
## or use estimated thresholds with specified parameters, but note that
## lrv2ord() will only extract standardized thresholds
dimnames(Sigma_LRV) <- list(paste0("u", 1:4), paste0("u", 1:4))
lrv2ord(Sigma = cov2cor(Sigma_LRV), thresholds = fit)
```
<span id="page-59-0"></span>mardiaKurtosis *Finding Mardia's multivariate kurtosis*

#### Description

Finding Mardia's multivariate kurtosis of multiple variables

### Usage

```
mardiaKurtosis(dat, use = "everything")
```
# Arguments



mardiaSkew 61

## Details

The Mardia's multivariate kurtosis formula (Mardia, 1970) is

$$
b_{2,d} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \left( \boldsymbol{X}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{X}} \right)' \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \left( \boldsymbol{X}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{X}} \right) \right]^2,
$$

where  $d$  is the number of variables,  $X$  is the target dataset with multiple variables,  $n$  is the sample size, S is the sample covariance matrix of the target dataset, and  $\bar{X}$  is the mean vectors of the target dataset binded in n rows. When the population multivariate kurtosis is normal, the  $b_{2,d}$ is asymptotically distributed as normal distribution with the mean of  $d(d + 2)$  and variance of  $8d(d+2)/n$ .

### Value

A value of a Mardia's multivariate kurtosis with a test statistic

## Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

# References

Mardia, K. V. (1970). Measures of multivariate skewness and kurtosis with applications. *Biometrika, 57*(3), 519–530. [doi:10.2307/2334770](https://doi.org/10.2307/2334770)

## See Also

- [skew\(\)](#page-156-0) Find the univariate skewness of a variable
- [kurtosis\(\)](#page-51-0) Find the univariate excessive kurtosis of a variable
- [mardiaSkew\(\)](#page-60-0) Find the Mardia's multivariate skewness of a set of variables

## Examples

```
library(lavaan)
mardiaKurtosis(HolzingerSwineford1939[ , paste0("x", 1:9)])
```

```
mardiaSkew Finding Mardia's multivariate skewness
```
## Description

Finding Mardia's multivariate skewness of multiple variables

### Usage

mardiaSkew(dat, use = "everything")

62 mardiaSkew

#### Arguments



## Details

The Mardia's multivariate skewness formula (Mardia, 1970) is

$$
b_{1,d} = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \left( \boldsymbol{X}_{i} - \bar{\boldsymbol{X}} \right)^{'} \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \left( \boldsymbol{X}_{j} - \bar{\boldsymbol{X}} \right) \right]^{3},
$$

where  $d$  is the number of variables,  $X$  is the target dataset with multiple variables,  $n$  is the sample size, S is the sample covariance matrix of the target dataset, and  $\bar{X}$  is the mean vectors of the target dataset binded in *n* rows. When the population multivariate skewness is normal, the  $\frac{n}{6}b_{1,d}$  is asymptotically distributed as  $\chi^2$  distribution with  $d(d+1)(d+2)/6$  degrees of freedom.

## Value

A value of a Mardia's multivariate skewness with a test statistic

### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

### References

Mardia, K. V. (1970). Measures of multivariate skewness and kurtosis with applications. *Biometrika, 57*(3), 519–530. [doi:10.2307/2334770](https://doi.org/10.2307/2334770)

### See Also

- [skew\(\)](#page-156-0) Find the univariate skewness of a variable
- [kurtosis\(\)](#page-51-0) Find the univariate excessive kurtosis of a variable
- [mardiaKurtosis\(\)](#page-59-0) Find the Mardia's multivariate kurtosis of a set of variables

```
library(lavaan)
mardiaSkew(HolzingerSwineford1939[ , paste0("x", 1:9)])
```
## Description

Calculate maximal reliability of a scale

# Usage

maximalRelia(object, omit.imps = c("no.conv", "no.se"))

## Arguments



# Details

Given that a composite score  $(W)$  is a weighted sum of item scores:

$$
W = \boldsymbol{w}'\boldsymbol{x},
$$

where x is a  $k \times 1$  vector of the scores of each item, w is a  $k \times 1$  weight vector of each item, and  $k$  represents the number of items. Then, maximal reliability is obtained by finding  $w$  such that reliability attains its maximum (Li, 1997; Raykov, 2012). Note that the reliability can be obtained by

$$
\rho = \frac{\bm{w}' \bm{S}_T \bm{w}}{\bm{w}' \bm{S}_X \bm{w}}
$$

where  $S_T$  is the covariance matrix explained by true scores and  $S_X$  is the observed covariance matrix. Numerical method is used to find  $w$  in this function.

For continuous items,  $S_T$  can be calculated by

$$
\boldsymbol{S}_T = \Lambda \Psi \Lambda',
$$

where  $\Lambda$  is the factor loading matrix and  $\Psi$  is the covariance matrix among factors.  $S_X$  is directly obtained by covariance among items.

For categorical items, Green and Yang's (2009) method is used for calculating  $S_T$  and  $S_X$ . The element i and j of  $S_T$  can be calculated by

$$
\label{eq:ST} [\pmb{S}_T]_{ij} = \sum_{c_i=1}^{C_i-1} \sum_{c_j=1}^{C_j-1} \Phi_2\left(\tau_{x_{c_i}}, \tau_{x_{c_j}}, [\Lambda \Psi \Lambda']_{ij}\right) - \sum_{c_i=1}^{C_i-1} \Phi_1(\tau_{x_{c_i}}) \sum_{c_j=1}^{C_j-1} \Phi_1(\tau_{x_{c_j}}),
$$

where  $C_i$  and  $C_j$  represents the number of thresholds in Items i and j,  $\tau_{x_{c_i}}$  represents the threshold  $c_i$  of Item i,  $\tau_{x_{c_j}}$  represents the threshold  $c_i$  of Item j,  $\Phi_1(\tau_{x_{c_i}})$  is the cumulative probability of  $\tau_{x_{c_i}}$  given a univariate standard normal cumulative distribution and  $\Phi_2\left(\tau_{x_{c_i}}, \tau_{x_{c_j}}, \rho\right)$  is the joint cumulative probability of  $\tau_{x_{c_i}}$  and  $\tau_{x_{c_j}}$  given a bivariate standard normal cumulative distribution with a correlation of  $\rho$ 

Each element of  $S_X$  can be calculated by

$$
\left[\mathbf{S}_{T}\right]_{ij} = \sum_{c_i=1}^{C_i-1} \sum_{c_j=1}^{C_j-1} \Phi_2\left(\tau_{V_{c_i}}, \tau_{V_{c_j}}, \rho_{ij}^{*}\right) - \sum_{c_i=1}^{C_i-1} \Phi_1(\tau_{V_{c_i}}) \sum_{c_j=1}^{C_j-1} \Phi_1(\tau_{V_{c_j}}),
$$

where  $\rho_{ij}^*$  is a polychoric correlation between Items i and j.

### Value

Maximal reliability values of each group. The maximal-reliability weights are also provided. Users may extracted the weighted by the attr function (see example below).

## Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### References

Li, H. (1997). A unifying expression for the maximal reliability of a linear composite. *Psychometrika, 62*(2), 245–249. [doi:10.1007/BF02295278](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02295278)

Raykov, T. (2012). Scale construction and development using structural equation modeling. In R. H. Hoyle (Ed.), *Handbook of structural equation modeling* (pp. 472–494). New York, NY: Guilford.

### See Also

[reliability\(\)](#page-0-0) for reliability of an unweighted composite score

# measEq.syntax 65

#### Examples

```
total <- 'f = x1 + x2 + x3 + x4 + x5 + x6 + x7 + x8 + x9 '
fit <- cfa(total, data = HolzingerSwineford1939)
maximalRelia(fit)
# Extract the weight
mr <- maximalRelia(fit)
attr(mr, "weight")
```
measEq.syntax *Syntax for measurement equivalence*

# Description

Automatically generates lavaan model syntax to specify a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) model with equality constraints imposed on user-specified measurement (or structural) parameters. Optionally returns the fitted model (if data are provided) representing some chosen level of measurement equivalence/invariance across groups and/or repeated measures.

#### Usage

```
measEq.syntax(configural.model, ..., ID.fac = "std.lv",
  ID.cat = "Wu.Estabrook.2016", ID.thr = c(1L, 2L), group = NULL,
  group.equal = "", group.partial = "", longFacNames = list(),
  longIndNames = list(), long.equals = "", long.partial = "",auto = "all", warn = TRUE, debug = FALSE, return.fit = FALSE)
```
# Arguments

configural.model

A model with no measurement-invariance constraints (i.e., representing only configural invariance), unless required for model identification. configural.model can be either:

- [lavaan::model.syntax\(\)](#page-0-0) or a [lavaan::parTable\(\)](#page-0-0) specifying the configural model. Using this option, the user can also provide either raw data or summary statistics via sample.cov and (optionally) sample.mean. See argument descriptions in [lavaan::lavaan\(\)](#page-0-0). In order to include thresholds in the generated syntax, either users must provide raw data, or the configural.model syntax must specify all thresholds (see first example). If raw data are not provided, the number of blocks (groups, levels, or combination) must be indicated using an arbitrary sample.nobs argument (e.g., 3 groups could be specified using sample.nobs=rep(1, 3)).
- a fitted [lavaan](#page-0-0) model (e.g., as returned by [lavaan::cfa\(\)](#page-0-0)) estimating the configural model





#### Details

This function is a pedagogical and analytical tool to generate model syntax representing some level of measurement equivalence/invariance across any combination of multiple groups and/or repeated measures. Support is provided for confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) models with simple or complex structure (i.e., cross-loadings and correlated residuals are allowed). For any complexities that exceed the limits of automation, this function is intended to still be useful by providing a means to generate syntax that users can easily edit to accommodate their unique situations.

Limited support is provided for bifactor models and higher-order constructs. Because bifactor models have cross-loadings by definition, the option ID.fac = "effects.code" is unavailable. ID.fac  $=$  "UV" is recommended for bifactor models, but ID. fac = "UL" is available on the condition that each factor has a unique first indicator in the configural.model. In order to maintain generality, higher-order factors may include a mix of manifest and latent indicators, but they must therefore require ID.fac = "UL" to avoid complications with differentiating lower-order vs. higher-order (or mixed-level) factors. The keyword "loadings" in group.equal or long.equal constrains factor loadings of all manifest indicators (including loadings on higher-order factors that also have latent indicators), whereas the keyword "regressions" constrains factor loadings of latent indicators. Users can edit the model syntax manually to adjust constraints as necessary, or clever use of the group.partial or long.partial arguments could make it possible for users to still automated their model syntax. The keyword "intercepts" constrains the intercepts of all manifest indicators, and the keyword "means" constrains intercepts and means of all latent common factors, regardless of whether they are latent indicators of higher-order factors. To test equivalence of lower-order and higher-order intercepts/means in separate steps, the user can either manually edit their generated syntax or conscientiously exploit the group.partial or long.partial arguments as necessary.

ID.fac: If the configural.model fixes any (e.g., the first) factor loadings, the generated syntax object will retain those fixed values. This allows the user to retain additional constraints that might be necessary (e.g., if there are only 1 or 2 indicators). Some methods must be used in conjunction with other settings:

- ID.cat = "Millsap" requires ID.fac = "UL" and parameterization = "theta".
- ID.cat = "LISREL" requires parameterization = "theta".
- ID.fac = "effects.code" is unavailable when there are any cross-loadings.

ID.cat: Wu & Estabrook (2016) recommended constraining thresholds to equality first, and doing so should allow releasing any identification constraints no longer needed. For each ordered indicator, constraining one threshold to equality will allow the item's intercepts to be estimated in all but the first group or repeated measure. Constraining a second threshold (if applicable) will allow the item's (residual) variance to be estimated in all but the first group or repeated measure. For binary data, there is no independent test of threshold, intercept, or residual-variance equality. Equivalence of thresholds must also be assumed for three-category indicators. These guidelines provide the least restrictive assumptions and tests, and are therefore the default.

The default setting in M*plus* is similar to Wu & Estabrook (2016), except that intercepts are always constrained to zero (so they are assumed to be invariant without testing them). Millsap  $\&$  Tein (2004) recommended parameterization = "theta" and identified an item's residual variance in all but the first group (or occasion; Liu et al., 2017) by constraining its intercept to zero and one of its thresholds to equality. A second threshold for the reference indicator (so ID.fac = "UL") is used to identify the common-factor means in all but the first group/occasion. The LISREL software fixes the first threshold to zero and (if applicable) the second threshold to 1, and assumes any remaining thresholds to be equal across groups / repeated measures; thus, the intercepts are always identified, and residual variances (parameterization = "theta") are identified except for binary data, when they are all fixed to one.

Repeated Measures: If each repeatedly measured factor is measured by the same indicators (specified in the same order in the configural.model) on each occasion, without any cross-loadings, the user can let longIndNames be automatically generated. Generic names for the repeatedly measured indicators are created using the name of the repeatedly measured factors (i.e., names(longFacNames)) and the number of indicators. So the repeatedly measured first indicator ("ind") of a longitudinal construct called "factor" would be generated as ".\_factor\_ind.1".

The same types of parameter can be specified for long.equal as for group.equal (see [lavaan::lavOptions\(\)](#page-0-0) for a list), except for "residual.covariances" or "lv.covariances". Instead, users can constrain *autocovariances using keywords "resid.autocov" or "lv.autocov"*. Note that group.equal = "lv.covariances" or group.equal = "residual.covariances" will constrain any autocovariances across groups, along with any other covariances the user specified in the configural.model. Note also that autocovariances cannot be specified as exceptions in long.partial, so anything more complex than the auto argument automatically provides should instead be manually specified in the configural.model.

#### measEq.syntax 69

When users set orthogonal=TRUE in the configural.model (e.g., in bifactor models of repeatedly measured constructs), autocovariances of each repeatedly measured factor will still be freely estimated in the generated syntax.

**Missing Data:** If users wish to utilize the auxiliary  $\int$  function to automatically include auxiliary variables in conjunction with missing = "FIML", they should first generate the hypothesized-model syntax, then submit that syntax as the model to auxiliary(). If users utilized lavaan.mi:: lavaan.mi() to fit their configural.model to multiply imputed data, that model can also be passed to the configural.model argument, and if return.fit = TRUE, the generated model will be fitted to the multiple imputations.

#### Value

By default, an object of class [measEq.syntax.](#page-73-0) If return.fit = TRUE, a fitted [lavaan::lavaan\(\)](#page-0-0) model, with the measEq.syntax object stored in the @external slot, accessible by fit@external\$measEq.syntax.

### Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

### References

Kloessner, S., & Klopp, E. (2019). Explaining constraint interaction: How to interpret estimated model parameters under alternative scaling methods. *Structural Equation Modeling, 26*(1), 143– 155. [doi:10.1080/10705511.2018.1517356](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2018.1517356)

Liu, Y., Millsap, R. E., West, S. G., Tein, J.-Y., Tanaka, R., & Grimm, K. J. (2017). Testing measurement invariance in longitudinal data with ordered-categorical measures. *Psychological Methods, 22*(3), 486–506. [doi:10.1037/met0000075](https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000075)

Millsap, R. E., & Tein, J.-Y. (2004). Assessing factorial invariance in ordered-categorical measures. *Multivariate Behavioral Research, 39*(3), 479–515. [doi:10.1207/S15327906MBR3903\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327906MBR3903_4)

Wu, H., & Estabrook, R. (2016). Identification of confirmatory factor analysis models of different levels of invariance for ordered categorical outcomes. *Psychometrika, 81*(4), 1014–1045. [doi:10.1007/s1133601695060](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-016-9506-0)

#### See Also

[compareFit\(\)](#page-15-0)

```
mod.cat <- ' FU1 =~ u1 + u2 + u3 + u4
            FU2 = \sim u5 + u6 + u7 + u8
## the 2 factors are actually the same factor (FU) measured twice
longFacNames <- list(FU = c("FU1","FU2"))
## CONFIGURAL model: no constraints across groups or repeated measures
syntax.config <- measEq.syntax(configural.model = mod.cat,
                               # NOTE: data provides info about numbers of
                               # groups and thresholds
                               data = dataCat,
```

```
ordered = past@("u", 1:8),
                               parameterization = "theta",
                               ID.fac = "std.lv", ID.cat = "Wu.Estabrook.2016",
                               group = "g", longFacNames = longFacNames)## print lavaan syntax to the Console
cat(as.character(syntax.config))
## print a summary of model features
summary(syntax.config)
## THRESHOLD invariance:
## only necessary to specify thresholds if you have no data
mod.th < -u1 | t1 + t2 + t3 + t4
 u2 | t1 + t2 + t3 + t4
 u3 | t1 + t2 + t3 + t4
 u4 | t1 + t2 + t3 + t4
 u5 | t1 + t2 + t3 + t4
 u6 | t1 + t2 + t3 + t4
 u7 | t1 + t2 + t3 + t4
 u8 | t1 + t2 + t3 + t4
syntax.thresh <- measEq.syntax(configural.model = c(mod.cat, mod.th),
                               # NOTE: data not provided, so syntax must
                               # include thresholds, and number of
                               # groups == 2 is indicated by:
                               sample.nobs = c(1, 1),
                               parameterization = "theta",
                               ID.fac = "std.lv", ID.cat = "Wu.Estabrook.2016",
                               group = "g", group.equal = "thresholds",
                               longFacNames = longFacNames,
                               long.equal = "thresholds")
## notice that constraining 4 thresholds allows intercepts and residual
## variances to be freely estimated in all but the first group & occasion
cat(as.character(syntax.thresh))
## print a summary of model features
summary(syntax.thresh)
## Fit a model to the data either in a subsequent step (recommended):
mod.config <- as.character(syntax.config)
fit.config <- cfa(mod.config, data = datCat, group = "g",
                  ordered = paste0("u", 1:8), parameterization = "theta")
## or in a single step (not generally recommended):
fit.thresh <- measEq.syntax(configural.model = mod.cat, data = datCat,
                            ordered = paste0("u", 1:8),
                            parameterization = "theta",
                            ID.fac = "std.lv", ID.cat = "Wu.Estabrook.2016",
                            group = "g", group.equal = "thresholds",
                            longFacNames = longFacNames,
                            long.equal = "thresholds", return.fit = TRUE)
## compare their fit to test threshold invariance
anova(fit.config, fit.thresh)
```
## -- ## RECOMMENDED PRACTICE: fit one invariance model at a time ## -- ## - A downside of setting return.fit=TRUE is that if the model has trouble ## converging, you don't have the opportunity to investigate the syntax, ## or even to know whether an error resulted from the syntax-generator or ## from lavaan itself. ## - A downside of automatically fitting an entire set of invariance models ## (like the old measurementInvariance() function did) is that you might ## end up testing models that shouldn't even be fitted because less ## restrictive models already fail (e.g., don't test full scalar ## invariance if metric invariance fails! Establish partial metric ## invariance first, then test equivalent of intercepts ONLY among the ## indicators that have invariate loadings.) ## The recommended sequence is to (1) generate and save each syntax object, ## (2) print it to the screen to verify you are fitting the model you expect ## to (and potentially learn which identification constraints should be ## released when equality constraints are imposed), and (3) fit that model ## to the data, as you would if you had written the syntax yourself. ## Continuing from the examples above, after establishing invariance of ## thresholds, we proceed to test equivalence of loadings and intercepts ## (metric and scalar invariance, respectively) ## simultaneously across groups and repeated measures. ## Not run: ## metric invariance syntax.metric <- measEq.syntax(configural.model = mod.cat, data = datCat, ordered =  $paste0("u", 1:8)$ , parameterization = "theta", ID.fac = "std.lv", ID.cat = "Wu.Estabrook.2016", group = "g", longFacNames = longFacNames, group.equal = c("thresholds","loadings"), long.equal = c("thresholds","loadings")) summary(syntax.metric)  $\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{ $**$}$  summarize model features mod.metric <- as.character(syntax.metric) # save as text cat(mod.metric)  $#$  print/view lavaan syntax ## fit model to data fit.metric <- cfa(mod.metric, data = datCat, group = "g", ordered = paste0("u", 1:8), parameterization = "theta") ## test equivalence of loadings, given equivalence of thresholds anova(fit.thresh, fit.metric) ## scalar invariance syntax.scalar <- measEq.syntax(configural.model = mod.cat, data = datCat, ordered =  $past@("u", 1:8),$ parameterization = "theta", ID.fac = "std.lv", ID.cat = "Wu.Estabrook.2016", group = "g", longFacNames = longFacNames,

```
group.equal = c("thresholds","loadings",
                                               "intercepts"),
                               long.equal = c("thresholds","loadings",
                                               "intercepts"))
summary(syntax.scalar) \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{ $*$} summarize model features
mod.scalar <- as.character(syntax.scalar) # save as text
cat(mod.scalar) # print/view lavaan syntax
## fit model to data
fit.scalar <- cfa(mod.scalar, data = datCat, group = "g",
                  ordered = paste0("u", 1:8), parameterization = "theta")
## test equivalence of intercepts, given equal thresholds & loadings
anova(fit.metric, fit.scalar)
## For a single table with all results, you can pass the models to
## summarize to the compareFit() function
compareFit(fit.config, fit.thresh, fit.metric, fit.scalar)
## ------------------------------------------------------
## NOT RECOMMENDED: fit several invariance models at once
## ------------------------------------------------------
test.seq <- c("thresholds","loadings","intercepts","means","residuals")
meq.list \leq list()
for (i in 0:length(test.seq)) {
  if (i == \emptysetL) {
   meq.label <- "configural"
    group.equal <- ""
   long.equal <- ""
  } else {
    meq.label <- test.seq[i]
    group.equal <- test.seq[1:i]
   long.equal <- test.seq[1:i]
  }
  meq.list[[meq.label]] <- measEq.syntax(configural.model = mod.cat,
                                         data = datCat,ordered = paste0("u", 1:8),
                                         parameterization = "theta",
                                         ID.fac = "std.lv",ID.cat = "Wu.Estabrook.2016",
                                         group = "g",group.equal = group.equal,
                                         longFacNames = longFacNames,
                                         long.equal = long.equal,
                                         return.fit = TRUE)
}
compareFit(meq.list)
## -----------------
```
## Binary indicators
# measEq.syntax 73

```
## -----------------
## borrow example data from Mplus user guide
myData <- read.table("http://www.statmodel.com/usersguide/chap5/ex5.16.dat")
names(myData) <- c("u1","u2","u3","u4","u5","u6","x1","x2","x3","g")
bin.mod <- '
 FU1 = u1 + u2 + u3FU2 = - u4 + u5 + u6
## Must SIMULTANEOUSLY constrain thresholds, loadings, and intercepts
test.seq <- list(strong = c("thresholds","loadings","intercepts"),
                 means = "means",strict = "residuals")
meq.list <- list()
for (i in 0:length(test.seq)) {
  if (i == 0L) {
    meq.label <- "configural"
    group.equal <- ""
    long.equal <- ""
  } else {
    meq.label <- names(test.seq)[i]
    group.equal <- unlist(test.seq[1:i])
    # long.equal <- unlist(test.seq[1:i])
  }
  meq.list[[meq.label]] <- measEq.syntax(configural.model = bin.mod,
                                          data = myData,
                                          ordered = past@("u", 1:6),
                                          parameterization = "theta",
                                          ID.fac = "std.lv",ID.cat = "Wu.Estabrook.2016",
                                          group = "g",group.equal = group.equal,
                                          #longFacNames = longFacNames,
                                          #long.equal = long.equal,
                                          return.fit = TRUE)
}
compareFit(meq.list)
## ---------------------
## Multilevel Invariance
## ---------------------
## To test invariance across levels in a MLSEM, specify syntax as though
## you are fitting to 2 groups instead of 2 levels.
mlsem <- ' f1 =\sim y1 + y2 + y3
           f2 =~ y4 + y5 + y6 '
## metric invariance
syntax.metric <- measEq.syntax(configural.model = mlsem, meanstructure = TRUE,
                               ID.fac = "std.lv", sample.nobs = c(1, 1),
                               group = "cluster", group.equal = "loadings")
```

```
## by definition, Level-1 means must be zero, so fix them
syntax.metric <- update(syntax.metric,
                        change.syntax = paste0("y", 1:6, " ~ c(0, NA)*1"))
## save as a character string
mod.metric <- as.character(syntax.metric, groups.as.blocks = TRUE)
## convert from multigroup to multilevel
mod.metric <- gsub(pattern = "group:", replacement = "level:",
                   x = mod.metric, fixed = TRUE## fit model to data
fit.metric <- lavaan(mod.metric, data = Demo.twolevel, cluster = "cluster")
summary(fit.metric)
## End(Not run)
```
measEq.syntax-class *Class for Representing a Measurement-Equivalence Model*

# Description

This class of object stores information used to automatically generate lavaan model syntax to represent user-specified levels of measurement equivalence/invariance across groups and/or repeated measures. See [measEq.syntax\(\)](#page-64-0) for details.

### Usage

```
## S4 method for signature 'measEq.syntax'
as.character(x, package = "lavaan",
 params = NULL, single = TRUE, groups.as.blocks = FALSE)
## S4 method for signature 'measEq.syntax'
show(object)
## S4 method for signature 'measEq.syntax'
summary(object, verbose = TRUE)
## S4 method for signature 'measEq.syntax'
update(object, ..., evaluate = TRUE,
  change.syntax = NULL)
```
### Arguments



single logical indicating whether to concatenate lavaan [lavaan::model.syntax\(\)](#page-0-0) into a single character string. Setting FALSE will return a vector of strings, which may be convenient (or even necessary to prevent an error) in models with long variable names, many variables, or many groups.

groups.as.blocks

logical indicating whether to write lavaan [lavaan::model.syntax\(\)](#page-0-0) using vectors of labels and values for multiple groups (the default: FALSE), or whether to write a separate "block" of syntax per group. The block structure could allow users to apply the generated multigroup syntax (after some editing) to test invariance across levels in a multilevel SEM (see final example on [measEq.syntax\(\)](#page-64-0) help page).

- verbose logical indicating whether to print a summary to the screen (default). If FALSE, only a pattern matrix is returned.
- ... Additional arguments to the call, or arguments with changed values.
- evaluate If TRUE, evaluate the new call; otherwise, return the new call.
- change.syntax [lavaan::model.syntax\(\)](#page-0-0) specifying labels or fixed/free values of parameters in object. These provide some flexibility to customize existing parameters without having to copy/paste the output of as.character(object) into an R script. For example, group.partial will free a parameter across all groups, but update allows users to free the parameter in just one group while maintaining equality constraints among other groups.

### Value



## Slots

package character indicating the software package used to represent the model. Currently, only "lavaan" is available, which uses the LISREL representation (see [lavaan::lavOptions\(\)](#page-0-0)). In the future, "OpenMx" may become available, using RAM representation.

- model.type character. Currently, only "cfa" is available. Future versions may allow for MIMIC / RFA models, where invariance can be tested across levels of exogenous variables explicitly included as predictors of indicators, controlling for their effects on (or correlation with) the common factors.
- call The function call as returned by match.call(), with some arguments updated if necessary for logical consistency.
- meanstructure logical indicating whether a mean structure is included in the model.
- numeric character vector naming numeric manifest indicators.
- ordered character vector naming ordered indicators.
- parameterization character. See [lavaan::lavOptions\(\)](#page-0-0).
- specify list of parameter matrices, similar in form to the output of lavInspect(fit, "free"). These matrices are logical, indicating whether each parameter should be specified in the model syntax.
- values list of parameter matrices, similar in form to the output of lavInspect(fit, "free"). These matrices are numeric, indicating whether each parameter should be freely estimated (indicated by NA) or fixed to a particular value.
- labels list of parameter matrices, similar in form to the output of lavInspect(fit, "free"). These matrices contain character labels used to constrain parameters to equality.
- constraints character vector containing additional equality constraints used to identify the model when ID.fac = "fx".

ngroups integer indicating the number of groups.

## Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

#### Examples

## See ?measEq.syntax help page for examples using lavaan

<span id="page-75-0"></span>miPowerFit *Modification indices and their power approach for model fit evaluation*

## Description

The model fit evaluation approach using modification indices and expected parameter changes.

#### Usage

```
miPowerFit(lavaanObj, stdLoad = 0.4, cor = 0.1, stdBeta = 0.1,
  \text{intcept} = 0.2, \text{stdDelta} = \text{NULL}, \text{delta} = \text{NULL}, \text{cilevel} = 0.9, ...)
```
## miPowerFit 77

### **Arguments**



# Details

To decide whether a parameter should be freed, one can inspect its modification index (MI) and expected parameter change (EPC). Those values can be used to evaluate model fit by 2 methods.

Method 1: Saris, Satorra, and van der Veld (2009, pp. 570–573) used power (probability of detecting a significant MI) and EPC to decide whether to free a parametr. First, one should evaluate whether a parameter's MI is significant. Second, one should evaluate whether the power to detect a target EPC is high enough. The combination of criteria leads to the so-called "JRule" first implemented with LISREL (van der Veld et al., 2008):

- If the MI is not significant and the power is low, the test is inconclusive.
- If the MI is not significant and the power is high, there is no misspecification.
- If the MI is significant and the power is low, the fixed parameter is misspecified.
- If the MI is significant and the power is high, the EPC is investigated. If the EPC is large (greater than the the target EPC), the parameter is misspecified. If the EPC is low (lower than the target EPC), the parameter is not misspecificied.

Method 2: The confidence interval (CI) of an EPC is calculated. These CIs are compared with the range of trivial misspecification, which could be (-delta, delta) or (0, delta) for nonnegative parameters.

- If a CI overlaps with the range of trivial misspecification, the test is inconclusive.
- If a CI completely exceeds the range of trivial misspecification, the fixed parameters are severely misspecified.
- If a CI is completely within the range of trivial misspecification, the fixed parameters are trivially misspecified.

### Value

A data frame with these variables:

- 1. lhs: The left-hand side variable, with respect to the operator in in the lavaan [lavaan::model.syntax\(\)](#page-0-0)
- 2. op: The lavaan syntax operator: "~~" represents covariance, "=~" represents factor loading, "~" represents regression, and "~1" represents intercept.
- 3. rhs: The right-hand side variable
- 4. group: The level of the group variable for the parameter in question
- 5. mi: The modification index of the fixed parameter
- 6. epc: The EPC if the parameter is freely estimated
- 7. target.epc: The target EPC that represents the minimum size of misspecification that one would like to be detected by the test with a high power
- 8. std.epc: The standardized EPC if the parameter is freely estimated
- 9. std.target.epc: The standardized target expected parameter change
- 10. significant.mi: Represents whether the modification index value is significant
- 11. high.power: Represents whether the power is enough to detect the target expected parameter change
- 12. decision.pow: The decision whether the parameter is misspecified or not based on Saris et al's method: "M" represents the parameter is misspecified, "NM" represents the parameter is not misspecified, "EPC:M" represents the parameter is misspecified decided by checking the expected parameter change value, "EPC:NM" represents the parameter is not misspecified decided by checking the expected parameter change value, and "I" represents the decision is inconclusive.
- 13. se.epc: The standard errors of the expected parameter changes.
- 14. lower.epc: The lower bound of the confidence interval of expected parameter changes.
- 15. upper.epc: The upper bound of the confidence interval of expected parameter changes.
- 16. lower.std.epc: Lower confidence limit of standardized EPCs
- 17. upper.std.epc: Upper confidence limit of standardized EPCs
- 18. decision.ci: Decision whether the parameter is misspecified based on the CI method: "M" represents the parameter is misspecified, "NM" represents the parameter is not misspecified, and "I" represents the decision is inconclusive.

The row numbers matches with the results obtained from the inspect(object, "mi") function.

### miPowerFit 79

### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

### References

Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. *Psychological Bulletin, 112*(1), 155–159. [doi:10.1037/0033-](https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.112.1.155) [2909.112.1.155](https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.112.1.155)

Saris, W. E., Satorra, A., & van der Veld, W. M. (2009). Testing structural equation models or detection of misspecifications? *Structural Equation Modeling, 16*(4), 561–582. [doi:10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705510903203433) [10705510903203433](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705510903203433)

van der Veld, W. M., Saris, W. E., & Satorra, A. (2008). *JRule 3.0 Users Guide*. [doi:10.13140/](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.13609.90729) [RG.2.2.13609.90729](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.13609.90729)

## See Also

[moreFitIndices\(\)](#page-82-0) For the additional fit indices information

## Examples

library(lavaan)

```
HS.model \leq ' visual = \propto x1 + x2 + x3 '
fit <- cfa(HS.model, data = HolzingerSwineford1939,
           group = "sex", group.equal = c("loadings","intercepts"))
miPowerFit(fit, free.remove = FALSE, op = "='") # loadings
miPowerFit(fit, free.remove = FALSE, op = "~1") # intercepts
model < -# latent variable definitions
     ind60 = x1 + x2 + x3dem60 =~ y1 + a*y2 + b*y3 + c*y4
     dem65 = \times y5 + a*y6 + b*y7 + c*y8
  # regressions
    dem60 ~ ind60
   dem65 \sim ind60 + dem60
  # residual correlations
   y1 \sim y5y2 ~~ y4 + y6
   y3 ~~ y7
   y4 ~~ y8
   y6 ~~ y8
fit2 <- sem(model, data = PoliticalDemocracy, meanstructure = TRUE)
miPowerFit(fit2, stdLoad = 0.3, cor = 0.2, stdBeta = 0.2, intcept = 0.5)
```
# Description

Robust confidence intervals for functions of parameter estimates, based on empirical sampling distributions of estimated model parameters.

# Usage

```
monteCarloCI(object = NULL, expr, coefs, ACM, nRep = 20000,
  standardized = FALSE, fast = TRUE, level = 0.95, na.rm = TRUE,
  append.samples = FALSE, plot = FALSE,
  ask = getOption("device.ask.default"), ...)
```
# Arguments





# **Details**

This function implements the Monte Carlo method of obtaining an empirical sampling distribution of estimated model parameters, as described by MacKinnon et al. (2004) for testing indirect effects in mediation models. This is essentially a parametric bootstrap method, which (re)samples parameters (rather than raw data) from a multivariate-normal distribution with mean vector equal to estimates in coef() and covariance matrix equal to the asymptotic covariance matrix vcov() of estimated parameters.

The easiest way to use the function is to fit a SEM to data with  $l$  avaan:: $l$  avaan(), using the := operator in the [lavaan::model.syntax\(\)](#page-0-0) to specify user-defined parameters. All information is then available in the resulting [lavaan](#page-0-0) object. Alternatively (especially when using external SEM software to fit the model), the expression(s) can be explicitly passed to the function, along with the vector of estimated model parameters and their associated asymptotic sampling covariance matrix (ACOV). For further information on the Monte Carlo method, see MacKinnon et al. (2004) and Preacher & Selig (2012).

The asymptotic covariance matrix can be obtained easily from many popular SEM software packages.

- LISREL: Including the EC option on the OU line will print the ACM to a seperate file. The file contains the lower triangular elements of the ACM in free format and scientific notation.
- M*plus*: Include the command TECH3; in the OUTPUT section. The ACM will be printed in the output.
- lavaan: Use the [vcov\(\)](#page-0-0) method on the fitted [lavaan](#page-0-0) object to return the ACM.

# Value

A lavaan.data.frame (to use lavaan's print method) with point estimates and confidence limits of each requested function of parameters in expr is returned. If append.samples = TRUE, output will be a list with the same \$Results along with a second data.frame with the \$Samples (in rows) of each parameter (in columns), and an additional column for each requested function of those parameters.

### Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

### References

MacKinnon, D. P., Lockwood, C. M., & Williams, J. (2004). Confidence limits for the indirect effect: Distribution of the product and resampling methods. *Multivariate Behavioral Research, 39*(1) 99–128. [doi:10.1207/s15327906mbr3901\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr3901_4)

Preacher, K. J., & Selig, J. P. (2010, July). Monte Carlo method for assessing multilevel mediation: An interactive tool for creating confidence intervals for indirect effects in 1-1-1 multilevel models. Computer software available from <http://quantpsy.org/>.

Preacher, K. J., & Selig, J. P. (2012). Advantages of Monte Carlo confidence intervals for indirect effects. *Communication Methods and Measures, 6*(2), 77–98. [doi:10.1080/19312458.2012.679848](https://doi.org/10.1080/19312458.2012.679848)

Selig, J. P., & Preacher, K. J. (2008, June). Monte Carlo method for assessing mediation: An interactive tool for creating confidence intervals for indirect effects. Computer software available from <http://quantpsy.org/>.

# Examples

```
## From the mediation tutorial:
## http://lavaan.ugent.be/tutorial/mediation.html
set.seed(1234)
X \le - rnorm(100)M < -0.5*X + rnorm(100)Y \le -0.7*M + rnorm(100)dat \le data.frame(X = X, Y = Y, M = M)
mod <- ' # direct effect
  Y \sim c \star X# mediator
 M \sim a \star XY ~ ~ ~ b * M# indirect effect (a*b)
  ind := a * b# total effect
  total := ind + c
fit \leq sem(mod, data = dat)
summary(fit, ci = TRUE) # print delta-method CIs
## Automatically extract information from lavaan object
set.seed(1234)
monteCarloCI(fit) # CIs more robust than delta method in smaller samples
## delta method for standardized solution
standardizedSolution(fit)
## compare to Monte Carlo CIs:
set.seed(1234)
monteCarloCI(fit, standardized = TRUE)
## save samples to calculate more precise intervals:
## Not run:
set.seed(1234)
```
# moreFitIndices 83

```
foo <- monteCarloCI(fit, append.samples = TRUE)
library(HDInterval)
hdi(foo$Samples)
## End(Not run)
## Parameters can also be obtained from an external analysis
myParams <- c("a","b","c")
(coefs <- coef(fit)[myParams]) # names must match those in the "expression"
## Asymptotic covariance matrix from an external analysis
(AsyCovMat <- vcov(fit)[myParams, myParams])
## Compute CI, include a plot
set.seed(1234)
monteCarloCI(expr = c(ind = 'a*b', total = 'ind + c',
                      ## other arbitrary functions are also possible
                      meaningless = 'sqrt(a)^{b} / log(abs(c))'),
             coefs = coefs, ACM = AsyCovMat,
             plot = TRUE, ask = TRUE) # print a plot for each
```
<span id="page-82-0"></span>moreFitIndices *Calculate more fit indices*

## Description

Calculate more fit indices that are not already provided in lavaan.

### Usage

```
moreFitIndices(object, fit.measures = "all", nPrior = 1)
```
## Arguments



# Details

See [nullRMSEA\(\)](#page-90-0) for the further details of the computation of RMSEA of the null model.

Gamma-Hat (gammaHat; West, Taylor, & Wu, 2012) is a global goodness-of-fit index which can be computed (assuming equal number of indicators across groups) by

$$
\hat{\Gamma} = \frac{p}{p + 2 \times \frac{\chi_k^2 - df_k}{N}},
$$

where p is the number of variables in the model,  $\chi^2_k$  is the  $\chi^2$  test statistic value of the target model,  $df_k$  is the degree of freedom when fitting the target model, and N is the sample size (or sample size minus the number of groups if mimic is set to "EQS").

Adjusted Gamma-Hat (adjGammaHat; West, Taylor, & Wu, 2012) is a global fit index which can be computed by

$$
\hat{\Gamma}_{\text{adj}} = \left(1 - \frac{K \times p \times (p+1)}{2 \times df_k}\right) \times \left(1 - \hat{\Gamma}\right),
$$

where  $K$  is the number of groups (please refer to Dudgeon, 2004, for the multiple-group adjustment for adjGammaHat).

Note that if Satorra–Bentler's or Yuan–Bentler's method is used, the fit indices using the scaled  $\chi^2$ values are also provided.

The remaining indices are information criteria calculated using the object's  $-2\times$  log-likelihood, abbreviated  $-2LL$ .

Corrected Akaike Information Criterion (aic.smallN; Burnham & Anderson, 2003) is a corrected version of AIC for small sample size, often abbreviated AICc:

$$
AIC_{small-N} = AIC + \frac{2q(q+1)}{N-q-1},
$$

where AIC is the original AIC:  $-2LL + 2q$  (where q = the number of estimated parameters in the target model). Note that AICc is a small-sample correction derived for univariate regression models, so it is probably *not* appropriate for comparing SEMs.

Corrected Bayesian Information Criterion (bic.priorN; Kuha, 2004) is similar to BIC but explicitly specifying the sample size on which the prior is based  $(N_{prior})$  using the nPrior argument.

$$
BIC_{prior-N} = -2LL + q \log\left(1 + \frac{N}{N_{prior}}\right).
$$

Bollen et al. (2012, 2014) discussed additional BICs that incorporate more terms from a Taylor series expansion, which the standard BIC drops. The "Scaled Unit-Information Prior" BIC is calculated depending on whether the product of the vector of estimated model parameters  $(\theta)$  and the observed information matrix (FIM) exceeds the number of estimated model parameters (Case 1) or not (Case 2), which is checked internally:

$$
SPBIC_{\text{Case 1}} = -2LL + q(1 - \frac{q}{\hat{\theta}' \text{FIM}\hat{\theta}}), \text{ or}
$$

$$
SPBIC_{\text{Case 2}} = -2LL + \hat{\theta}' \text{FIM}\hat{\theta},
$$

Note that this implementation of SPBIC is calculated on the assumption that priors for all estimated parameters are centered at zero, which is inappropriate for most SEMs (e.g., variances should not have priors centered at the lowest possible value; Bollen, 2014, p. 6).

Bollen et al. (2014, eq. 14) credit the HBIC to Haughton (1988):

$$
\text{HBIC} = -2LL + q \log \frac{N}{2\pi}.
$$

Bollen et al.  $(2012, p. 305)$  proposed the information matrix  $(I)$ -based BIC by adding another term:

$$
IBIC = -2LL + q \log \frac{N}{2\pi} + \log \det \text{FIM},
$$

or equivalently, using the inverse information (the asymptotic sampling covariance matrix of estimated parameters: ACOV):

IBIC = 
$$
-2LL - q \log 2\pi - \log \det ACOV
$$
.

Stochastic information criterion (SIC; see Preacher, 2006, for details) is similar to IBIC but does not include the  $q \log 2\pi$  term that is also in HBIC. SIC and IBIC both account for model complexity in a model's functional form, not merely the number of free parameters. The SIC can be computed as:

$$
SIC = -2LL + q \log N + \log \det FIM = -2LL - \log \det ACOV.
$$

Hannan–Quinn Information Criterion (HQC; Hannan & Quinn, 1979) is used for model selection, similar to AIC or BIC.

$$
HQC = -2LL + 2q \log(\log N),
$$

Bozdogan Information Complexity (ICOMP) Criteria (Howe et al., 2011), instead of penalizing the number of free parameters directly, ICOMP penalizes the covariance complexity of the model.

$$
\text{ICOMP} = -2LL + s \times log(\frac{\bar{\lambda_a}}{\bar{\lambda_g}})
$$

## Value

A numeric lavaan.vector including any of the following requested via fit.measures=

- 1. gammaHat: Gamma-Hat
- 2. adjGammaHat: Adjusted Gamma-Hat
- 3. baseline.rmsea: RMSEA of the default baseline (i.e., independence) model
- 4. gammaHat.scaled: Gamma-Hat using scaled  $\chi^2$
- 5. adjGammaHat.scaled: Adjusted Gamma-Hat using scaled  $\chi^2$
- 6. baseline.rmsea.scaled: RMSEA of the default baseline (i.e., independence) model using scaled  $\chi^2$
- 7. aic.smallN: Corrected (for small sample size) AIC
- 8. bic.priorN: BIC with specified prior sample size
- 9. spbic: Scaled Unit-Information Prior BIC (SPBIC)
- 10. hbic: Haughton's BIC (HBIC)
- 11. ibic: Information-matrix-based BIC (IBIC)
- 12. sic: Stochastic Information Criterion (SIC)
- 13. hqc: Hannan-Quinn Information Criterion (HQC)
- 14. icomp: Bozdogan Information Complexity (ICOMP) Criteria

### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

Aaron Boulton (University of Delaware)

Ruben Arslan (Humboldt-University of Berlin, <rubenarslan@gmail.com>)

Yves Rosseel (Ghent University; <Yves.Rosseel@UGent.be>)

Mauricio Garnier-Villarreal (Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam; <mgv@pm.me>)

A great deal of feedback was provided by Kris Preacher regarding Bollen et al.'s (2012, 2014) extensions of BIC.

## References

Bollen, K. A., Ray, S., Zavisca, J., & Harden, J. J. (2012). A comparison of Bayes factor approximation methods including two new methods. *Sociological Methods & Research, 41*(2), 294–324. [doi:10.1177/0049124112452393](https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124112452393)

Bollen, K. A., Harden, J. J., Ray, S., & Zavisca, J. (2014). BIC and alternative Bayesian information criteria in the selection of structural equation models. *Structural Equation Modeling, 21*(1), 1–19. [doi:10.1080/10705511.2014.856691](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2014.856691)

Burnham, K., & Anderson, D. (2003). *Model selection and multimodel inference: A practical– theoretic approach*. New York, NY: Springer–Verlag.

Dudgeon, P. (2004). A note on extending Steiger's (1998) multiple sample RMSEA adjustment to other noncentrality parameter-based statistic. *Structural Equation Modeling, 11*(3), 305–319. [doi:10.1207/s15328007sem1103\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328007sem1103_1)

Howe, E. D., Bozdogan, H., & Katragadda, S. (2011). Structural equation modeling (SEM) of categorical and mixed-data using the novel Gifi transformations and information complexity (ICOMP) criterion. *Istanbul University Journal of the School of Business Administration, 40*(1), 86–123.

Kuha, J. (2004). AIC and BIC: Comparisons of assumptions and performance. *Sociological Methods Research, 33*(2), 188–229. [doi:10.1177/0049124103262065](https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124103262065)

Preacher, K. J. (2006). Quantifying parsimony in structural equation modeling. *Multivariate Behavioral Research, 43*(3), 227–259. [doi:10.1207/s15327906mbr4103\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr4103_1)

West, S. G., Taylor, A. B., & Wu, W. (2012). Model fit and model selection in structural equation modeling. In R. H. Hoyle (Ed.), *Handbook of structural equation modeling* (pp. 209–231). New York, NY: Guilford.

### See Also

- [miPowerFit\(\)](#page-75-0) For the modification indices and their power approach for model fit evaluation
- [nullRMSEA\(\)](#page-90-0) For RMSEA of the default independence model

### Examples

HS.model  $\le$  ' visual = $\sim$  x1 + x2 + x3 textual =  $x4 + x5 + x6$  $speed = x7 + x8 + x9$ 

```
fit <- cfa(HS.model, data = HolzingerSwineford1939)
moreFitIndices(fit)
fit2 <- cfa(HS.model, data = HolzingerSwineford1939, estimator = "mlr")
moreFitIndices(fit2)
```
mvrnonnorm *Generate Non-normal Data using Vale and Maurelli (1983) method*

## Description

Generate Non-normal Data using Vale and Maurelli (1983) method. The function is designed to be as similar as the popular mvrnorm function in the MASS package. The codes are copied from mvrnorm function in the MASS package for argument checking and lavaan package for data generation using Vale and Maurelli (1983) method.

## Usage

```
mvrnonnorm(n, mu, Sigma, skewness = NULL, kurtosis = NULL,
 empirical = FALSE)
```
## Arguments



#### Value

A data matrix

# Author(s)

The original function is the [lavaan::simulateData\(\)](#page-0-0) function written by Yves Rosseel in the lavaan package. The function is adjusted for a convenient usage by Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>). Terrence D. Jorgensen added the feature to retain variable names from mu or Sigma.

### References

Vale, C. D. & Maurelli, V. A. (1983). Simulating multivariate nonormal distributions. *Psychometrika, 48*(3), 465–471. [doi:10.1007/BF02293687](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02293687)

## Examples

```
set.seed(123)
mvrnonnorm(20, c(1, 2), matrix(c(10, 2, 2, 5), 2, 2),
skewness = c(5, 2), kurtosis = c(3, 3))
## again, with variable names specified in mu
set.seed(123)
mvrnonnorm(20, c(a = 1, b = 2), matrix(c(10, 2, 2, 5), 2, 2),skewness = c(5, 2), kurtosis = c(3, 3))
```
<span id="page-87-0"></span>

net *Nesting and Equivalence Testing*

#### Description

This test examines whether pairs of SEMs are nested or equivalent.

### Usage

 $net(..., crit = 1e-04)$ 

#### Arguments



## Details

The concept of nesting/equivalence should be the same regardless of estimation method. However, the particular method of testing nesting/equivalence (as described in Bentler & Satorra, 2010) employed by the net function analyzes summary statistics (model-implied means and covariance matrices, not raw data). In the case of robust methods like MLR, the raw data is only utilized for the robust adjustment to SE and chi-sq, and the net function only checks the unadjusted chi-sq for the purposes of testing nesting/equivalence. This method also applies to models for categorical data, following the procedure described by Asparouhov & Muthen (2019).

#### Value

The [Net](#page-88-0) object representing the outputs for nesting and equivalent testing, including a logical matrix of test results and a vector of degrees of freedom for each model.

### Net-class 89

## Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

### References

Bentler, P. M., & Satorra, A. (2010). Testing model nesting and equivalence. *Psychological Methods, 15*(2), 111–123. [doi:10.1037/a0019625](https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019625)

Asparouhov, T., & Muthen, B. (2019). Nesting and equivalence testing for structural equation models. *Structural Equation Modeling, 26*(2), 302–309. [doi:10.1080/10705511.2018.1513795](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2018.1513795)

## Examples

```
## Not run:
m1 < - ' visual = x1 + x2 + x3textual =~x4 + x5 + x6speed = x7 + x8 + x9m2 \le - ' f1 = \times x1 + x2 + x3 + x4
        f2 =~ x5 + x6 + x7 + x8 + x9 '
m3 \le - ' visual = \times x1 + x2 + x3
        textual =\sim eq*x4 + eq*x5 + eq*x6
        speed = x7 + x8 + x9fit1 <- cfa(m1, data = HolzingerSwineford1939)
fit1a <- cfa(m1, data = HolzingerSwineford1939, std.lv = TRUE) # Equivalent to fit1
fit2 <- cfa(m2, data = HolzingerSwineford1939) # Not equivalent to or nested in fit1
fit3 <- cfa(m3, data = HolzingerSwineford1939) # Nested in fit1 and fit1a
tests <- net(fit1, fit1a, fit2, fit3)
tests
summary(tests)
## End(Not run)
```
<span id="page-88-0"></span>Net-class *Class For the Result of Nesting and Equivalence Testing*

## Description

This class contains the results of nesting and equivalence testing among multiple models

# Usage

```
## S4 method for signature 'Net'
show(object)
## S4 method for signature 'Net'
summary(object)
```
# Arguments



# Value



# Slots

test Logical matrix indicating nesting/equivalence among models

df The degrees of freedom of tested models

# Objects from the Class

Objects can be created via the [net\(\)](#page-87-0) function.

# Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

# See Also

[net\(\)](#page-87-0)

# Examples

# See the example in the net function.

<span id="page-90-0"></span>

# Description

Calculate the RMSEA of the null (baseline) model

### Usage

```
nullRMSEA(object, scaled = FALSE, silent = FALSE)
```
# Arguments



## Details

RMSEA of the null model is calculated similar to the formula provided in the lavaan package. The standard formula of RMSEA is

$$
RMSEA = \sqrt{\frac{\chi^2}{N \times df} - \frac{1}{N}} \times \sqrt{G}
$$

where  $\chi^2$  is the chi-square test statistic value of the target model, N is the total sample size, df is the degree of freedom of the hypothesized model,  $G$  is the number of groups. Kenny proposed in his website that

"A reasonable rule of thumb is to examine the RMSEA for the null model and make sure that is no smaller than 0.158. An RMSEA for the model of 0.05 and a TLI of .90, implies that the RMSEA of the null model is 0.158. If the RMSEA for the null model is less than 0.158, an incremental measure of fit may not be that informative."

See also <http://davidakenny.net/cm/fit.htm>

# Value

A value of RMSEA of the null model (a numeric vector) returned invisibly.

# Author(s)

Ruben Arslan (Humboldt-University of Berlin, <rubenarslan@gmail.com>)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

## References

Kenny, D. A., Kaniskan, B., & McCoach, D. B. (2015). The performance of RMSEA in models with small degrees of freedom. *Sociological Methods Research, 44*(3), 486–507. [doi:10.1177/](https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124114543236) [0049124114543236](https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124114543236)

## See Also

- [miPowerFit\(\)](#page-75-0) For the modification indices and their power approach for model fit evaluation
- [moreFitIndices\(\)](#page-82-0) For other fit indices

# Examples

```
HS.model \leq ' visual = \propto x1 + x2 + x3
              textual = x4 + x5 + x6speed = x7 + x8 + x9fit <- cfa(HS.model, data = HolzingerSwineford1939)
nullRMSEA(fit)
```
OLDlavaan.mi-class *Class for a lavaan Model Fitted to Multiple Imputations*

## **Description**

This class extends the [lavaanList](#page-0-0) class, created by fitting a lavaan model to a list of data sets. In this case, the list of data sets are multiple imputations of missing data.

# Usage

```
## S4 method for signature 'OLDlavaan.mi'
show(object)
## S4 method for signature 'OLDlavaan.mi'
summary(object, se = TRUE, ci = FALSE,
 level = 0.95, standardized = FALSE, rsquare = FALSE, fmi = FALSE,
  scale.W = !asymptotic, omit.imps = c("no.conv", "no.se"),
 asymptotic = FALSE, header = TRUE, output = "text",
  fit.measures = FALSE, ...)
## S4 method for signature 'OLDlavaan.mi'
nobs(object, total = TRUE)
## S4 method for signature 'OLDlavaan.mi'
coef(object, type = "free", labels = TRUE,
 omit.imps = c("no.conv", "no.se"))
```

```
## S4 method for signature 'OLDlavaan.mi'
vcov(object, type = c("pooled", "between", "within",
  "ariv"), scale.W = TRUE, omit.imps = c("no.comv", "no.se"))
## S4 method for signature 'OLDlavaan.mi'
anova(object, ...)
## S4 method for signature 'OLDlavaan.mi'
fitMeasures(object, fit.measures = "all",
 baseline.model = NULL, output = "vector", omit.imps = c("no.conv",
  "no.se"), \dots)
## S4 method for signature 'OLDlavaan.mi'
fitmeasures(object, fit.measures = "all",
  baseline.model = NULL, output = "vector", omit.imps = c("no.conv",
  "no.se"), \ldots)
## S4 method for signature 'OLDlavaan.mi'
fitted(object, omit.imps = c("no.conv", "no.se"))
## S4 method for signature 'OLDlavaan.mi'
fitted.values(object, omit.imps = c("no.conv",
  "no.se"))
## S4 method for signature 'OLDlavaan.mi'
residuals(object, type = c("raw", "cor"),
  omit.imps = c("no.conv", "no.se"))
## S4 method for signature 'OLDlavaan.mi'
resid(object, type = c("raw", "cor"),
  omit.imps = c("no.conv", "no.se"))
```
## Arguments





fitted.values signature(object = "OLDlavaan.mi", omit.imps = c("no.conv","no.se")): See [lavaan.](#page-0-0) Returns model-implied moments, evaluated at the pooled point estimates.



# Slots

- coefList list of estimated coefficients in matrix format (one per imputation) as output by lavInspect(fit, "est")
- phiList list of model-implied latent-variable covariance matrices (one per imputation) as output by lavInspect(fit, "cov.lv")
- miList list of modification indices output by [lavaan::modindices\(\)](#page-0-0)
- seed integer seed set before running imputations
- lavListCall call to [lavaan::lavaanList\(\)](#page-0-0) used to fit the model to the list of imputed data sets in @DataList, stored as a list of arguments
- imputeCall call to imputation function (if used), stored as a list of arguments
- convergence list of logical vectors indicating whether, for each imputed data set, (1) the model converged on a solution, (2) *SE*s could be calculated, (3) the (residual) covariance matrix of latent variables  $(\Psi)$  is non-positive-definite, and  $(4)$  the residual covariance matrix of observed variables  $(\Theta)$  is non-positive-definite.
- lavaanList\_slots All remaining slots are from [lavaanList,](#page-0-0) but [runMI\(\)](#page-0-0) only populates a subset of the list slots, two of them with custom information:
- DataList The list of imputed data sets

SampleStatsList List of output from lavInspect(fit, "sampstat") applied to each fitted model

- ParTableList See [lavaanList](#page-0-0)
- vcovList See [lavaanList](#page-0-0)
- testList See [lavaanList](#page-0-0)
- h1List See [lavaanList.](#page-0-0) An additional element is added to the list: \$PT is the "saturated" model's parameter table, returned by [lavaan::lav\\_partable\\_unrestricted\(\)](#page-0-0).

baselineList See [lavaanList](#page-0-0)

#### Objects from the Class

See the [runMI\(\)](#page-0-0) function for details.

### Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

## References

Asparouhov, T., & Muthen, B. (2010). *Chi-square statistics with multiple imputation*. Technical Report. Retrieved from <http://www.statmodel.com/>

Enders, C. K. (2010). *Applied missing data analysis*. New York, NY: Guilford.

Li, K.-H., Meng, X.-L., Raghunathan, T. E., & Rubin, D. B. (1991). Significance levels from repeated *p*-values with multiply-imputed data. *Statistica Sinica, 1*(1), 65–92. Retrieved from <https://www.jstor.org/stable/24303994>

Meng, X.-L., & Rubin, D. B. (1992). Performing likelihood ratio tests with multiply-imputed data sets. *Biometrika, 79*(1), 103–111. [doi:10.2307/2337151](https://doi.org/10.2307/2337151)

Rubin, D. B. (1987). *Multiple imputation for nonresponse in surveys*. New York, NY: Wiley.

### Examples

## See ?runMI help page

<span id="page-96-0"></span>

# Description

This function generates a given number of randomly generated item-to-parcel allocations, fits a model to each allocation, and provides averaged results over all allocations.

# Usage

```
parcelAllocation(model, data, parcel.names, item.syntax, nAlloc = 100,
  fun = "sem", alpha = 0.05, fit.measures = c("chisq", "df", "cfi","tli", "rmsea", "srmr"), ..., show.progress = FALSE, iseed = 12345,
 do.fit = TRUE, return.fit = FALSE, warn = FALSE)
```
## Arguments





# Details

This function implements the random item-to-parcel allocation procedure described in Sterba (2011) and Sterba and MacCallum (2010). The function takes a single data set with item-level data, randomly assigns items to parcels, fits a structural equation model to the parceled data using [lavaan::lavaanList\(\)](#page-0-0), and repeats this process for a user-specified number of random allocations. Results from all fitted models are summarized in the output. For further details on the benefits of randomly allocating items to parcels, see Sterba (2011) and Sterba and MacCallum (2010).

## Value



## Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

## References

Sterba, S. K. (2011). Implications of parcel-allocation variability for comparing fit of item-solutions and parcel-solutions. *Structural Equation Modeling, 18*(4), 554–577. [doi:10.1080/10705511.2011.607073](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2011.607073)

Sterba, S. K. & MacCallum, R. C. (2010). Variability in parameter estimates and model fit across random allocations of items to parcels. *Multivariate Behavioral Research, 45*(2), 322–358. [doi:10.10](https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171003680302)80/ [00273171003680302](https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171003680302)

Sterba, S. K., & Rights, J. D. (2016). Accounting for parcel-allocation variability in practice: Combining sources of uncertainty and choosing the number of allocations. *Multivariate Behavioral Research, 51*(2–3), 296–313. [doi:10.1080/00273171.2016.1144502](https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2016.1144502)

Sterba, S. K., & Rights, J. D. (2017). Effects of parceling on model selection: Parcel-allocation variability in model ranking. *Psychological Methods, 22*(1), 47–68. [doi:10.1037/met0000067](https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000067)

### See Also

[PAVranking\(\)](#page-105-0) for comparing 2 models, [poolMAlloc\(\)](#page-131-0) for choosing the number of allocations

### Examples

## Fit 2-factor CFA to simulated data. Each factor has 9 indicators.

```
## Specify the item-level model (if NO parcels were created)
item.syntax \leq c(paste0("f1 =\leq flitem", 1:9),
                 paste0("f2 = 'f2item", 1:9))cat(item.syntax, sep = "\\n")## Below, we reduce the size of this same model by
## applying different parceling schemes
```

```
## 3-indicator parcels
mod.parcels <- '
f1 = \gamma par1 + par2 + par3
f2 = \gamma par4 + par5 + par6
## names of parcels
```

```
(parcel.names <- paste0("par", 1:6))
```

```
## Not run:
## override default random-number generator to use parallel options
RNGkind("L'Ecuyer-CMRG")
```

```
parcelAllocation(mod.parcels, data = simParcel, nAlloc = 100,
                parcel.names = parcel.names, item.syntax = item.syntax,
                std.Uv = TRUE, # any addition lavaan arguments
                parallel = "snow") # parallel options
```

```
## POOL RESULTS by treating parcel allocations as multiple imputations
## Details provided in Sterba & Rights (2016); see ?poolMAlloc.
```

```
## save list of data sets instead of fitting model yet
dataList <- parcelAllocation(mod.parcels, data = simParcel, nAlloc = 100,
                            parcel.names = parcel.names,
                            item.syntax = item.syntax,
```

```
do.fit = FALSE)## now fit the model to each data set
library(lavaan.mi)
fit.parcels <- cfa.mi(mod.parcels, data = dataList, std.lv = TRUE)
summary(fit.parcels) # pooled using Rubin's rules
anova(fit.parcels) # pooled test statistic
help(package = "lavaan.mi") # find more methods for pooling results
## End(Not run)
## multigroup example
simParcel$group <- 0:1 # arbitrary groups for example
mod mg < -f1 = \gamma par1 + c(L2, L2)*par2 + par3
f2 = \gamma par4 + par5 + par6
## names of parcels
(parcel.names <- paste0("par", 1:6))
parcelAllocation(mod.mg, data = simParcel, parcel.names, item.syntax,
                 std.lv = TRUE, group = "group", group.equal = "loadings",
                 nAlloc = 20, show.progress = TRUE)
## parcels for first factor, items for second factor
mod.items <- '
f1 = ~ part + par2 + par3f2 = r f2item2 + f2item7 + f2item8
## names of parcels
(parcel.names <- paste0("par", 1:3))
parcelAllocation(mod.items, data = simParcel, parcel.names, item.syntax,
                 nAlloc = 20, std.lv = TRUE)
## mixture of 1- and 3-indicator parcels for second factor
mod.mix <- '
f1 = \gamma par1 + par2 + par3
f2 = ~f2item2 + f2item7 + f2item8 + par4 + par5 + par6## names of parcels
(parcel.names <- paste0("par", 1:6))
parcelAllocation(mod.mix, data = simParcel, parcel.names, item.syntax,
                 nAlloc = 20, std.lv = TRUE)
```
partialInvariance *Partial Measurement Invariance Testing Across Groups*

# Description

This test will provide partial invariance testing by (a) freeing a parameter one-by-one from nested model and compare with the original nested model or (b) fixing (or constraining) a parameter oneby-one from the parent model and compare with the original parent model. This function only works with congeneric models. The partialInvariance is used for continuous variable. The partialInvarianceCat is used for categorical variables.

# Usage

```
partialInvariance(fit, type, free = NULL, fix = NULL, refgroup = 1,
 poolvar = TRUE, p.adjust = "none", fbound = 2, return.fit = FALSE,
 method = "satorra.bentler.2001")
```

```
partialInvarianceCat(fit, type, free = NULL, fix = NULL, refgroup = 1,
 poolvar = TRUE, p.adjust = "none", return.fit = FALSE,
 method = "satorra.bentler.2001")
```
### Arguments



# Details

There are four types of partial invariance testing:

- Partial weak invariance. The model named 'fit.configural' from the list of models is compared with the model named 'fit.loadings'. Each loading will be freed or fixed from the metric and configural invariance models respectively. The modified models are compared with the original model. Note that the objects in the list of models must have the names of "fit.configural" and "fit.loadings". Users may use "metric", "weak", "loading", or "loadings" in the type argument. Note that, for testing invariance on marker variables, other variables will be assigned as marker variables automatically.
- Partial strong invariance. The model named 'fit.loadings' from the list of models is compared with the model named either 'fit.intercepts' or 'fit.thresholds'. Each intercept will be freed or fixed from the scalar and metric invariance models respectively. The modified models are compared with the original model. Note that the objects in the list of models must have the names of "fit.loadings" and either "fit.intercepts" or "fit.thresholds". Users may use "scalar", "strong", "intercept", "intercepts", "threshold", or "thresholds" in the type argument. Note that, for testing invariance on marker variables, other variables will be assigned as marker variables automatically. Note that if all variables are dichotomous, scalar invariance testing is not available.
- Partial strict invariance. The model named either 'fit.intercepts' or 'fit.thresholds' (or 'fit.loadings') from the list of models is compared with the model named 'fit.residuals'. Each residual variance will be freed or fixed from the strict and scalar (or metric) invariance models respectively. The modified models are compared with the original model. Note that the objects in the list of models must have the names of "fit.residuals" and either "fit.intercepts", "fit.thresholds", or "fit.loadings". Users may use "strict", "residual", "residuals", "error", or "errors" in the type argument.
- Partial mean invariance. The model named either 'fit.intercepts' or 'fit.thresholds' (or 'fit.residuals' or 'fit.loadings') from the list of models is compared with the model named 'fit.means'. Each factor mean will be freed or fixed from the means and scalar (or strict or metric) invariance models respectively. The modified models are compared with the original model. Note that the objects in the list of models must have the names of "fit.means" and either "fit.residuals", "fit.intercepts", "fit.thresholds", or "fit.loadings". Users may use "means" or "mean" in the type argument.

Two types of comparisons are used in this function:

- 1. free: The nested model is used as a template. Then, one parameter indicating the differences between two models is free. The new model is compared with the nested model. This process is repeated for all differences between two models. The likelihood-ratio test and the difference in CFI are provided.
- 2. fix: The parent model is used as a template. Then, one parameter indicating the differences between two models is fixed or constrained to be equal to other parameters. The new model is then compared with the parent model. This process is repeated for all differences between two models. The likelihood-ratio test and the difference in CFI are provided.
- 3. wald: This method is similar to the fix method. However, instead of building a new model and compare them with likelihood-ratio test, multivariate wald test is used to compare equality between parameter estimates. See [lavaan::lavTestWald\(\)](#page-0-0) for further details. Note that

if any rows of the contrast cannot be summed to 0, the Wald test is not provided, such as comparing two means where one of the means is fixed as 0. This test statistic is not as accurate as likelihood-ratio test provided in fix. I provide it here in case that likelihood-ratio test fails to converge.

Note that this function does not adjust for the inflated Type I error rate from multiple tests. The degree of freedom of all tests would be the number of groups minus 1.

The details of standardized estimates and the effect size used for each parameters are provided in the vignettes by running vignette("partialInvariance").

## Value

A list of results are provided. The list will consists of at least two elements:

- 1. estimates: The results of parameter estimates including pooled estimates (poolest), the estimates for each group, standardized estimates for each group (std), the difference in standardized values, and the effect size statistic (*q* for factor loading difference and *h* for error variance difference). See the details of this effect size statistic by running vignette("partialInvariance"). In the partialInvariance function, the additional effect statistics proposed by Millsap and Olivera-Aguilar (2012) are provided. For factor loading, the additional outputs are the observed mean difference (diff\_mean), the mean difference if factor scores are low (low\_fscore), and the mean difference if factor scores are high (high\_fscore). The low factor score is calculated by (a) finding the factor scores that its *z* score equals -bound (the default is  $-2$ ) from all groups and (b) picking the minimum value among the factor scores. The high factor score is calculated by (a) finding the factor scores that its *z* score equals bound (default = 2) from all groups and (b) picking the maximum value among the factor scores. For measurement intercepts, the additional outputs are the observed means difference (diff\_mean) and the proportion of the differences in the intercepts over the observed means differences (propdiff). For error variances, the additional outputs are the proportion of the difference in error variances over the difference in observed variances (propdiff).
- 2. results: Statistical tests as well as the change in CFI are provided.  $\chi^2$  and p value are provided for all methods.
- 3. models: The submodels used in the free and fix methods, as well as the nested and parent models. The nested and parent models will be changed from the original models if free or fit arguments are specified.

# Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

# References

Millsap, R. E., & Olivera-Aguilar, M. (2012). Investigating measurement invariance using confirmatory factor analysis. In R. H. Hoyle (Ed.), *Handbook of structural equation modeling* (pp. 380–392). New York, NY: Guilford.

## See Also

[measurementInvariance\(\)](#page-0-0) for measurement invariance for continuous variables; [measurementInvarianceCat\(\)](#page-0-0) for measurement invariance for categorical variables; [lavaan::lavTestWald\(\)](#page-0-0) for multivariate Wald test

## Examples

## Conduct weak invariance testing manually by using fixed-factor ## method of scale identification

```
library(lavaan)
conf \leftarrow "
f1 = NAxx1 + x2 + x3f2 = NAx^{2} + x5 + x6f1 \sim c(1, 1)*f1
f2 \sim c(1, 1)*f2
"
weak <- "
f1 = NAxx1 + x2 + x3f2 = NAx^{2} + x5 + x6f1 \sim c(1, NA)*f1
f2 \sim c(1, NA)*f2
"
configural <- cfa(conf, data = HolzingerSwineford1939, std.lv = TRUE, group="school")
weak <- cfa(weak, data = HolzingerSwineford1939, group="school", group.equal="loadings")
models \le list(fit.configural = configural, fit.loadings = weak)
partialInvariance(models, "metric")
## Not run:
partialInvariance(models, "metric", free = "x5") # "x5" is free across groups in advance
partialInvariance(models, "metric", fix = "x4") # "x4" is fixed across groups in advance
## Use the result from the measurementInvariance function
HW.model \leq ' visual = \times x1 + x2 + x3
              textual =~x4 + x5 + x6speed =~x7 + x8 + x9models2 <- measurementInvariance(model = HW.model, data=HolzingerSwineford1939,
                                 group="school")
partialInvariance(models2, "scalar")
## Conduct weak invariance testing manually by using fixed-factor
## method of scale identification for dichotomous variables
f <- rnorm(1000, 0, 1)
ul < -0.9*f + rnorm(1000, 1, sqrt(0.19))u2 <- 0.8*f + rnorm(1000, 1, sqrt(0.36))
u3 <- 0.6*f + rnorm(1000, 1, sqrt(0.64))
u4 <- 0.7*f + rnorm(1000, 1, sqrt(0.51))
```

```
u1 \leftarrow as.numeric(cut(u1, breaks = c(-Inf, 0, Inf)))u2 \leq -as.numeric(cut(u2, breaks = c(-Inf, 0.5, Inf)))u3 \leq -as.numeric(cut(u3, breaks = c(-Inf, 0, Inf)))u4 \leftarrow as.numeric(cut(u4, breaks = c(-Inf, -0.5, Inf)))g \leftarrow \text{rep}(c(1, 2), 500)dat2 <- data.frame(u1, u2, u3, u4, g)
configural2 <- "
f1 = NAxu1 + u2 + u3 + u4u1 | c(t11, t11)*t1
u2 | c(t21, t21)*t1
u3 | c(t31, t31)*t1
u4 | c(t41, t41)*t1
f1 \sim c(1, 1)*f1
f1 \sim c(0, NA)*1
u1 \sim c(1, 1)*u1
u2 ~~ c(1, NA)*u2
u3 \sim c(1, NA)*u3
u4 \sim c(1, NA)*u4"
outConfigural2 <- cfa(configural2, data = dat2, group = "g",
                       parameterization = "theta", estimator = "wlsmv",
                       ordered = c("u1", "u2", "u3", "u4"))
weak2 <- "
f1 = N NA*u1 + c(f11, f11)*u1 + c(f21, f21)*u2 + c(f31, f31)*u3 + c(f41, f41)*u4
u1 | c(t11, t11)*t1
u2 | c(t21, t21)*t1
u3 | c(t31, t31)*t1
u4 | c(t41, t41)*t1
f1 \sim c(1, NA)*f1
f1 \sim c(0, NA)*1
u1 \sim c(1, 1)*u1
u2 \sim c(1, NA)*u2u3 ~~ c(1, NA)*u3
u4 \sim c(1, NA)*u4"
outWeak2 \leq cfa(weak2, data = dat2, group = "g", parameterization = "theta",
                 estimator = "wlsmv", ordered = c("u1", "u2", "u3", "u4"))modelsCat <- list(fit.configural = outConfigural2, fit.loadings = outWeak2)
partialInvarianceCat(modelsCat, type = "metric")
partialInvarianceCat(modelsCat, type = "metric", free = "u2")
partialInvarianceCat(modelsCat, type = "metric", fix = "u3")
## Use the result from the measurementInvarianceCat function
model <- ' f1 =~ u1 + u2 + u3 + u4
           f2 =~ u5 + u6 + u7 + u8'
```

```
modelsCat2 <- measurementInvarianceCat(model = model, data = datCat, group = "g",
                                       parameterization = "theta",
                                       estimator = "wlsmv", strict = TRUE)
partialInvarianceCat(modelsCat2, type = "scalar")
## End(Not run)
```
<span id="page-105-0"></span>PAVranking *Parcel-Allocation Variability in Model Ranking*

## **Description**

This function quantifies and assesses the consequences of parcel-allocation variability for model ranking of structural equation models (SEMs) that differ in their structural specification but share the same parcel-level measurement specification (see Sterba  $\&$  Rights, 2016). This function calls [parcelAllocation\(\)](#page-96-0)—which can be used with only one SEM in isolation—to fit two (assumed) nested models to each of a specified number of random item-to-parcel allocations. Output includes summary information about the distribution of model selection results (including plots) and the distribution of results for each model individually, across allocations within-sample. Note that this function can be used when selecting among more than two competing structural models as well (see instructions below involving the seed= argument).

## Usage

```
PAVranking(model0, model1, data, parcel.names, item.syntax, nAlloc = 100,
  fun = "sem", alpha = 0.05, bic.crit = 10, fit.measures = c("chisq","df", "cfi", "tli", "rmsea", "srmr", "logl", "aic", "bic", "bic2"), ...,
  show.progress = FALSE, iseed = 12345, warn = FALSE)
```
# Arguments





# Details

This is based on a SAS macro ParcelAlloc (Sterba & MacCallum, 2010). The PAVranking() function produces results discussed in Sterba and Rights (2016) relevant to the assessment of parcelallocation variability in model selection and model ranking. Specifically, the PAVranking() function first calls [parcelAllocation\(\)](#page-96-0) to generate a given number (nAlloc=) of item-to-parcel allocations, fitting both specified models to each allocation, and providing summaryies of PAV for each model. Additionally, PAVranking() provides the following new summaries:

- PAV in model selection index values and model ranking between Models model0= and model1=.
- The proportion of allocations that converged and the proportion of proper solutions (results are summarized for allocations with both converged and proper allocations only).

For further details on the benefits of the random allocation of items to parcels, see Sterba (2011) and Sterba and MacCallum (2010).

To test whether nested models have equivalent fit, results can be pooled across allocations using the same methods available for pooling results across multiple imputations of missing data (see Examples).

*Note*: This function requires the lavaan package. Missing data must be coded as NA. If the function returns "Error in plot.new() : figure margins too large", the user may need to increase size of the plot window (e.g., in RStudio) and rerun the function.

### Value

A list with 3 elements. The first two (model0.results and model1.results) are results returned by [parcelAllocation\(\)](#page-96-0) for model0 and model1, respectively. The third element (model0.v.model1) is a list of model-comparison results, including the following:

\verb{LRT\_Summary:}

The average likelihood ratio test across allocations, as well as the *SD*, minimum, maximum, range, and the proportion of allocations for which the test was significant.

\verb{Fit\_Index\_Differences:}

Differences in fit indices, organized by what proportion favored each model and among those, what the average difference was.

\verb{Favored\_by\_BIC:}

The proportion of allocations in which each model met the criterion (bic.crit) for a substantial difference in fit.

\verb{Convergence\_Summary:}

The proportion of allocations in which each model (and both models) converged on a solution.

Histograms are also printed to the current plot-output device.

# Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

# References

Raftery, A. E. (1995). Bayesian model selection in social research. *Sociological Methodology, 25*, 111–163. [doi:10.2307/271063](https://doi.org/10.2307/271063)

Sterba, S. K. (2011). Implications of parcel-allocation variability for comparing fit of item-solutions and parcel-solutions. *Structural Equation Modeling, 18*(4), 554–577[.doi:10.1080/10705511.2011.607073](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2011.607073)

Sterba, S. K., & MacCallum, R. C. (2010). Variability in parameter estimates and model fit across repeated allocations of items to parcels. *Multivariate Behavioral Research, 45*(2), 322–358. [doi:10.1080/00273171003680302](https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171003680302)

Sterba, S. K., & Rights, J. D. (2016). Accounting for parcel-allocation variability in practice: Combining sources of uncertainty and choosing the number of allocations. *Multivariate Behavioral Research, 51*(2–3), 296–313. [doi:10.1080/00273171.2016.1144502](https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2016.1144502)

Sterba, S. K., & Rights, J. D. (2017). Effects of parceling on model selection: Parcel-allocation variability in model ranking. *Psychological Methods, 22*(1), 47–68. [doi:10.1037/met0000067](https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000067)

#### See Also

[parcelAllocation\(\)](#page-96-0) for fitting a single model, [poolMAlloc\(\)](#page-131-0) for choosing the number of allocations
## PAVranking 109

#### Examples

```
## Specify the item-level model (if NO parcels were created)
## This must apply to BOTH competing models
item.syntax \leq c(paste0("f1 =\leq flitem", 1:9),
                 paste0("f2 = 'f2item", 1:9))cat(item.syntax, sep = "\\n")## Below, we reduce the size of this same model by
## applying different parceling schemes
## Specify a 2-factor CFA with correlated factors, using 3-indicator parcels
mod1 <- 'f1 =~ par1 + par2 + par3
f2 = \gamma par4 + par5 + par6
## Specify a more restricted model with orthogonal factors
mod0 <- 'f1 = \gamma par1 + par2 + par3
f2 = \gamma par4 + par5 + par6
f1 \sim 0*f2
## names of parcels (must apply to BOTH models)
(parcel.names <- paste0("par", 1:6))
## Not run:
## override default random-number generator to use parallel options
RNGkind("L'Ecuyer-CMRG")
PAVranking(model0 = mod0, model1 = mod1, data = simParcel, nAlloc = 100,
           parcel.names = parcel.names, item.syntax = item.syntax,
           std.lv = TRUE, # any addition lavaan arguments
           parallel = "snow") # parallel options
## POOL RESULTS by treating parcel allocations as multiple imputations.
## Details provided in Sterba & Rights (2016); see ?poolMAlloc.
## save list of data sets instead of fitting model yet
dataList <- parcelAllocation(mod.parcels, data = simParcel, nAlloc = 100,
                             parcel.names = parcel.names,
                             item.syntax = item.syntax,
                             do.fit = FALSE)## now fit each model to each data set
library(lavaan.mi)
fit0 <- cfa.mi(mod0, data = dataList, std.lv = TRUE)
fit1 <- cfa.mi(mod1, data = dataList, std.lv = TRUE)
anova(fit0, fit1) # Pooled test statistic comparing models.
help(package = "lavaan.mi") # Find more methods for pooling results.
## End(Not run)
```
<span id="page-109-0"></span>permuteMeasEq *Permutation Randomization Tests of Measurement Equivalence and Differential Item Functioning (DIF)*

## Description

The function permuteMeasEq provides tests of hypotheses involving measurement equivalence, in one of two frameworks: multigroup CFA or MIMIC models.

## Usage

```
permuteMeasEq(nPermute, modelType = c("mgcfa", "mimic"), con, uncon = NULL,
 null = NULL, param = NULL, freeParam = NULL, covariates = NULL,
 AFIs = NULL, moreAFIs = NULL, maxSparse = 10, maxNonconv = 10,
  showProgress = TRUE, warn = -1, datafun, extra,
 parallelType = c("none", "multicore", "snow"), ncpus = NULL, cl = NULL,
  iseed = 12345)
```
# Arguments









## Details

The function permuteMeasEq provides tests of hypotheses involving measurement equivalence, in one of two frameworks:

- 1. 1 For multiple-group CFA models, provide a pair of nested lavaan objects, the less constrained of which (uncon) freely estimates a set of measurement parameters (e.g., factor loadings, intercepts, or thresholds; specified in param) in all groups, and the more constrained of which (con) constrains those measurement parameters to equality across groups. Group assignment is repeatedly permuted and the models are fit to each permutation, in order to produce an empirical distribution under the null hypothesis of no group differences, both for (a) changes in user-specified fit measures (see AFIs and moreAFIs) and for (b) the maximum modification index among the user-specified equality constraints. Configural invariance can also be tested by providing that fitted lavaan object to con and leaving uncon = NULL, in which case param must be NULL as well.
- 2. 2 In MIMIC models, one or a set of continuous and/or discrete covariates can be permuted, and a constrained model is fit to each permutation in order to provide a distribution of any fit measures (namely, the maximum modification index among fixed parameters in param) under the null hypothesis of measurement equivalence across levels of those covariates.

In either framework, modification indices for equality constraints or fixed parameters specified in param are calculated from the constrained model (con) using the function [lavaan::lavTestScore\(\)](#page-0-0).

For multiple-group CFA models, the multiparameter omnibus null hypothesis of measurement equivalence/invariance is that there are no group differences in any measurement parameters (of a particular type). This can be tested using the anova method on nested lavaan objects, as seen in the output of [measurementInvariance\(\)](#page-0-0), or by inspecting the change in alternative fit indices  $(AFIs)$  such as the CFI. The permutation randomization method employed by permuteMeasEq generates an empirical distribution of any AFIs under the null hypothesis, so the user is not restricted to using fixed cutoffs proposed by Cheung & Rensvold (2002), Chen (2007), or Meade, Johnson, & Braddy (2008).

If the multiparameter omnibus null hypothesis is rejected, partial invariance can still be established by freeing invalid equality constraints, as long as equality constraints are valid for at least two indicators per factor. Modification indices can be calculated from the constrained model (con), but multiple testing leads to inflation of Type I error rates. The permutation randomization method employed by permuteMeasEq creates a distribution of the maximum modification index if the null hypothesis is true, which allows the user to control the familywise Type I error rate in a manner similar to Tukey's *q* (studentized range) distribution for the Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) post hoc test.

For MIMIC models, DIF can be tested by comparing modification indices of regression paths to the permutation distribution of the maximum modification index, which controls the familywise Type I error rate. The MIMIC approach could also be applied with multiple-group models, but the grouping variable would not be permuted; rather, the covariates would be permuted separately within each group to preserve between-group differences. So whether parameters are constrained or unconstrained across groups, the MIMIC approach is only for testing null hypotheses about the effects of covariates on indicators, controlling for common factors.

In either framework, [lavaan::lavaan\(\)](#page-0-0)'s group.label argument is used to preserve the order of groups seen in con when permuting the data.

## Value

The [permuteMeasEq](#page-117-0) object representing the results of testing measurement equivalence (the multiparameter omnibus test) and DIF (modification indices), as well as diagnostics and any extra output.

# Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

## References

## Papers about permutation tests of measurement equivalence:

Jorgensen, T. D., Kite, B. A., Chen, P.-Y., & Short, S. D. (2018). Permutation randomization methods for testing measurement equivalence and detecting differential item functioning in multiplegroup confirmatory factor analysis. *Psychological Methods, 23*(4), 708–728. [doi:10.1037/met0000152](https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000152)

Kite, B. A., Jorgensen, T. D., & Chen, P.-Y. (2018). Random permutation testing applied to measurement invariance testing with ordered-categorical indicators. *Structural Equation Modeling 25*(4), 573–587. [doi:10.1080/10705511.2017.1421467](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2017.1421467)

Jorgensen, T. D. (2017). Applying permutation tests and multivariate modification indices to configurally invariant models that need respecification. *Frontiers in Psychology, 8*(1455). [doi:10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01455) [fpsyg.2017.01455](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01455)

#### Additional reading:

Chen, F. F. (2007). Sensitivity of goodness of fit indexes to lack of measurement invariance. *Structural Equation Modeling, 14*(3), 464–504. [doi:10.1080/10705510701301834](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705510701301834)

Cheung, G. W., & Rensvold, R. B. (2002). Evaluating goodness-of-fit indexes for testing measurement invariance. *Structural Equation Modeling, 9*(2), 233–255. [doi:10.1207/S15328007SEM0902\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328007SEM0902_5)

## permuteMeasEq 115

Meade, A. W., Johnson, E. C., & Braddy, P. W. (2008). Power and sensitivity of alternative fit indices in tests of measurement invariance. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 93*(3), 568–592. [doi:10.1037/00219010.93.3.568](https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.93.3.568)

Widamin, K. F., & Thompson, J. S. (2003). On specifying the null model for incremental fit indices in structural equation modeling. *Psychological Methods, 8*(1), 16–37. [doi:10.1037/1082-](https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.8.1.16) [989X.8.1.16](https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.8.1.16)

## See Also

[stats::TukeyHSD\(\)](#page-0-0), [lavaan::lavTestScore\(\)](#page-0-0), [measurementInvariance\(\)](#page-0-0), [measurementInvarianceCat\(\)](#page-0-0)

## Examples

## Not run:

```
########################
## Multiple-Group CFA ##
########################
## create 3-group data in lavaan example(cfa) data
HS <- lavaan::HolzingerSwineford1939
HS$ageGroup <- ifelse(HS$ageyr < 13, "preteen",
                     ifelse(HS$ageyr > 13, "teen", "thirteen"))
## specify and fit an appropriate null model for incremental fit indices
mod.null <- c(paste0("x", 1:9, " ~ c(T", 1:9, ", T", 1:9, ", T", 1:9, ")*1"),
              paste0("x", 1:9, " ~ ~ ~ c(L", 1:9, ", L", 1:9, ", L", 1:9, ")*x", 1:9)fit.null <- cfa(mod.null, data = HS, group = "ageGroup")
## fit target model with varying levels of measurement equivalence
mod.config <- '
visual = x1 + x2 + x3textual = x4 + x5 + x6speed = x7 + x8 + x9fit.config \leq cfa(mod.config, data = HS, std.lv = TRUE, group = "ageGroup")
fit.metric <- cfa(mod.config, data = HS, std.lv = TRUE, group = "ageGroup",
                  group.equal = "loadings")
fit.scalar <- cfa(mod.config, data = HS, std.lv = TRUE, group = "ageGroup",
                  group.equal = c("loadings","intercepts"))
####################### Permutation Method
## fit indices of interest for multiparameter omnibus test
myAFIs <- c("chisq","cfi","rmsea","mfi","aic")
moreAFIs <- c("gammaHat","adjGammaHat")
## Use only 20 permutations for a demo. In practice,
## use > 1000 to reduce sampling variability of estimated p values
## test configural invariance
```

```
set.seed(12345)
out.config <- permuteMeasEq(nPermute = 20, con = fit.config)
out.config
## test metric equivalence
set.seed(12345) # same permutations
out.metric <- permuteMeasEq(nPermute = 20, uncon = fit.config, con = fit.metric,
                            param = "loadings", AFIs = myAFIs,
                            moreAFIs = moreAFIs, null = fit.null)summary(out.metric, nd = 4)
## test scalar equivalence
set.seed(12345) # same permutations
out.scalar <- permuteMeasEq(nPermute = 20, uncon = fit.metric, con = fit.scalar,
                            param = "intercepts", AFIs = myAFIs,
                            moreAFIs = moreAFIs, null = fit.null)summary(out.scalar)
## Not much to see without significant DIF.
## Try using an absurdly high alpha level for illustration.
outsum <- summary(out.scalar, alpha = .50)
## notice that the returned object is the table of DIF tests
outsum
## visualize permutation distribution
hist(out.config, AFI = "chisq")
hist(out.metric, AFI = "chisq", nd = 2, alpha = .01,
     legendArgs = list(x = "topright"))hist(out.scalar, AFI = "cfi", printLegend = FALSE)
####################### Extra Output
## function to calculate expected change of Group-2 and -3 latent means if
## each intercept constraint were released
extra <- function(con) {
 output <- list()
 output["x1.vis2"] <- lavTestScore(con, release = 19:20, univariate = FALSE,
                                    epc = TRUE, warn = FALSE)$epc$epc[70]
 output["x1.vis3"] <- lavTestScore(con, release = 19:20, univariate = FALSE,
                                    epc = TRUE, warn = FALSE)$epc$epc[106]
 output["x2.vis2"] <- lavTestScore(con, release = 21:22, univariate = FALSE,
                                    epc = TRUE, warn = FALSE)$epc$epc[70]
 output["x2.vis3"] <- lavTestScore(con, release = 21:22, univariate = FALSE,
                                    epc = TRUE, warn = FALSE)$epc$epc[106]
 output["x3.vis2"] <- lavTestScore(con, release = 23:24, univariate = FALSE,
                                    epc = TRUE, warn = FALSE)$epc$epc[70]
 output["x3.vis3"] <- lavTestScore(con, release = 23:24, univariate = FALSE,
                                    epc = TRUE, warn = FALSE)$epc$epc[106]
 output["x4.txt2"] <- lavTestScore(con, release = 25:26, univariate = FALSE,
                                    epc = TRUE, warn = FALSE)$epc$epc[71]
  output["x4.txt3"] <- lavTestScore(con, release = 25:26, univariate = FALSE,
```

```
epc = TRUE, warn = FALSE)$epc$epc[107]
 output["x5.txt2"] <- lavTestScore(con, release = 27:28, univariate = FALSE,
                                    epc = TRUE, warn = FALSE)$epc$epc[71]
 output["x5.txt3"] <- lavTestScore(con, release = 27:28, univariate = FALSE,
                                    epc = TRUE, warn = FALSE)$epc$epc[107]
 output["x6.txt2"] <- lavTestScore(con, release = 29:30, univariate = FALSE,
                                    epc = TRUE, warn = FALSE)$epc$epc[71]
 output["x6.txt3"] <- lavTestScore(con, release = 29:30, univariate = FALSE,
                                    epc = TRUE, warn = FALSE)$epc$epc[107]
 output["x7.spd2"] <- lavTestScore(con, release = 31:32, univariate = FALSE,
                                    epc = TRUE, warn = FALSE)$epc$epc[72]
 output["x7.spd3"] <- lavTestScore(con, release = 31:32, univariate = FALSE,
                                    epc = TRUE, warn = FALSE)$epc$epc[108]
 output["x8.spd2"] <- lavTestScore(con, release = 33:34, univariate = FALSE,
                                    epc = TRUE, warn = FALSE)$epc$epc[72]
 output["x8.spd3"] <- lavTestScore(con, release = 33:34, univariate = FALSE,
                                    epc = TRUE, warn = FALSE)$epc$epc[108]
 output["x9.spd2"] <- lavTestScore(con, release = 35:36, univariate = FALSE,
                                    epc = TRUE, warn = FALSE)$epc$epc[72]
 output["x9.spd3"] <- lavTestScore(con, release = 35:36, univariate = FALSE,
                                    epc = TRUE, warn = FALSE)$epc$epc[108]
 output
}
## observed EPC
extra(fit.scalar)
## permutation results, including extra output
set.seed(12345) # same permutations
out.scalar <- permuteMeasEq(nPermute = 20, uncon = fit.metric, con = fit.scalar,
                            param = "intercepts", AFIs = myAFIs,
                            moreAFIs = moreAFIs, null = fit.null, extra = extra)## summarize extra output
summary(out.scalar, extra = TRUE)
###########
## MIMIC ##
###########
## Specify Restricted Factor Analysis (RFA) model, equivalent to MIMIC, but
## the factor covaries with the covariate instead of being regressed on it.
## The covariate defines a single-indicator construct, and the
## double-mean-centered products of the indicators define a latent
## interaction between the factor and the covariate.
mod.mimic <- '
visual = x1 + x2 + x3age =\infty ageyr
age.by.vis =~ x1.ageyr + x2.ageyr + x3.ageyr
x1 ~~ x1.ageyr
x2 ~~ x2.ageyr
x3 ~~ x3.ageyr
```

```
HS.orth <- indProd(var1 = paste0("x", 1:3), var2 = "ageyr", match = FALSE,
                   data = HS[ , c("ageyr", paste0("x", 1:3))] )
fit.mimic <- cfa(mod.mimic, data = HS.orth, meanstructure = TRUE)
summary(fit.mimic, stand = TRUE)
## Whereas MIMIC models specify direct effects of the covariate on an indicator,
## DIF can be tested in RFA models by specifying free loadings of an indicator
## on the covariate's construct (uniform DIF, scalar invariance) and the
## interaction construct (nonuniform DIF, metric invariance).
param <- as.list(paste0("age + age.by.vis =~ x", 1:3))
names(param) <- paste0("x", 1:3)
# param <- as.list(paste0("x", 1:3, " ~ age + age.by.vis")) # equivalent
## test both parameters simultaneously for each indicator
do.call(rbind, lapply(param, function(x) lavTestScore(fit.mimic, add = x)$test))
## or test each parameter individually
lavTestScore(fit.mimic, add = as.character(param))
####################### Permutation Method
## function to recalculate interaction terms after permuting the covariate
datafun <- function(data) {
 d <- data[, c(paste0("x", 1:3), "ageyr")]
 indProd(var1 = paste@("x", 1:3), var2 = "ageyr", match = FALSE, data = d)}
set.seed(12345)
perm.mimic <- permuteMeasEq(nPermute = 20, modelType = "mimic",
                            con = fit.mimic, param = param,
                            covariates = "ageyr", datafun = datafun)
summary(perm.mimic)
## End(Not run)
```
<span id="page-117-0"></span>permuteMeasEq-class *Class for the Results of Permutation Randomization Tests of Measurement Equivalence and DIF*

## Description

This class contains the results of tests of Measurement Equivalence and Differential Item Functioning (DIF).

## permuteMeasEq-class 119

## Usage

```
## S4 method for signature 'permuteMeasEq'
show(object)
## S4 method for signature 'permuteMeasEq'
summary(object, alpha = 0.05, nd = 3,
  extra = FALSE)## S4 method for signature 'permuteMeasEq'
hist(x, \ldots, AFI, alpha = 0.05, nd = 3,
  printLegend = TRUE, legendArgs = list(x = "topleft"))
```
## Arguments



#### Value

- The show method prints a summary of the multiparameter omnibus test results, using the userspecified AFIs. The parametric  $(\Delta)\chi^2$  test is also displayed.
- The summary method prints the same information from the show method, but when extra = FALSE (the default) it also provides a table summarizing any requested follow-up tests of DIF using modification indices in slot MI.obs. The user can also specify an alpha level for flagging modification indices as significant, as well as nd (the number of digits displayed). For each modification index, the *p* value is displayed using a central  $\chi^2$  distribution with the *df* shown in that column. Additionally, a *p* value is displayed using the permutation distribution of the maximum index, which controls the familywise Type I error rate in a manner similar to Tukey's studentized range test. If any indices are flagged as significant using the tukey.p.value, then a message is displayed for each flagged index. The invisibly returned data.frame is the displayed table of modification indices, unless [permuteMeasEq\(\)](#page-109-0) was called with param = NULL, in which case the invisibly returned object is object. If extra = TRUE, the permutation-based *p* values for each statistic returned by the extra function are displayed and returned in a data. frame instead of the modification indices requested in the param argument.

• The hist method returns a list of length == 2, containing the arguments for the call to hist and the arguments to the call for legend, respectively. This list may facilitate creating a customized histogram of AFI.dist, MI.dist, or extra.dist

## **Slots**

- PT A data.frame returned by a call to [lavaan::parTable\(\)](#page-0-0) on the constrained model
- modelType A character indicating the specified modelType in the call to permuteMeasEq
- ANOVA A numeric vector indicating the results of the observed ( $\Delta$ ) $\chi^2$  test, based on the central  $\chi^2$ distribution
- AFI.obs A vector of observed (changes in) user-selected fit measures
- $AFI$ .dist The permutation distribution(s) of user-selected fit measures. A data.frame with n. Permutations rows and one column for each AFI.obs.
- AFI.pval A vector of *p* values (one for each element in slot AFI.obs) calculated using slot AFI.dist, indicating the probability of observing a change at least as extreme as AFI. obs if the null hypothesis were true
- MI.obs A data.frame of observed Lagrange Multipliers (modification indices) associated with the equality constraints or fixed parameters specified in the param argument. This is a subset of the output returned by a call to [lavaan::lavTestScore\(\)](#page-0-0) on the constrained model.
- MI.dist The permutation distribution of the maximum modification index (among those seen in slot MI. obs\$X2) at each permutation of group assignment or of covariates
- extra.obs If permuteMeasEq was called with an extra function, the output when applied to the original data is concatenated into this vector
- extra.dist A data.frame, each column of which contains the permutation distribution of the corresponding statistic in slot extra.obs
- n.Permutations An integer indicating the number of permutations requested by the user
- n.Converged An integer indicating the number of permuation iterations which yielded a converged solution
- n.nonConverged An integer vector of length n.Permutations indicating how many times group assignment was randomly permuted (at each iteration) before converging on a solution
- n.Sparse Only relevant with ordered indicators when modelType == "mgcfa". An integer vector of length n. Permutations indicating how many times group assignment was randomly permuted (at each iteration) before obtaining a sample with all categories observed in all groups.
- oldSeed An integer vector storing the value of .Random.seed before running permuteMeasEq. Only relevant when using a parallel/multicore option and the original RNGkind() != "L'Ecuyer-CMRG". This enables users to restore their previous .Random.seed state, if desired, by running: .Random.seed[-1] <- permutedResults@oldSeed[-1]

## Objects from the Class

Objects can be created via the [permuteMeasEq\(\)](#page-109-0) function.

## Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

## plausible Values 121

# See Also

[permuteMeasEq\(\)](#page-109-0)

# Examples

# See the example from the permuteMeasEq function



# Description

Draw plausible values of factor scores estimated from a fitted [lavaan::lavaan\(\)](#page-0-0) model, then treat them as multiple imputations of missing data using [lavaan.mi::lavaan.mi\(\)](#page-0-0).

#### Usage

```
plausibleValues(object, nDraws = 20L, seed = 12345,
 omit.\text{imps} = c("no.comv", "no.se"), ...)
```
## Arguments



## Details

Because latent variables are unobserved, they can be considered as missing data, which can be imputed using Monte Carlo methods. This may be of interest to researchers with sample sizes too small to fit their complex structural models. Fitting a factor model as a first step, [lavaan::lavPredict\(\)](#page-0-0) provides factor-score estimates, which can be treated as observed values in a path analysis (Step 2). However, the resulting standard errors and test statistics could not be trusted because the Step-2 analysis would not take into account the uncertainty about the estimated factor scores. Using the asymptotic sampling covariance matrix of the factor scores provided by [lavaan::lavPredict\(\)](#page-0-0), plausibleValues draws a set of nDraws imputations from the sampling distribution of each factor score, returning a list of data sets that can be treated like multiple imputations of incomplete data. If the data were already imputed to handle missing data, plausibleValues also accepts an object of class [lavaan.mi,](#page-0-0) and will draw nDraws plausible values from each imputation. Step 2 would then take into account uncertainty about both missing values and factor scores. Bayesian methods can also be used to generate factor scores, as available with the **blavaan** package, in which case plausible values are simply saved parameters from the posterior distribution. See Asparouhov and Muthen (2010) for further technical details and references.

Each returned data. frame includes a case. idx column that indicates the corresponding rows in the data set to which the model was originally fitted (unless the user requests only Level-2 variables). This can be used to merge the plausible values with the original observed data, but users should note that including any new variables in a Step-2 model might not accurately account for their relationship(s) with factor scores because they were not accounted for in the Step-1 model from which factor scores were estimated.

If object is a multilevel lavaan model, users can request plausible values for latent variables at particular levels of analysis by setting the [lavaan::lavPredict\(\)](#page-0-0) argument level=1 or level=2. If the level argument is not passed via ..., then both levels are returned in a single merged data set per draw. For multilevel models, each returned data.frame also includes a column indicating to which cluster each row belongs (unless the user requests only Level-2 variables).

#### Value

A list of length nDraws, each of which is a data.frame containing plausible values, which can be treated as a list of imputed data sets to be passed to [runMI\(\)](#page-0-0) (see Examples). If object is of class [lavaan.mi::lavaan.mi,](#page-0-0) the list will be of length nDraws\*m, where m is the number of imputations.

## Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

## References

Asparouhov, T. & Muthen, B. O. (2010). *Plausible values for latent variables using M*plus. Technical Report. Retrieved from www.statmodel.com/download/Plausible.pdf

## See Also

[lavaan.mi::lavaan.mi\(\)](#page-0-0), [lavaan.mi::lavaan.mi](#page-0-0)

## plausibleValues 123

## Examples

```
## example from ?cfa and ?lavPredict help pages
HS.model \leq ' visual = \propto x1 + x2 + x3
             textual =~x4 + x5 + x6speed = x7 + x8 + x9fit1 <- cfa(HS.model, data = HolzingerSwineford1939)
fs1 <- plausibleValues(fit1, nDraws = 3,
                       ## lavPredict() can add only the modeled data
                       append.data = TRUE)
lapply(fs1, head)
## To merge factor scores to original data.frame (not just modeled data)
fs1 <- plausibleValues(fit1, nDraws = 3)
idx <- lavInspect(fit1, "case.idx") # row index for each case
if (is.list(idx)) idx <- do.call(c, idx) # for multigroup models
data(HolzingerSwineford1939) # copy data to workspace
HolzingerSwineford1939$case.idx <- idx # add row index as variable
## loop over draws to merge original data with factor scores
for (i in seq_along(fs1)) {
 fs1[[i]] <- merge(fs1[[i]], HolzingerSwineford1939, by = "case.idx")
}
lapply(fs1, head)
## multiple-group analysis, in 2 steps
step1 <- cfa(HS.model, data = HolzingerSwineford1939, group = "school",
            group.equal = c("loadings","intercepts"))
PV.list <- plausibleValues(step1)
## subsequent path analysis
path.model <- ' visual ~ c(t1, t2)*textual + c(s1, s2)*speed '
## Not run:
library(lavaan.mi)
step2 <- sem.mi(path.model, data = PV.list, group = "school")
## test equivalence of both slopes across groups
lavTestWald.mi(step2, constraints = 't1 == t2 ; s1 == s2')
## End(Not run)
## multilevel example from ?Demo.twolevel help page
model < -level: 1
   fw = \sim y1 + y2 + y3
   fw ~ x1 + x2 + x3level: 2
    fb = \sim y1 + y2 + y3
    fb \sim w1 + w2msem <- sem(model, data = Demo.twolevel, cluster = "cluster")
mlPVs <- plausibleValues(msem, nDraws = 3) # both levels by default
```

```
lapply(mIPVs, head, n = 10)## only Level 1
mlPV1 <- plausibleValues(msem, nDraws = 3, level = 1)
lapply(mlPV1, head)
## only Level 2
mlPV2 <- plausibleValues(msem, nDraws = 3, level = 2)
lapply(mlPV2, head)
## example with 10 multiple imputations of missing data:
## Not run:
library(lavaan.mi)
data(HS20imps, package = "lavaan.mi")
## specify CFA model from lavaan's ?cfa help page
HS.model <- '
  visual = x1 + x2 + x3textual = x4 + x5 + x6speed = x7 + x8 + x9out2 <- cfa.mi(HS.model, data = HS20imps)
PVs <- plausibleValues(out2, nDraws = nPVs)
idx <- out2@Data@case.idx # can't use lavInspect() on lavaan.mi
## empty list to hold expanded imputations
impPVs <- list()
nPVs < -5nImps <- 10
for (m in 1:nImps) {
 HS20imps[[m]]["case.idx"] <- idx
  for (i in 1:nPVs) {
    impPVS[[ nPVs*(m - 1) + i ]] <- merge(HS20imps[[m]],
                                          PVs[[ nPVs*(m - 1) + i ]],
                                          by = "case.idx")}
}
lapply(impPVs, head)
## End(Not run)
```
<span id="page-123-0"></span>plotProbe *Plot a latent interaction*

## Description

This function will plot the line graphs representing the simple effect of the independent variable given the values of the moderator. For multigroup models, it will only generate a plot for 1 group,

#### plotProbe 225

as specified in the function used to obtain the first argument.

## Usage

```
plotProbe(object, xlim, xlab = "Indepedent Variable",
 ylab = "Dependent Variable", legend = TRUE, legendArgs = list(), ...)
```
## Arguments



#### Value

None. This function will plot the simple main effect only.

#### Note

If the object does not contain simple intercepts (i.e., if the object\$SimpleIntcept element is NULL), then all simple intercepts are arbitrarily set to zero in order to plot the simple slopes. This may not be consistent with the fitted model, but was (up until version 0.5-7) the default behavior when the y-intercept was fixed to 0. In this case, although the relative steepness of simple slopes can still meaningfully be compared, the relative vertical positions of lines at any point along the *x*-axis should not be interpreted.

## Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

## References

Schoemann, A. M., & Jorgensen, T. D. (2021). Testing and interpreting latent variable interactions using the semTools package. *Psych, 3*(3), 322–335. [doi:10.3390/psych3030024](https://doi.org/10.3390/psych3030024)

## See Also

- [indProd\(\)](#page-47-0) For creating the indicator products with no centering, mean centering, doublemean centering, or residual centering.
- [probe2WayMC\(\)](#page-136-0) For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from mean-centering, or double-mean centering
- [probe3WayMC\(\)](#page-142-0) For probing the three-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from mean-centering, or double-mean centering
- [probe2WayRC\(\)](#page-139-0) For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from residual-centering approach.
- [probe3WayRC\(\)](#page-146-0) For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from residual-centering approach.

#### Examples

```
library(lavaan)
dat2wayMC <- indProd(dat2way, 1:3, 4:6)
model1 \leq "
f1 = x1 + x2 + x3f2 = x4 + x5 + x6f12 = x1. x4 + x2. x5 + x3. x6f3 = x7 + x8 + x9f3 ~ f1 + f2 + f12
f12 \sim 0*f1
f12 \sim 0*f2
x1 - 0*1x4 - 0*1x1. x4 ~ ~ ~ 0*1x7 ~ 0*1f1 ~ \sim NA*1
f2 \sim NA*1
f12 \sim NA*1
f3 \sim NA*1
"
fitMC2way <- sem(model1, data = dat2wayMC, meanstructure = TRUE)
result2wayMC <- probe2WayMC(fitMC2way, nameX = c("f1", "f2", "f12"),
                             nameY = "f3", modVar = "f2", valProbe = c(-1, 0, 1))plotProbe(result2wayMC, xlim = c(-2, 2))
dat3wayMC <- indProd(dat3way, 1:3, 4:6, 7:9)
model3 <- "
f1 = x1 + x2 + x3f2 = x4 + x5 + x6f3 = x7 + x8 + x9f12 = x1. x4 + x2. x5 + x3. x6f13 = x1. x7 + x2. x8 + x3. x9
```
## plotRMSEAdist 127

```
f23 = x4. x7 + x5. x8 + x6. x9f123 = x1. x4. x7 + x2. x5. x8 + x3. x6. x9f4 = \times 10 + \times 11 + \times 12
f4 ~ f1 + f2 + f3 + f12 + f13 + f23 + f123
f1 \sim 0*f12
f1 \sim 0*f13
f1 ~~ 0*f123
f2 \sim 0*f12
f2 ~~ 0*f23
f2 ~~ 0*f123
f3 ~~ 0*f13
f3 ~~ 0*f23
f3 ~~ 0*f123
f12 ~~ 0*f123
f13 ~~ 0*f123
f23 ~~ 0*f123
x1 ~ ~ ~ \odot 0*1
x4 - 0*1x7 ~ ~ ~ \theta*1x10 - 0*1x1. x4 - 0*1x1. x7 - 0*1x4. x7 ~ ~ ~ 0*1x1.x4.x7 ~ 0*1
f1 ~\sim NA\star1
f2 ~\sim NA\star1
f3 ~\sim NA*1
f12 \sim NA*1
f13 \sim NA\star1
f23 \sim NA*1f123 \sim NA*1
f4 \sim NA*1
"
fitMC3way <- sem(model3, data = dat3wayMC, std.lv = FALSE,
                   meanstructure = TRUE)
result3wayMC <- probe3WayMC(fitMC3way, nameX = c("f1", "f2", "f3", "f12",
                                                       "f13", "f23", "f123"),
                               nameY = "f4", modVar = c("f1", "f2"),valProblem = c(-1, 0, 1), valProblem = c(-1, 0, 1))plotProbe(result3wayMC, xlim = c(-2, 2))
```
<span id="page-126-0"></span>plotRMSEAdist *Plot the sampling distributions of RMSEA*

## Description

Plots the sampling distributions of RMSEA based on the noncentral chi-square distributions

## Usage

plotRMSEAdist(rmsea, n, df, ptile = NULL, caption = NULL,  $rmseaScale = TRUE, group = 1)$ 

## Arguments



#### Details

This function creates overlappling plots of the sampling distribution of RMSEA based on noncentral  $\chi^2$  distribution (MacCallum, Browne, & Suguwara, 1996). First, the noncentrality parameter ( $\lambda$ ) is calculated from RMSEA (Steiger, 1998; Dudgeon, 2004) by

$$
\lambda = (N-1)d\varepsilon^2/K,
$$

where N is sample size, d is the model degree of freedom, K is the number of group, and  $\varepsilon$  is the population RMSEA. Next, the noncentral  $\chi^2$  distribution with a specified *df* and noncentrality parameter is plotted. Thus, the x-axis represents the sample  $\chi^2$  value. The sample  $\chi^2$  value can be transformed to the sample RMSEA scale  $(\hat{\varepsilon})$  by

$$
\hat{\varepsilon} = \sqrt{K} \sqrt{\frac{\chi^2 - d}{(N-1)d}},
$$

where  $\chi^2$  is the  $\chi^2$  value obtained from the noncentral  $\chi^2$  distribution.

## Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### References

Dudgeon, P. (2004). A note on extending Steiger's (1998) multiple sample RMSEA adjustment to other noncentrality parameter-based statistic. *Structural Equation Modeling, 11*(3), 305–319. [doi:10.1207/s15328007sem1103\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328007sem1103_1)

MacCallum, R. C., Browne, M. W., & Sugawara, H. M. (1996). Power analysis and determination of sample size for covariance structure modeling. *Psychological Methods, 1*(2), 130–149. [doi:10.1037/1082989X.1.2.130](https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.1.2.130)

Steiger, J. H. (1998). A note on multiple sample extensions of the RMSEA fit index. *Structural Equation Modeling, 5*(4), 411–419. [doi:10.1080/10705519809540115](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705519809540115)

## plotRMSEApower 129

## See Also

- [plotRMSEApower\(\)](#page-128-0) to plot the statistical power based on population RMSEA given the sample size
- [findRMSEApower\(\)](#page-33-0) to find the statistical power based on population RMSEA given a sample size
- [findRMSEAsamplesize\(\)](#page-36-0) to find the minium sample size for a given statistical power based on population RMSEA

## Examples

```
plotRMSEAdist(c(.05, .08), n = 200, df = 20, ptile = .95, rmseaScale = TRUE)
plotRMSEAdist(c(.05, .01), n = 200, df = 20, ptile = .05, rmseaScale = FALSE)
```
<span id="page-128-0"></span>plotRMSEApower *Plot power curves for RMSEA*

## Description

Plots power of RMSEA over a range of sample sizes

#### Usage

```
plotRMSEApower(rmsea0, rmseaA, df, nlow, nhigh, steps = 1, alpha = 0.05,
  group = 1, \ldots)
```
## Arguments



## Details

This function creates plot of power for RMSEA against a range of sample sizes. The plot places sample size on the horizontal axis and power on the vertical axis. The user should indicate the lower and upper values for sample size and the sample size between each estimate ("step size") We strongly urge the user to read the sources below (see References) before proceeding. A web version of this function is available at: <http://quantpsy.org/rmsea/rmseaplot.htm>. This function is also implemented in the web application "power4SEM": [https://sjak.shinyapps.io/](https://sjak.shinyapps.io/power4SEM/) [power4SEM/](https://sjak.shinyapps.io/power4SEM/)

## Value

Plot of power for RMSEA against a range of sample sizes

#### Author(s)

Alexander M. Schoemann (East Carolina University; <schoemanna@ecu.edu>)

Kristopher J. Preacher (Vanderbilt University; <kris.preacher@vanderbilt.edu>)

Donna L. Coffman (Pennsylvania State University; <dlc30@psu.edu>)

## References

MacCallum, R. C., Browne, M. W., & Cai, L. (2006). Testing differences between nested covariance structure models: Power analysis and null hypotheses. *Psychological Methods, 11*(1), 19–35. [doi:10.1037/1082989X.11.1.19](https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.11.1.19)

MacCallum, R. C., Browne, M. W., & Sugawara, H. M. (1996). Power analysis and determination of sample size for covariance structure modeling. *Psychological Methods, 1*(2), 130–149. [doi:10.1037/1082989X.1.2.130](https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.1.2.130)

MacCallum, R. C., Lee, T., & Browne, M. W. (2010). The issue of isopower in power analysis for tests of structural equation models. *Structural Equation Modeling, 17*(1), 23–41. [doi:10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705510903438906) [10705510903438906](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705510903438906)

Preacher, K. J., Cai, L., & MacCallum, R. C. (2007). Alternatives to traditional model comparison strategies for covariance structure models. In T. D. Little, J. A. Bovaird, & N. A. Card (Eds.), *Modeling contextual effects in longitudinal studies* (pp. 33–62). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Steiger, J. H. (1998). A note on multiple sample extensions of the RMSEA fit index. *Structural Equation Modeling, 5*(4), 411–419. [doi:10.1080/10705519809540115](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705519809540115)

Steiger, J. H., & Lind, J. C. (1980, June). *Statistically based tests for the number of factors.* Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Psychometric Society, Iowa City, IA.

Jak, S., Jorgensen, T. D., Verdam, M. G., Oort, F. J., & Elffers, L. (2021). Analytical power calculations for structural equation modeling: A tutorial and Shiny app. *Behavior Research Methods, 53*, 1385–1406. [doi:10.3758/s13428020014790](https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-020-01479-0)

## See Also

• [plotRMSEAdist\(\)](#page-126-0) to visualize the RMSEA distributions

## plotRMSEApowernested 131

- [findRMSEApower\(\)](#page-33-0) to find the statistical power based on population RMSEA given a sample size
- [findRMSEAsamplesize\(\)](#page-36-0) to find the minium sample size for a given statistical power based on population RMSEA

# Examples

```
plotRMSEApower(rmsea0 = .025, rmsesaA = .075, df = 23,nlow = 100, nhigh = 500, steps = 10)
```
plotRMSEApowernested *Plot power of nested model RMSEA*

## Description

Plot power of nested model RMSEA over a range of possible sample sizes.

## Usage

```
plotRMSEApowernested(rmsea0A = NULL, rmsea0B = NULL, rmsea1A,
  rmsea1B = NULL, dfA, dfB, nlow, nhigh, steps = 1, alpha = 0.05,
 group = 1, \ldots)
```
# Arguments



# Author(s)

Bell Clinton

Pavel Panko (Texas Tech University; <pavel.panko@ttu.edu>) Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### References

MacCallum, R. C., Browne, M. W., & Cai, L. (2006). Testing differences between nested covariance structure models: Power analysis and null hypotheses. *Psychological Methods, 11*(1), 19–35. [doi:10.1037/1082989X.11.1.19](https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.11.1.19)

## See Also

- [findRMSEApowernested\(\)](#page-35-0) to find the power for a given sample size in nested model comparison based on population RMSEA
- [findRMSEAsamplesizenested\(\)](#page-37-0) to find the minium sample size for a given statistical power in nested model comparison based on population RMSEA

## Examples

```
plotRMSEA power nested(rmsea0A = 0, rmsea0B = 0, rmsea1A = 0.06,rmsea1B = 0.05, dfA = 22, dfB = 20, nlow = 50,
                     nhigh = 500, steps = 1, alpha = .05, group = 1)
```
poolMAlloc *Combine sampling variability with parcel-allocation variability by pooling results across M parcel-allocations*

#### Description

This function employs an iterative algorithm to pick the number of random item-to-parcel allocations needed to meet user-defined stability criteria for a fitted structural equation model (SEM) (see Details below for more information). Pooled point and standard-error estimates from this SEM can be outputted at this final selected number of allocations (however, it is more efficient to save the allocations and treat them as multiple imputations using  $l$  avaan.mi:: $l$  avaan.mi(); see See Also for links with examples). Additionally, new indices (see Sterba & Rights, 2016) are outputted for assessing the relative contributions of parcel-allocation variability vs. sampling variability in each estimate. At each iteration, this function generates a given number of random item-to-parcel allocations, fits a SEM to each allocation, pools estimates across allocations from that iteration, and then assesses whether stopping criteria are met. If stopping criteria are not met, the algorithm increments the number of allocations used (generating all new allocations).

## Usage

```
poolMAlloc(nPerPar, facPlc, nAllocStart, nAllocAdd = 0,
 parceloutput = NULL, syntax, dataset, stopProp, stopValue,
  selectParam = NULL, indices = "default", double = FALSE,
  checkConv = FALSE, names = "default", leaveout = 0,
  useTotalAlice = FALSE, ...)
```
# poolMAlloc 133

# Arguments





## Details

This function implements an algorithm for choosing the number of allocations (*M*; described in Sterba & Rights, 2016), pools point and standard-error estimates across these *M* allocations, and produces indices for assessing the relative contributions of parcel-allocation variability vs. sampling variability in each estimate.

To obtain pooled test statistics for model fit or model comparison, the list or parcel allocations can be passed to lavaan.mi:: lavaan.mi() (find **Examples** on the help pages for [parcelAllocation\(\)](#page-96-0) and [PAVranking\(\)](#page-105-0)).

This function randomly generates a given number (nAllocStart) of item-to-parcel allocations, fits a SEM to each allocation, and then increments the number of allocations used (by nAllocAdd) until the pooled point and standard-error estimates fulfill stopping criteria (stopProp and stopValue, defined above). A summary of results from the model that was fit to the *M* allocations are returned.

Additionally, this function outputs the proportion of allocations with solutions that converged (using a maximum likelihood estimator) as well as the proportion of allocations with solutions that were converged and proper. The converged and proper solutions among the final *M* allocations are used in computing pooled results.

Additionally, after each iteration of the algorithm, information useful in monitoring the algorithm is outputted. The number of allocations used at that iteration, the proportion of pooled parameter estimates meeting stopping criteria at the previous iteration, the proportion of pooled standard errors meeting stopping criteria at the previous iteration, and the runtime of that iteration are outputted. When stopping criteria are satisfied, the full set of results are outputted.

## poolMAlloc 135

For further details on the benefits of the random allocation of items to parcels, see Sterba (2011) and Sterba & MacCallum (2010).

## Value



## Author(s)

Jason D. Rights (Vanderbilt University; < jason.d.rights@vanderbilt.edu>)

The author would also like to credit Corbin Quick and Alexander Schoemann for providing the original [parcelAllocation\(\)](#page-96-0) function (prior to its revision by Terrence D. Jorgensen) on which this function is based.

## References

Sterba, S. K. (2011). Implications of parcel-allocation variability for comparing fit of item-solutions and parcel-solutions. *Structural Equation Modeling, 18*(4), 554–577. [doi:10.1080/10705511.2011.607073](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2011.607073)

Sterba, S. K., & MacCallum, R. C. (2010). Variability in parameter estimates and model fit across random allocations of items to parcels. *Multivariate Behavioral Research, 45*(2), 322–358. [doi:10.1080/00273171003680302](https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171003680302)

Sterba, S. K., & Rights, J. D. (2016). Accounting for parcel-allocation variability in practice: Combining sources of uncertainty and choosing the number of allocations. *Multivariate Behavioral Research, 51*(2–3), 296–313. [doi:10.1080/00273171.2016.1144502](https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2016.1144502)

Sterba, S. K., & Rights, J. D. (2017). Effects of parceling on model selection: Parcel-allocation variability in model ranking. *Psychological Methods, 22*(1), 47–68. [doi:10.1037/met0000067](https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000067)

#### See Also

[lavaan.mi::lavaan.mi\(\)](#page-0-0) for treating allocations as multiple imputations to pool results across allocations. See Examples on help pages for [parcelAllocation\(\)](#page-96-0) (when fitting a single model) and [PAVranking\(\)](#page-105-0) (when comparing 2 models).

## Examples

```
## Not run:
## lavaan syntax: A 2 Correlated
## factor CFA model to be fit to parceled data
parmodel <- '
  f1 =~ NA*p1f1 + p2f1 + p3f1
   f2 =~ NA*p1f2 + p2f2 + p3f2
   p1f1 \sim 1p2f1 ~ 1
   p3f1 ~ 1
   p1f2 \sim 1p2f2 \sim 1p3f2 \sim 1p1f1 ~~ p1f1
   p2f1 ~~ p2f1
  p3f1 ~~ p3f1
  p1f2 ~~ p1f2
   p2f2 ~~ p2f2
   p3f2 ~~ p3f2
   f1 ~~ 1*f1
   f2 ~~ 1*f2
   f1 \sim f2
## specify items for each factor
f1name <- colnames(simParcel)[1:9]
f2name <- colnames(simParcel)[10:18]
## run function
poolMAIloc(nPerPar = list(c(3,3,3), c(3,3,3)),facPlc = list(f1name, f2name), nAllocStart = 10, nAllocAdd = 10,
           syntax = parmodel, dataset = simParcel, stopProp = .03,
           stopValue = .03, selectParam = c(1:6, 13:18, 21),
           names = list("p1f1","p2f1","p3f1","p1f2","p2f2","p3f2"),
           double = FALSE, useTotalAlloc = FALSE)
```
## See examples on ?parcelAllocation and ?PAVranking for how to obtain ## pooled test statistics and other pooled lavaan output. ## Details provided in Sterba & Rights (2016).

<span id="page-136-0"></span>

## Description

Probing interaction for simple intercept and simple slope for the no-centered or mean-centered latent two-way interaction

## Usage

```
probe2WayMC(fit, nameX, nameY, modVar, valProbe, group = 1L,
 omit.imps = c("no.conv", "no.se"))
```
## Arguments



## Details

Before using this function, researchers need to make the products of the indicators between the first-order factors using mean centering (Marsh, Wen, & Hau, 2004). Note that the double-mean centering may not be appropriate for probing interaction if researchers are interested in simple intercepts. The mean or double-mean centering can be done by the [indProd\(\)](#page-47-0) function. The indicator products can be made for all possible combination or matched-pair approach (Marsh et al., 2004). Next, the hypothesized model with the regression with latent interaction will be used to fit all original indicators and the product terms. See the example for how to fit the product term below. Once the lavaan result is obtained, this function will be used to probe the interaction.

Let that the latent interaction model regressing the dependent variable  $(Y)$  on the independent variable  $(X)$  and the moderator  $(Z)$  be

$$
Y = b_0 + b_1 X + b_2 Z + b_3 X Z + r,
$$

where  $b_0$  is the estimated intercept or the expected value of Y when both X and Z are 0,  $b_1$  is the effect of X when Z is 0,  $b_2$  is the effect of Z when X is 0,  $b_3$  is the interaction effect between X and  $Z$ , and  $r$  is the residual term.

To probe a two-way interaction, the simple intercept of the independent variable at each value of the moderator (Aiken & West, 1991; Cohen, Cohen, West, & Aiken, 2003; Preacher, Curran, & Bauer, 2006) can be obtained by

$$
b_{0|X=0,Z} = b_0 + b_2 Z.
$$

The simple slope of the independent varaible at each value of the moderator can be obtained by

$$
b_{X|Z} = b_1 + b_3 Z.
$$

The variance of the simple intercept formula is

$$
Var(b_{0|X=0,Z}) = Var(b_0) + 2Z \times Cov(b_0, b_2) + Z^2 \times Var(b_2)
$$

, where  $Var$  denotes the variance of a parameter estimate and  $Cov$  denotes the covariance of two parameter estimates.

The variance of the simple slope formula is

$$
Var(b_{X|Z}) = Var(b_1) + 2Z \times Cov(b_1, b_3) + Z^2 \times Var(b_3)
$$

Wald *z* statistic is used for test statistic (even for objects of class [lavaan.mi::lavaan.mi\)](#page-0-0).

#### Value

A list with two elements:

- 1. SimpleIntercept: The simple intercepts given each value of the moderator.
- 2. SimpleSlope: The simple slopes given each value of the moderator.

In each element, the first column represents the values of the moderator specified in the valProbe argument. The second column is the simple intercept or simple slope. The third column is the *SE* of the simple intercept or simple slope. The fourth column is the Wald (*z*) statistic, and the fifth column is the associated  $p$  value testing the null hypothesis that each simple intercept or slope is  $0$ .

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

## References

Tutorial:

Schoemann, A. M., & Jorgensen, T. D. (2021). Testing and interpreting latent variable interactions using the semTools package. *Psych, 3*(3), 322–335. [doi:10.3390/psych3030024](https://doi.org/10.3390/psych3030024)

Background literature:

Aiken, L. S., & West, S. G. (1991). *Multiple regression: Testing and interpreting interactions*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Cohen, J., Cohen, P., West, S. G., & Aiken, L. S. (2003). *Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for the behavioral sciences* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.

Marsh, H. W., Wen, Z., & Hau, K. T. (2004). Structural equation models of latent interactions: Evaluation of alternative estimation strategies and indicator construction. *Psychological Methods, 9*(3), 275–300. [doi:10.1037/1082989X.9.3.275](https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.9.3.275)

Preacher, K. J., Curran, P. J., & Bauer, D. J. (2006). Computational tools for probing interactions in multiple linear regression, multilevel modeling, and latent curve analysis. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics, 31*(4), 437–448. [doi:10.3102/10769986031004437](https://doi.org/10.3102/10769986031004437)

#### See Also

- [indProd\(\)](#page-47-0) For creating the indicator products with no centering, mean centering, doublemean centering, or residual centering.
- [probe3WayMC\(\)](#page-142-0) For probing the three-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from mean-centering, or double-mean centering
- [probe2WayRC\(\)](#page-139-0) For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from residual-centering approach.
- [probe3WayRC\(\)](#page-146-0) For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from residual-centering approach.
- [plotProbe\(\)](#page-123-0) Plot the simple intercepts and slopes of the latent interaction.

## Examples

dat2wayMC <- indProd(dat2way, 1:3, 4:6) # double mean centered by default

```
model1 \leq "
f1 = x1 + x2 + x3f2 = x4 + x5 + x6f12 = x1. x4 + x2. x5 + x3. x6f3 = x7 + x8 + x9f3 ~ f1 + f2 + f12
f12 \sim 0*f1 + 0*f2 # not necessary, but implied by double mean centering
"
```

```
fitMC2way <- sem(model1, data = dat2wayMC, meanstructure = TRUE)
summary(fitMC2way)
probe2WayMC(fitMC2way, nameX = c("f1", "f2", "f12"), nameY = "f3",
           modVar = "f2", valProbe = c(-1, 0, 1))
## can probe multigroup models, one group at a time
dat2wayMC$g <- 1:2
model2 <- "
f1 = x1 + x2 + x3f2 = x4 + x5 + x6f12 = x1. x4 + x2. x5 + x3. x6f3 = x7 + x8 + x9f3 ~ c(b1.g1, b1.g2)*f1 + c(b2.g1, b2.g2)*f2 + c(b12.g1, b12.g2)*f12
f12 \sim 0*f1 + 0*f2
"
fit2 <- sem(model2, data = dat2wayMC, group = "g")
probe2WayMC(fit2, nameX = c("f1", "f2", "f12"), nameY = "f3",modVar = "f2", valProbe = c(-1, 0, 1) # group = 1 by default
probe2WayMC(fit2, nameX = c("f1", "f2", "f12"), nameY = "f3",
           modVar = "f2", valProbe = c(-1, 0, 1), group = 2)
```
<span id="page-139-0"></span>

# Description

Probing interaction for simple intercept and simple slope for the residual-centered latent two-way interaction (Geldhof et al., 2013)

## Usage

```
probe2WayRC(fit, nameX, nameY, modVar, valProbe, group = 1L,
 omit.imps = c("no.conv", "no.se"))
```
#### Arguments



valProbe The values of the moderator that will be used to probe the effect of the focal predictor.

- group In multigroup models, the label of the group for which the results will be returned. Must correspond to one of lavInspect(fit, "group.label"), or an integer corresponding to which of those group labels.
- omit.imps character vector specifying criteria for omitting imputations from pooled results. Ignored unless fit is of class [lavaan.mi::lavaan.mi.](#page-0-0) Can include any of c("no.conv", "no.se", "no.npd"), the first 2 of which are the default setting, which excludes any imputations that did not converge or for which standard errors could not be computed. The last option ("no.npd") would exclude any imputations which yielded a nonpositive definite covariance matrix for observed or latent variables, which would include any "improper solutions" such as Heywood cases. NPD solutions are not excluded by default because they are likely to occur due to sampling error, especially in small samples. However, gross model misspecification could also cause NPD solutions, users can compare pooled results with and without this setting as a sensitivity analysis to see whether some imputations warrant further investigation.

## Details

Before using this function, researchers need to make the products of the indicators between the firstorder factors and residualize the products by the original indicators (Lance, 1988; Little, Bovaird, & Widaman, 2006). The process can be automated by the [indProd\(\)](#page-47-0) function. Note that the indicator products can be made for all possible combination or matched-pair approach (Marsh et al., 2004). Next, the hypothesized model with the regression with latent interaction will be used to fit all original indicators and the product terms. To use this function the model must be fit with a mean structure. See the example for how to fit the product term below. Once the lavaan result is obtained, this function will be used to probe the interaction.

The probing process on residual-centered latent interaction is based on transforming the residualcentered result into the no-centered result. See Geldhof et al. (2013) for further details. Note that this approach is based on a strong assumption that the first-order latent variables are normally distributed. The probing process is applied after the no-centered result (parameter estimates and their covariance matrix among parameter estimates) has been computed. See the [probe2WayMC\(\)](#page-136-0) for further details.

## Value

A list with two elements:

- 1. SimpleIntercept: The simple intercepts given each value of the moderator.
- 2. SimpleSlope: The simple slopes given each value of the moderator.

In each element, the first column represents the values of the moderators specified in the valProbe argument. The second column is the simple intercept or simple slope. The third column is the standard error of the simple intercept or slope. The fourth column is the Wald (*z*) statistic, and the fifth column is the associated *p* value testing the null hypothesis that each simple intercept or slope is 0.

## Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

## References

Tutorial:

Schoemann, A. M., & Jorgensen, T. D. (2021). Testing and interpreting latent variable interactions using the semTools package. *Psych, 3*(3), 322–335. [doi:10.3390/psych3030024](https://doi.org/10.3390/psych3030024)

Background literature:

Lance, C. E. (1988). Residual centering, exploratory and confirmatory moderator analysis, and decomposition of effects in path models containing interactions. *Applied Psychological Measurement, 12*(2), 163–175. [doi:10.1177/014662168801200205](https://doi.org/10.1177/014662168801200205)

Little, T. D., Bovaird, J. A., & Widaman, K. F. (2006). On the merits of orthogonalizing powered and product terms: Implications for modeling interactions. *Structural Equation Modeling, 13*(4), 497–519. [doi:10.1207/s15328007sem1304\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328007sem1304_1)

Marsh, H. W., Wen, Z., & Hau, K. T. (2004). Structural equation models of latent interactions: Evaluation of alternative estimation strategies and indicator construction. *Psychological Methods, 9*(3), 275–300. [doi:10.1037/1082989X.9.3.275](https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.9.3.275)

Geldhof, G. J., Pornprasertmanit, S., Schoemann, A. M., & Little, T. D. (2013). Orthogonalizing through residual centering: Extended applications and caveats. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 73*(1), 27–46. [doi:10.1177/0013164412445473](https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164412445473)

## See Also

- [indProd\(\)](#page-47-0) For creating the indicator products with no centering, mean centering, doublemean centering, or residual centering.
- [probe2WayMC\(\)](#page-136-0) For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from mean-centering, or double-mean centering
- [probe3WayMC\(\)](#page-142-0) For probing the three-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from mean-centering, or double-mean centering
- [probe3WayRC\(\)](#page-146-0) For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from residual-centering approach.
- [plotProbe\(\)](#page-123-0) Plot the simple intercepts and slopes of the latent interaction.

## Examples

```
dat2wayRC <- orthogonalize(dat2way, 1:3, 4:6)
```

```
model1 \leq - "
f1 = x1 + x2 + x3f2 = \times x4 + x5 + x6
f12 = x1. x4 + x2. x5 + x3. x6f3 = x7 + x8 + x9f3 ~ f1 + f2 + f12
f12 \sim 0*f1 + 0*f2
```

```
x1 + x4 + x1.x4 + x7 \approx 0*1 # identify latent means
f1 + f2 + f12 + f3 \sim NA*1"
fitRC2way <- sem(model1, data = dat2wayRC, meanstructure = TRUE)
summary(fitRC2way)
probe2WayRC(fitRC2way, nameX = c("f1", "f2", "f12"), nameY = "f3",
            modVar = "f2", valProbe = c(-1, 0, 1))
## can probe multigroup models, one group at a time
dat2wayRC$g <- 1:2
model2 \leftarrow "
f1 = x1 + x2 + x3f2 = x4 + x5 + x6f12 = x1. x4 + x2. x5 + x3. x6f3 = x7 + x8 + x9f3 ~ c(b1.g1, b1.g2)*f1 + c(b2.g1, b2.g2)*f2 + c(b12.g1, b12.g2)*f12
f12 \sim 0*f1 + 0*f2
x1 + x4 + x1.x4 + x7 - 0*1 # identify latent means
f1 + f2 + f12 \sim NA*1f3 ~ NA*1 + c(b0.g1, b0.g2)*1
"
fit2 <- sem(model2, data = dat2wayRC, group = "g")probe2WayRC(fit2, nameX = c("f1", "f2", "f12"), nameY = "f3",modVar = "f2", valProbe = c(-1, 0, 1) # group = 1 by default
probe2WayRC(fit2, nameX = c("f1", "f2", "f12"), nameY = "f3",modVar = "f2", valProbe = c(-1, 0, 1), group = 2)
```
<span id="page-142-0"></span>probe3WayMC *Probing three-way interaction on the no-centered or mean-centered latent interaction*

## Description

Probing interaction for simple intercept and simple slope for the no-centered or mean-centered latent two-way interaction

## Usage

```
probe3WayMC(fit, nameX, nameY, modVar, valProbe1, valProbe2, group = 1L,
  omit.imps = c("no.conv", "no.se"))
```
#### Arguments

fit A fitted [lavaan](#page-0-0) or [lavaan.mi::lavaan.mi](#page-0-0) object with a latent 2-way interaction.



#### Details

Before using this function, researchers need to make the products of the indicators between the first-order factors using mean centering (Marsh, Wen, & Hau, 2004). Note that the double-mean centering may not be appropriate for probing interaction if researchers are interested in simple intercepts. The mean or double-mean centering can be done by the [indProd\(\)](#page-47-0) function. The indicator products can be made for all possible combination or matched-pair approach (Marsh et al., 2004). Next, the hypothesized model with the regression with latent interaction will be used to fit all original indicators and the product terms. See the example for how to fit the product term below. Once the lavaan result is obtained, this function will be used to probe the interaction.

Let that the latent interaction model regressing the dependent variable  $(Y)$  on the independent variable  $(X)$  and two moderators  $(Z \text{ and } W)$  be

$$
Y = b_0 + b_1 X + b_2 Z + b_3 W + b_4 X Z + b_5 X W + b_6 Z W + b_7 X Z W + r,
$$

where  $b_0$  is the estimated intercept or the expected value of Y when X, Z, and W are 0,  $b_1$  is the effect of X when Z and W are 0,  $b_2$  is the effect of Z when X and W is 0,  $b_3$  is the effect of W
<span id="page-144-0"></span>when X and Z are 0,  $b_4$  is the interaction effect between X and Z when W is 0,  $b_5$  is the interaction effect between X and W when Z is 0,  $b_6$  is the interaction effect between Z and W when X is 0,  $b_7$  is the three-way interaction effect between X, Z, and W, and r is the residual term.

To probe a three-way interaction, the simple intercept of the independent variable at the specific values of the moderators (Aiken & West, 1991) can be obtained by

$$
b_{0|X=0,Z,W} = b_0 + b_2 Z + b_3 W + b_6 Z W.
$$

The simple slope of the independent variable at the specific values of the moderators can be obtained by

$$
b_{X|Z,W} = b_1 + b_3 Z + b_4 W + b_7 Z W.
$$

The variance of the simple intercept formula is

$$
Var(b_{0|X=0,Z,W}) = Var(b_0) + Z^2 Var(b_2) + W^2 Var(b_3) + Z^2 W^2 Var(b_6)
$$

 $+2ZCov(b_0, b_2)+2WCov(b_0, b_3)+2ZWCov(b_0, b_6)+2ZWCov(b_2, b_3)+2Z<sup>2</sup>WCov(b_2, b_6)+2ZW<sup>2</sup>Cov(b_3, b_6)$ ,

where  $Var$  denotes the variance of a parameter estimate and  $Cov$  denotes the covariance of two parameter estimates.

The variance of the simple slope formula is

$$
Var(b_{X|Z,W}) = Var(b_1) + Z^2 Var(b_4) + W^2 Var(b_5) + Z^2 W^2 Var(b_7)
$$

 $+2ZCov(b_1, b_4)+2WCov(b_1, b_5)+2ZWCov(b_1, b_7)+2ZWCov(b_4, b_5)+2Z<sup>2</sup>WCov(b_4, b_7)+2ZW<sup>2</sup>Cov(b_5, b_7).$ 

Wald *z* statistics are calculated (even for objects of class [lavaan.mi::lavaan.mi\)](#page-0-0) to test null hypotheses that simple intercepts or slopes are 0.

# Value

A list with two elements:

- 1. SimpleIntercept: The model-implied intercepts given each combination of moderator values.
- 2. SimpleSlope: The model-implied slopes given each combination of moderator values.

In each element, the first column represents values of the first moderator specified in the valProbe1 argument. The second column represents values of the second moderator specified in the valProbe2 argument. The third column is the simple intercept or simple slope. The fourth column is the standard error of the simple intercept or simple slope. The fifth column is the Wald (*z*) statistic, and the sixth column is its associated *p* value to test the null hypothesis that each simple intercept or simple slope equals 0.

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

# References

Tutorial:

Schoemann, A. M., & Jorgensen, T. D. (2021). Testing and interpreting latent variable interactions using the semTools package. *Psych, 3*(3), 322–335. [doi:10.3390/psych3030024](https://doi.org/10.3390/psych3030024)

Background literature:

Aiken, L. S., & West, S. G. (1991). *Multiple regression: Testing and interpreting interactions*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Marsh, H. W., Wen, Z., & Hau, K. T. (2004). Structural equation models of latent interactions: Evaluation of alternative estimation strategies and indicator construction. *Psychological Methods, 9*(3), 275–300. [doi:10.1037/1082989X.9.3.275](https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.9.3.275)

# See Also

- [indProd\(\)](#page-47-0) For creating the indicator products with no centering, mean centering, doublemean centering, or residual centering.
- [probe2WayMC\(\)](#page-136-0) For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from mean-centering, or double-mean centering
- [probe2WayRC\(\)](#page-139-0) For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from residual-centering approach.
- [probe3WayRC\(\)](#page-146-0) For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from residual-centering approach.
- [plotProbe\(\)](#page-123-0) Plot the simple intercepts and slopes of the latent interaction.

# Examples

```
dat3wayMC <- indProd(dat3way, 1:3, 4:6, 7:9)
```

```
model3 <- " ## define latent variables
f1 = x1 + x2 + x3f2 = x4 + x5 + x6f3 = x7 + x8 + x9## 2-way interactions
f12 = x1. x4 + x2. x5 + x3. x6f13 = x1. x7 + x2. x8 + x3. x9f23 = x4. x7 + x5. x8 + x6. x9## 3-way interaction
f123 = x1. x4. x7 + x2. x5. x8 + x3. x6. x9## outcome variable
f4 = \times 10 + \times 11 + \times 12
## latent regression model
f4 ~ b1*f1 + b2*f2 + b3*f3 + b12*f12 + b13*f13 + b23*f23 + b123*f123
## orthogonal terms among predictors
## (not necessary, but implied by double mean centering)
f1 ~~ 0*f12 + 0*f13 + 0*f123
f2 ~~ 0*f12 + 0*f23 + 0*f123
f3 ~~ 0*f13 + 0*f23 + 0*f123
```
<span id="page-145-0"></span>

```
f12 + f13 + f23 ~~ 0*f123
"
fitMC3way <- sem(model3, data = dat3wayMC, meanstructure = TRUE)
summary(fitMC3way)
probe3WayMC(fitMC3way, nameX = c("f1" ,"f2" ,"f3",
                                    "f12","f13","f23", # this order matters!<br>"f123"), # 3-way interaction
                                                         # 3-way interaction
             nameY = "f4", modVar = c("f1", "f2"),valProblem = c(-1, 0, 1), valProblem = c(-1, 0, 1))
```
<span id="page-146-0"></span>

# Description

Probing interaction for simple intercept and simple slope for the residual-centered latent three-way interaction (Geldhof et al., 2013)

# Usage

```
probe3WayRC(fit, nameX, nameY, modVar, valProbe1, valProbe2, group = 1L,
 omit.imps = c("no.conv", "no.se"))
```
# Arguments



<span id="page-147-0"></span>omit.imps character vector specifying criteria for omitting imputations from pooled results. Ignored unless fit is of class [lavaan.mi::lavaan.mi.](#page-0-0) Can include any of c("no.conv", "no.se", "no.npd"), the first 2 of which are the default setting, which excludes any imputations that did not converge or for which standard errors could not be computed. The last option ("no.npd") would exclude any imputations which yielded a nonpositive definite covariance matrix for observed or latent variables, which would include any "improper solutions" such as Heywood cases. NPD solutions are not excluded by default because they are likely to occur due to sampling error, especially in small samples. However, gross model misspecification could also cause NPD solutions, users can compare pooled results with and without this setting as a sensitivity analysis to see whether some imputations warrant further investigation.

#### Details

Before using this function, researchers need to make the products of the indicators between the firstorder factors and residualize the products by the original indicators (Lance, 1988; Little, Bovaird, & Widaman, 2006). The process can be automated by the [indProd\(\)](#page-47-0) function. Note that the indicator products can be made for all possible combination or matched-pair approach (Marsh et al., 2004). Next, the hypothesized model with the regression with latent interaction will be used to fit all original indicators and the product terms (Geldhof et al., 2013). To use this function the model must be fit with a mean structure. See the example for how to fit the product term below. Once the lavaan result is obtained, this function will be used to probe the interaction.

The probing process on residual-centered latent interaction is based on transforming the residualcentered result into the no-centered result. See Geldhof et al. (2013) for further details. Note that this approach based on a strong assumption that the first-order latent variables are normally distributed. The probing process is applied after the no-centered result (parameter estimates and their covariance matrix among parameter estimates) has been computed. See the [probe3WayMC\(\)](#page-142-0) for further details.

# Value

A list with two elements:

- 1. SimpleIntercept: The model-implied intercepts given each combination of moderator values.
- 2. SimpleSlope: The model-implied slopes given each combination of moderator values.

In each element, the first column represents values of the first moderator specified in the valProbe1 argument. The second column represents values of the second moderator specified in the valProbe2 argument. The third column is the simple intercept or simple slope. The fourth column is the *SE* of the simple intercept or simple slope. The fifth column is the Wald  $(z)$  statistic, and the sixth column is its associated *p* value to test the null hypothesis that each simple intercept or simple slope equals 0.

# Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

# <span id="page-148-0"></span>probe3WayRC 149

#### References

Tutorial:

Schoemann, A. M., & Jorgensen, T. D. (2021). Testing and interpreting latent variable interactions using the semTools package. *Psych, 3*(3), 322–335. [doi:10.3390/psych3030024](https://doi.org/10.3390/psych3030024)

Background literature:

Geldhof, G. J., Pornprasertmanit, S., Schoemann, A., & Little, T. D. (2013). Orthogonalizing through residual centering: Extended applications and caveats. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 73*(1), 27–46. [doi:10.1177/0013164412445473](https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164412445473)

Lance, C. E. (1988). Residual centering, exploratory and confirmatory moderator analysis, and decomposition of effects in path models containing interactions. *Applied Psychological Measurement, 12*(2), 163–175. [doi:10.1177/014662168801200205](https://doi.org/10.1177/014662168801200205)

Little, T. D., Bovaird, J. A., & Widaman, K. F. (2006). On the merits of orthogonalizing powered and product terms: Implications for modeling interactions. *Structural Equation Modeling, 13*(4), 497–519. [doi:10.1207/s15328007sem1304\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328007sem1304_1)

Marsh, H. W., Wen, Z., & Hau, K. T. (2004). Structural equation models of latent interactions: Evaluation of alternative estimation strategies and indicator construction. *Psychological Methods, 9*(3), 275–300. [doi:10.1037/1082989X.9.3.275](https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.9.3.275)

Pornprasertmanit, S., Schoemann, A. M., Geldhof, G. J., & Little, T. D. (submitted). *Probing latent interaction estimated with a residual centering approach.*

# See Also

- [indProd\(\)](#page-47-0) For creating the indicator products with no centering, mean centering, doublemean centering, or residual centering.
- [probe2WayMC\(\)](#page-136-0) For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from mean-centering, or double-mean centering
- [probe3WayMC\(\)](#page-142-0) For probing the three-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from mean-centering, or double-mean centering
- [probe2WayRC\(\)](#page-139-0) For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from residual-centering approach.
- [plotProbe\(\)](#page-123-0) Plot the simple intercepts and slopes of the latent interaction.

#### Examples

```
dat3wayRC <- orthogonalize(dat3way, 1:3, 4:6, 7:9)
```

```
model3 <- " ## define latent variables
f1 = x1 + x2 + x3f2 = x4 + x5 + x6f3 = x7 + x8 + x9## 2-way interactions
f12 = x1. x4 + x2. x5 + x3. x6f13 = x1. x7 + x2. x8 + x3. x9f23 = \times x4.x7 + x5.x8 + x6.x9
## 3-way interaction
f123 = x1. x4. x7 + x2. x5. x8 + x3. x6. x9
```

```
## outcome variable
f4 = \times x10 + x11 + x12
## latent regression model
f4 ~ b1*f1 + b2*f2 + b3*f3 + b12*f12 + b13*f13 + b23*f23 + b123*f123
## orthogonal terms among predictors
f1 ~~ 0*f12 + 0*f13 + 0*f123
f2 ~~ 0*f12 + 0*f23 + 0*f123
f3 ~~ 0*f13 + 0*f23 + 0*f123
f12 + f13 + f23 ~~ 0*f123
## identify latent means
x1 + x4 + x7 + x1. x4 + x1. x7 + x4. x7 + x1. x4. x7 + x10 \sim 0*1f1 + f2 + f3 + f12 + f13 + f23 + f123 + f4 ~ NA*1
"
fitRC3way <- sem(model3, data = dat3wayRC, meanstructure = TRUE)
summary(fitRC3way)
probe3WayMC(fitRC3way, nameX = c("f1" ,"f2" ,"f3",
                                 "f12","f13","f23", # this order matters!
                                 "f123"), * 3-way interaction
            nameY = "f4", modVar = c("f1", "f2"),
            valProblem = c(-1, 0, 1), valProblem = c(-1, 0, 1))
```
<span id="page-149-0"></span>quark *Quark*

# Description

The quark function provides researchers with the ability to calculate and include component scores calculated by taking into account the variance in the original dataset and all of the interaction and polynomial effects of the data in the dataset.

#### Usage

```
quark(data, id, order = 1, silent = FALSE, ...)
```
#### Arguments



<span id="page-149-1"></span>

<span id="page-150-0"></span>

# Details

The quark function calculates these component scores by first filling in the data via means of multiple imputation methods and then expanding the dataset by aggregating the non-overlapping interaction effects between variables by calculating the mean of the interactions and polynomial effects. The multiple imputation methods include one of iterative sampling and group mean substitution and multiple imputation using a polytomous regression algorithm (mice). During the expansion process, the dataset is expanded to three times its normal size (in width). The first third of the dataset contains all of the original data post imputation, the second third contains the means of the polynomial effects (squares and cubes), and the final third contains the means of the non-overlapping interaction effects. A full principal componenent analysis is conducted and the individual components are retained. The subsequent [combinequark\(\)](#page-14-0) function provides researchers the control in determining how many components to extract and retain. The function returns the dataset as submitted (with missing values) and the component scores as requested for a more accurate multiple imputation in subsequent steps.

#### Value

The output value from using the quark function is a list. It will return a list with 7 components.



# Author(s)

Steven R. Chesnut (University of Southern Mississippi; <Steven.Chesnut@usm.edu>)

Danny Squire (Texas Tech University)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam)

The PCA code is copied and modified from the FactoMineR package.

# References

Howard, W. J., Rhemtulla, M., & Little, T. D. (2015). Using Principal Components as Auxiliary Variables in Missing Data Estimation. *Multivariate Behavioral Research, 50*(3), 285–299. [doi:10.1080/00273171.2014.999267](https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2014.999267)

### See Also

[combinequark\(\)](#page-14-0)

#### Examples

```
set.seed(123321)
```

```
dat <- HolzingerSwineford1939[,7:15]
misspat \leq matrix(runif(nrow(dat) * 9) \leq 0.3, nrow(dat))
dat[misspat] <- NA
dat <- cbind(HolzingerSwineford1939[,1:3], dat)
## Not run:
quark.list \leq quark(data = dat, id = c(1, 2))
final.data \leq combinequark(quark = quark.list, percent = 80)
## Example to rerun quark after imputation failure:
quark.list \leq quark(data = dat, id = c(1, 2), order = 2)
## End(Not run)
```
residualCovariate *Residual-center all target indicators by covariates*

# Description

This function will regress target variables on the covariate and replace the target variables by the residual of the regression analysis. This procedure is useful to control the covariate from the analysis model (Geldhof, Pornprasertmanit, Schoemann, & Little, 2013).

# Usage

```
residualCovariate(data, targetVar, covVar)
```
<span id="page-151-0"></span>

#### <span id="page-152-0"></span>semTools 153

#### Arguments



# Value

The data that the target variables replaced by the residuals

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### References

Geldhof, G. J., Pornprasertmanit, S., Schoemann, A. M., & Little, T. D. (2013). Orthogonalizing through residual centering: Extended applications and caveats. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 73*(1), 27–46. [doi:10.1177/0013164412445473](https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164412445473)

# See Also

[indProd\(\)](#page-47-0) For creating the indicator products with no centering, mean centering, double-mean centering, or residual centering.

#### Examples

```
dat <- residualCovariate(attitude, 2:7, 1)
```
semTools *semTools: Useful Tools for Structural Equation Modeling*

# Description

The semTools package provides many miscellaneous functions that are useful for statistical analysis involving SEM in R. Many functions extend the funtionality of the lavaan package. Some sets of functions in semTools correspond to the same theme. We call such a collection of functions a *suite*. Our suites include:

- Model Fit Evaluation: [moreFitIndices\(\)](#page-82-0), [nullRMSEA\(\)](#page-90-0), [singleParamTest\(\)](#page-154-0), [miPowerFit\(\)](#page-75-0), and [chisqSmallN\(\)](#page-10-0)
- Measurement Invariance: [measEq.syntax\(\)](#page-64-0), [partialInvariance\(\)](#page-100-0), [partialInvarianceCat\(\)](#page-100-1), and [permuteMeasEq\(\)](#page-109-0)
- Power Analysis: [SSpower\(\)](#page-159-0), [findRMSEApower\(\)](#page-33-0), [plotRMSEApower\(\)](#page-128-0), [plotRMSEAdist\(\)](#page-126-0), [findRMSEAsamplesize\(\)](#page-36-0), [findRMSEApowernested\(\)](#page-35-0), [plotRMSEApowernested\(\)](#page-130-0), and [findRMSEAsamplesizenested\(\)](#page-37-0)

#### <span id="page-153-0"></span>154 simParcel

- Missing Data Analysis: [auxiliary\(\)](#page-2-0), [twostage\(\)](#page-163-0), [fmi\(\)](#page-40-0), [bsBootMiss\(\)](#page-8-0), [quark\(\)](#page-149-0), and [combinequark\(\)](#page-14-0)
- Latent Interactions: [indProd\(\)](#page-47-0), [orthogonalize\(\)](#page-47-1), [probe2WayMC\(\)](#page-136-0), [probe3WayMC\(\)](#page-142-0), [probe2WayRC\(\)](#page-139-0), [probe3WayRC\(\)](#page-146-0), and [plotProbe\(\)](#page-123-0)
- Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA): [efa.ekc\(\)](#page-31-0)
- Reliability Estimation: [compRelSEM\(\)](#page-17-0) and [maximalRelia\(\)](#page-62-0) (see also [AVE\(\)](#page-4-0))
- Parceling: [parcelAllocation\(\)](#page-96-0), [PAVranking\(\)](#page-105-0), and [poolMAlloc\(\)](#page-131-0)
- Non-Normality: [skew\(\)](#page-156-0), [kurtosis\(\)](#page-51-0), [mardiaSkew\(\)](#page-60-0), [mardiaKurtosis\(\)](#page-59-0), and [mvrnonnorm\(\)](#page-86-0)

All users of R (or SEM) are invited to submit functions or ideas for functions by contacting the maintainer, Terrence Jorgensen (<TJorgensen314@gmail.com>). Contributors are encouraged to use Roxygen comments to document their contributed code, which is consistent with the rest of semTools. Read the vignette from the roxygen2 package for details: vignette("rd", package = "roxygen2")

simParcel *Simulated Data set to Demonstrate Random Allocations of Parcels*

# Description

A simulated data set with 2 factors with 9 indicators for each factor

#### Usage

simParcel

# Format

A data.frame with 800 observations of 18 variables.

flitem1 Item 1 loading on factor 1

flitem2 Item 2 loading on factor 1

flitem3 Item 3 loading on factor 1

flitem4 Item 4 loading on factor 1

flitem<sub>5</sub> Item 5 loading on factor 1

- flitem6 Item 6 loading on factor 1
- flitem7 Item 7 loading on factor 1
- flitem8 Item 8 loading on factor 1
- flitem9 Item 9 loading on factor 1
- f2item1 Item 1 loading on factor 2
- f2item2 Item 2 loading on factor 2
- f2item3 Item 3 loading on factor 2
- f2item4 Item 4 loading on factor 2

# <span id="page-154-1"></span>singleParamTest 155

f2item5 Item 5 loading on factor 2 f2item6 Item 6 loading on factor 2 f2item7 Item 7 loading on factor 2 f2item8 Item 8 loading on factor 2 f2item9 Item 9 loading on factor 2

# Source

Data were generated using the simsem package.

#### Examples

head(simParcel)

<span id="page-154-0"></span>singleParamTest *Single Parameter Test Divided from Nested Model Comparison*

# **Description**

In comparing two nested models,  $\Delta \chi^2$  test may indicate that two models are different. However, like other omnibus tests, researchers do not know which fixed parameters or constraints make these two models different. This function will help researchers identify the significant parameter.

#### Usage

```
singleParamTest(model1, model2, return.fit = FALSE,
 method = "satorra.bentler.2001")
```
# Arguments



# Details

This function first identifies the differences between these two models. The model with more free parameters is referred to as parent model and the model with fewer free parameters is referred to as nested model. Two tests are implemented here:

1. free: The nested model is used as a template. Then, one parameter indicating the differences between two models is freed. The new model is compared with the nested model. This process is repeated for all differences between two models.

- 2. fix: The parent model is used as a template. Then, one parameter indicating the differences between two models is fixed or constrained to be equal to other parameters. The new model is then compared with the parent model. This process is repeated for all differences between two models.
- 3. mi: No longer available because the test of modification indices is not consistent. For example, if two parameters are equality constrained, the modification index from the first parameter is not equal to the second parameter.

Note that this function does not adjust for the inflated Type I error rate from multiple tests.

# Value

If return. fit = FALSE, the result tables are provided.  $\chi^2$  and p value are provided for all methods. Note that the  $\chi^2$  is all based on 1 *df*. Expected parameter changes and their standardized forms are also provided.

If return. fit = TRUE, a list with two elements are provided. The first element is the tabular result. The second element is the submodels used in the free and fix methods.

# Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### Examples

```
library(lavaan)
```

```
# Nested model comparison by hand
HS.model1 \leftarrow ' visual =~ x1 + x2 + x3
              textual = x4 + x5 + x6'HS.model2 < - ' visual = a*x1 + a*x2 + a*x3
              textual = -b*x4 + b*x5 + b*x6'm1 <- cfa(HS.model1, data = HolzingerSwineford1939, std.lv = TRUE,
          estimator = "MLR")
m2 \leq cfa(HS.model2, data = HolzingerSwineford1939, std.lv = TRUE,
          estimator = "MLR")
anova(m1, m2)
singleParamTest(m1, m2)
## Nested model comparison from the measurementInvariance function
HW.model \leq ' visual = \times x1 + x2 + x3
              textual =~x4 + x5 + x6speed =~x7 + x8 + x9models <- measurementInvariance(model = HW.model, data = HolzingerSwineford1939,
                                group = "school")
singleParamTest(models[[1]], models[[2]])
## Note that the comparison between metric (Model 2) and scalar invariance
## (Model 3) cannot be done by this function because the metric invariance
```
## model fixes factor means as 0 in Group 2 but the strong invariance model

#### <span id="page-156-1"></span>skew 157

## frees the factor means in Group 2. Users may use this function to compare ## scalar invariance (Model 3) to a homogeneous-means model.

<span id="page-156-0"></span>skew *Finding skewness*

# Description

Finding skewness  $(g_1)$  of an object

# Usage

skew(object, population = FALSE)

# Arguments



# Details

The skewness computed by default is  $g_1$ , the third standardized moment of the empirical distribution of object. The population parameter skewness  $\gamma_1$  formula is

$$
\gamma_1 = \frac{\mu_3}{\mu_2^{3/2}},
$$

where  $\mu_i$  denotes the *i* order central moment.

The skewness formula for sample statistic  $g_1$  is

$$
g_1 = \frac{k_3}{k_2^2},
$$

where  $k_i$  are the *i* order *k*-statistic.

The standard error of the skewness is

$$
Var(\hat{g}_1) = \frac{6}{N}
$$

where  $N$  is the sample size.

# Value

A value of a skewness with a test statistic if the population is specified as FALSE

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### References

Weisstein, Eric W. (n.d.). *Skewness*. Retrived from *MathWorld*–A Wolfram Web Resource: [http:](http://mathworld.wolfram.com/Skewness.html) [//mathworld.wolfram.com/Skewness.html](http://mathworld.wolfram.com/Skewness.html)

# See Also

- [kurtosis\(\)](#page-51-0) Find the univariate excessive kurtosis of a variable
- [mardiaSkew\(\)](#page-60-0) Find Mardia's multivariate skewness of a set of variables
- [mardiaKurtosis\(\)](#page-59-0) Find the Mardia's multivariate kurtosis of a set of variables

# Examples

skew(1:5)

splitSample *Randomly Split a Data Set into Halves*

# Description

This function randomly splits a data set into two halves, and saves the resulting data sets to the same folder as the original.

# Usage

```
splitSample(dataset, path = "default", div = 2, type = "default",
 name = "splitSample")
```
#### Arguments



<span id="page-157-0"></span>

# splitSample 159

name Output file name. NOT REQUIRED unless desired output name differs from that of input, or input dataset is an R object. (If input is an R object and name is not specified, name will be "splitSample".)

# Details

This function randomly orders the rows of a data set, divides the data set into two halves, and saves the halves to the same folder as the original data set, preserving the original formatting. Data set type (\*.csv or *.dat) and formatting (headers) are automatically detected, and output data sets will preserve input type and formatting unless specified otherwise. Input can be in the form of a file path (*.dat or \*.csv), or an R object (matrix or dataframe). If input is an R object and path is default, output data sets will be returned as a list object.

# Value

If path = "object", list of output data sets. Otherwise, output will saved to hard drive in the same format as input.

#### Author(s)

Corbin Quick (University of Michigan; <corbinq@umich.edu>)

#### Examples

```
#### Input is .dat file
#splitSample("C:/Users/Default/Desktop/MYDATA.dat")
#### Output saved to "C:/Users/Default/Desktop/" in .dat format
#### Names are "MYDATA_s1.dat" and "MYDATA_s2.dat"
#### Input is R object
```

```
## Split C02 dataset from the datasets package
library(datasets)
splitMyData <- splitSample(CO2, path = "object")
summary(splitMyData[[1]])
summary(splitMyData[[2]])
#### Output object splitMyData becomes list of output data sets
```

```
#### Input is .dat file in "C:/" folder
#splitSample("C:/testdata.dat", path = "C:/Users/Default/Desktop/", type = "csv")
#### Output saved to "C:/Users/Default/Desktop/" in *.csv format
#### Names are "testdata_s1.csv" and "testdata_s2.csv"
```

```
#### Input is R object
#splitSample(myData, path = "C:/Users/Default/Desktop/", name = "splitdata")
#### Output saved to "C:/Users/Default/Desktop/" in *.dat format
#### Names are "splitdata_s1.dat" and "splitdata_s2.dat"
```
<span id="page-159-1"></span><span id="page-159-0"></span>

# Description

Apply Satorra & Saris (1985) method for chi-squared power analysis.

# Usage

```
SSpower(powerModel, n, nparam, popModel, mu, Sigma, fun = "sem",
  alpha = 0.05, ...
```
# Arguments



# Details

Specify all non-zero parameters in a population model, either by using lavaan syntax (popModel) or by submitting a population covariance matrix (Sigma) and optional mean vector (mu) implied by the population model. Then specify an analysis model that places at least one invalid constraint (note the number in the nparam argument).

There is also a Shiny app called "power4SEM" that provides a graphical user interface for this functionality (Jak et al., in press). It can be accessed at <https://sjak.shinyapps.io/power4SEM/>.

#### SSpower 2012 161 and 2012 1

# Author(s)

Alexander M. Schoemann (East Carolina University; <schoemanna@ecu.edu>)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

# References

Satorra, A., & Saris, W. E. (1985). Power of the likelihood ratio test in covariance structure analysis. *Psychometrika, 50*(1), 83–90. [doi:10.1007/BF02294150](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02294150)

Jak, S., Jorgensen, T. D., Verdam, M. G., Oort, F. J., & Elffers, L. (2021). Analytical power calculations for structural equation modeling: A tutorial and Shiny app. *Behavior Research Methods, 53*, 1385–1406. [doi:10.3758/s13428020014790](https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-020-01479-0)

# Examples

```
## Specify population values. Note every parameter has a fixed value.
modelP <- '
  f1 = 7*V1 + 7*V2 + 7*V3 + 7*V4f2 =~ .7*V5 + .7*V6 + .7*V7 + .7*V8
  f1 ~~ .3*f2
  f1 ~~ 1*f1
  f2 \sim 1*f2
  V1 ~~ .51*V1
  V2 ~~ .51*V2
  V3 ~~ .51*V3
  V4 ~~ .51*V4
  V5 ~~ .51*V5
  V6 ~~ .51*V6
  V7 ~~ .51*V7
  V8 ~~ .51*V8
## Specify analysis model. Note parameter of interest f1~~f2 is fixed to 0.
modelA <- '
  f1 = \times V1 + V2 + V3 + V4
  f2 =~ V5 + V6 + V7 + V8
 f1 \sim 0*f2
## Calculate power
SSpower(powerModel = modelA, popModel = modelP, n = 150, nparam = 1,
        std.lv = TRUE## Get power for a range of sample sizes
Ns <- seq(100, 500, 40)
Power <- rep(NA, length(Ns))
for(i in 1:length(Ns)) {
  Power[i] <- SSpower(powerModel = modelA, popModel = modelP,
                      n = Ns[i], nparam = 1, std.lv = TRUE)
}
plot(x = Ns, y = Power, type = "l", xlab = "Sample Size")
```
## Optionally specify different values for multiple populations

```
modelP2 <- '
  f1 =~ .7*V1 + .7*V2 + .7*V3 + .7*V4
  f2 =~ .7*V5 + .7*V6 + .7*V7 + .7*V8
  f1 \sim c(-.3, .3)*f2 # DIFFERENT ACROSS GROUPS
  f1 ~~ 1*f1
  f2 ~~ 1*f2
  V1 ~~ .51*V1
  V2 ~~ .51*V2
  V3 ~~ .51*V3
  V4 \sim .51*V4V5 ~~ .51*V5
  V6 ~~ .51*V6
  V7 ~~ .51*V7
  V8 ~~ .51*V8
modelA2 <- '
  f1 = V1 + V2 + V3 + V4f2 =~ V5 + V6 + V7 + V8
 f1 ~~ c(psi21, psi21)*f2 # EQUALITY CONSTRAINT ACROSS GROUPS
## Calculate power
SSpower(powerModel = modelA2, popModel = modelP2, n = c(100, 100), nparam = 1,
        std.lv = TRUE)
## Get power for a range of sample sizes
Ns2 \leq \text{cbind}(Group1 = seq(10, 100, 10), Group2 = seq(10, 100, 10))Power2 \leq apply(Ns2, MARGIN = 1, FUN = function(nn) {
  SSpower(powerModel = modelA2, popModel = modelP2, n = nn,
         nparam = 1, std.W = TRUE)
})
plot(x = rowSums(Ns2), y = Power2, type = "1", xlab = "Total Sample Size",ylim = 0:1abline(h = c(.8, .9), lty = c("dotted", "dashed"))legend("bottomright", c("80% Power","90% Power"), lty = c("dotted","dashed"))
```


# Description

This function computes Tukey's WSD post hoc test of means when variances and sample sizes are not equal across groups. It can be used as a post hoc test when comparing latent means in multiple group SEM.

#### Usage

```
tukeySEM(m1, m2, var1, var2, n1, n2, ng)
```
# tukeySEM 163

#### Arguments



# Details

After conducting an omnibus test of means across three of more groups, researchers often wish to know which sets of means differ at a particular Type I error rate. Tukey's WSD test holds the error rate stable across multiple comparisons of means. This function implements an adaptation of Tukey's WSD test from Maxwell & Delaney (2004), that allows variances and sample sizes to differ across groups.

# Value

A vector with three elements:

- 1. q: The *q* statistic
- 2. df: The degrees of freedom for the *q* statistic
- 3. p: A *p* value based on the *q* statistic, *df*, and the total number of groups to be compared

# Author(s)

Alexander M. Schoemann (East Carolina University; <schoemanna@ecu.edu>)

# References

Maxwell, S. E., & Delaney, H. D. (2004). *Designing experiments and analyzing data: A model comparison perspective* (2nd ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

# Examples

```
## For a case where three groups have been compared:
## Group 1: mean = 3.91, var = 0.46, n = 246
## Group 2: mean = 3.96, var = 0.62, n = 465
## Group 3: mean = 2.94, var = 1.07, n = 64
## compare group 1 and group 2
tukeySEM(3.91, 3.96, 0.46, 0.62, 246, 425, 3)
## compare group 1 and group 3
tukeySEM(3.91, 2.94, 0.46, 1.07, 246, 64, 3)
```
<span id="page-163-1"></span>164 twostage

```
## compare group 2 and group 3
tukeySEM(3.96, 2.94, 0.62, 1.07, 465, 64, 3)
```
<span id="page-163-0"></span>twostage *Fit a lavaan model using 2-Stage Maximum Likelihood (TSML) estimation for missing data.*

# Description

This function automates 2-Stage Maximum Likelihood (TSML) estimation, optionally with auxiliary variables. Step 1 involves fitting a saturated model to the partially observed data set (to variables in the hypothesized model as well as auxiliary variables related to missingness). Step 2 involves fitting the hypothesized model to the model-implied means and covariance matrix (also called the "EM" means and covariance matrix) as if they were complete data. Step 3 involves correcting the Step-2 standard errors (*SE*s) and chi-squared statistic to account for additional uncertainty due to missing data (using information from Step 1; see References section for sources with formulas).

#### Usage

twostage(..., aux, fun, baseline.model = NULL)  $lavaan.2stage(..., aux = NULL, baseline_model = NULL)$  $cfa.2stage(..., aux = NULL, baseline.model = NULL)$ sem.2stage(..., aux = NULL, baseline.model = NULL)  $growth.2stage(..., aux = NULL, baseline.model = NULL)$ 

# Arguments



#### <span id="page-164-0"></span>twostage 165

#### Details

All variables (including auxiliary variables) are treated as endogenous varaibles in the Step-1 saturated model (fixed.x = FALSE), so data are assumed continuous, although not necessarily multivariate normal (dummy-coded auxiliary variables may be included in Step 1, but categorical endogenous variables in the Step-2 hypothesized model are not allowed). To avoid assuming multivariate normality, request se = "robust.huber.white". CAUTION: In addition to setting fixed.x = FALSE and conditional.x = FALSE in lavaan:: lavaan(), this function will automatically set meanstructure = TRUE, estimator = "ML", missing = "fiml", and test = "standard". [lavaan::lavaan\(\)](#page-0-0)'s se option can only be set to "standard" to assume multivariate normality or to "robust.huber.white" to relax that assumption.

# Value

The [twostage](#page-165-0) object contains 3 fitted lavaan models (saturated, target/hypothesized, and baseline) as well as the names of auxiliary variables. None of the individual models provide the correct model results (except the point estimates in the target model are unbiased). Use the methods in [twostage](#page-165-0) to extract corrected *SE*s and test statistics.

# Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

#### References

Savalei, V., & Bentler, P. M. (2009). A two-stage approach to missing data: Theory and application to auxiliary variables. *Structural Equation Modeling, 16*(3), 477–497. [doi:10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705510903008238) [10705510903008238](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705510903008238)

Savalei, V., & Falk, C. F. (2014). Robust two-stage approach outperforms robust full information maximum likelihood with incomplete nonnormal data. *Structural Equation Modeling, 21*(2), 280– 302. [doi:10.1080/10705511.2014.882692](https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2014.882692)

# See Also

[twostage](#page-165-0)

#### Examples

```
## impose missing data for example
HSMiss <- HolzingerSwineford1939[ , c(paste("x", 1:9, sep = ""),
                                     "ageyr","agemo","school")]
set.seed(12345)
HSMiss$x5 <- ifelse(HSMiss$x5 <= quantile(HSMiss$x5, .3), NA, HSMiss$x5)
age <- HSMiss$ageyr + HSMiss$agemo/12
HSMiss$x9 <- ifelse(age <= quantile(age, .3), NA, HSMiss$x9)
## specify CFA model from lavaan's ?cfa help page
HS.model <- '
 visual = x1 + x2 + x3textual = x4 + x5 + x6speed = x7 + x8 + x9
```

```
## use ageyr and agemo as auxiliary variables
out <- cfa.2stage(model = HS.model, data = HSMiss, aux = c("ageyr","agemo"))
## two versions of a corrected chi-squared test results are shown
out
## see Savalei & Bentler (2009) and Savalei & Falk (2014) for details
## the summary additionally provides the parameter estimates with corrected
## standard errors, test statistics, and confidence intervals, along with
## any other options that can be passed to parameterEstimates()
summary(out, standardized = TRUE)
## use parameter labels to fit a more constrained model
modc <- '
  visual = x1 + x2 + x3textual = x4 + x5 + x6speed = x7 + ax + 8 + ax + 9outc <- cfa.2stage(model = modc, data = HSMiss, aux = c("ageyr","agemo"))
## use the anova() method to test this constraint
anova(out, outc)
## like for a single model, two corrected statistics are provided
```
<span id="page-165-0"></span>twostage-class *Class for the Results of 2-Stage Maximum Likelihood (TSML) Estimation for Missing Data*

### Description

This class contains the results of 2-Stage Maximum Likelihood (TSML) estimation for missing data. The summary, anova, vcov methods return corrected *SE*s and test statistics. Other methods are simply wrappers around the corresponding [lavaan](#page-0-0) methods.

#### Usage

## S4 method for signature 'twostage' show(object) ## S4 method for signature 'twostage' summary(object, ...) ## S4 method for signature 'twostage'

```
anova(object, h1 = NULL, baseline = FALSE)## S4 method for signature 'twostage'
nobs(object, type = c("ntotal", "ngroups",
  "n.per.group", "norig", "patterns", "coverage"))
## S4 method for signature 'twostage'
coef(object, type = c("free", "user"))
## S4 method for signature 'twostage'
vcov(object, baseline = FALSE)
## S4 method for signature 'twostage'
fitted.values(object, model = c("target", "saturated",
  "baseline"), type = "moments", labels = TRUE)
## S4 method for signature 'twostage'
fitted(object, model = c("target", "saturated",
  "baseline"), type = "moments", labels = TRUE)
## S4 method for signature 'twostage'
residuals(object, type = c("raw", "cor", "normalized",
  "standardized"))
## S4 method for signature 'twostage'
resid(object, type = c("raw", "cor", "normalized",
  "standardized"))
```
#### Arguments



# Value



results of the anova method, as well as the header of the (uncorrected) target model results.

- <span id="page-167-0"></span>summary signature(object = "twostage", ...): The summary function prints the same information from the show method, but also provides (and returns) the output of parameterEstimates(object@target, ...) with corrected *SE*s, test statistics, and confidence intervals. Additional arguments can be passed to [lavaan::parameterEstimates\(\)](#page-0-0), including fmi = TRUE to provide an estimate of the fraction of missing information.
- anova signature(object = "twostage", h1 = NULL, baseline = FALSE): The anova function returns the residual-based  $\chi^2$  test statistic result, as well as the scaled  $\chi^2$  test statistic result, for the model in the target slot, or for the model in the baseline slot if baseline = TRUE. The user can also provide a single additional twostage object to the h1 argument, in which case anova returns residual-based and scaled  $(\Delta)\chi^2$  test results, under the assumption that the models are nested. The models will be automatically sorted according their degrees of freedom.
- nobs signature(object = "twostage", type = c("ntotal", "ngroups", "n.per.group", "norig", "patterns", "coverag The nobs function will return the total sample sized used in the analysis by default. Also available are the number of groups or the sample size per group, the original sample size (if any rows were deleted because all variables were missing), the missing data patterns, and the matrix of coverage (diagonal is the proportion of sample observed on each variable, and off-diagonal is the proportion observed for both of each pair of variables).
- coef signature(object = "twostage", type = c("free", "user")): This is simply a wrapper around the corresponding [lavaan](#page-0-0) method, providing point estimates from the target slot.
- vcov signature(object = "twostage", baseline = FALSE): Returns the asymptotic covariance matrix of the estimated parameters (corrected for additional uncertainty due to missing data) for the model in the target slot, or for the model in the baseline slot if baseline = TRUE.

fitted.values, fitted

signature(object = "twostage", model = c("target", "saturated", "baseline")): This is simply a wrapper around the corresponding [lavaan](#page-0-0) method, providing model-implied sample moments from the slot specified in the model argument.

residuals, resid

signature(object = "twostage", type = c("raw", "cor", "normalized", "standardized")): This is simply a wrapper around the corresponding [lavaan](#page-0-0) method, providing residuals of the specified type from the target slot.

# **Slots**

saturated A fitted [lavaan](#page-0-0) object containing the saturated model results

target A fitted [lavaan](#page-0-0) object containing the target/hypothesized model results

baseline A fitted [lavaan](#page-0-0) object containing the baseline/null model results

auxNames A character string (potentially of length  $== 0$ ) of any auxiliary variable names, if used

# <span id="page-168-0"></span>twostage-class 169

# Objects from the Class

Objects can be created via the [twostage\(\)](#page-163-0) function.

# Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

# See Also

[twostage\(\)](#page-163-0)

# Examples

# See the example from the twostage function

# **Index**

```
∗ datasets
    dat2way, 26
    dat3way, 27
    datCat, 28
    exLong, 33
    simParcel, 154
anova,OLDlavaan.mi-method
        (OLDlavaan.mi-class), 92
anova,twostage-method (twostage-class),
         166
as.character,measEq.syntax-method
        (measEq.syntax-class), 74
auxiliary, 3
auxiliary(), 69, 154
AVE, 5
AVE(), 154
base::options(), 112
base::path.expand(), 133
base::RNGkind(), 113
base::set.seed(), 113
blavaan::blavaan, 121
BootMiss, 10, 11
BootMiss-class, 8
bsBootMiss, 9
bsBootMiss(), 9, 154
c(), 80
ceiling(), 121
cfa.2stage (twostage), 164
cfa.auxiliary (auxiliary), 3
chisqSmallN, 11
chisqSmallN(), 153
clipboard, 13
clipboard(), 17, 40
coef,OLDlavaan.mi-method
        (OLDlavaan.mi-class), 92
coef,twostage-method (twostage-class),
         166
```
combinequark, [15](#page-14-1) combinequark(), *[151,](#page-150-0) [152](#page-151-0)*, *[154](#page-153-0)* compareFit, [16](#page-15-0) compareFit(), *[40](#page-39-0)*, *[69](#page-68-0)*, *[95](#page-94-0)* compRelSEM, [18](#page-17-1) compRelSEM(), *[7](#page-6-0)*, *[154](#page-153-0)* dat2way, [26](#page-25-0) dat3way, [27](#page-26-0) datCat, [28](#page-27-0) dimnames(), *[58](#page-57-0)* discriminantValidity, [29](#page-28-0) do.call(), *[4](#page-3-0)* EFA-class, [31](#page-30-0) efa.ekc, [32](#page-31-1) efa.ekc(), *[154](#page-153-0)* efaUnrotate, *[31](#page-30-0)* emm\_basis.lavaan *(*lavaan2emmeans*)*, [53](#page-52-0) exLong, [33](#page-32-0) findRMSEApower, [34](#page-33-1) findRMSEApower(), *[37,](#page-36-1) [38](#page-37-1)*, *[129](#page-128-1)*, *[131](#page-130-1)*, *[153](#page-152-0)* findRMSEApowernested, [36](#page-35-1) findRMSEApowernested(), *[39](#page-38-0)*, *[132](#page-131-1)*, *[153](#page-152-0)* findRMSEAsamplesize, [37](#page-36-1) findRMSEAsamplesize(), *[35](#page-34-0)*, *[129](#page-128-1)*, *[131](#page-130-1)*, *[153](#page-152-0)* findRMSEAsamplesizenested, [38](#page-37-1) findRMSEAsamplesizenested(), *[36](#page-35-1)*, *[132](#page-131-1)*, *[153](#page-152-0)* FitDiff, *[13,](#page-12-0) [14](#page-13-0)*, *[16,](#page-15-0) [17](#page-16-0)* FitDiff-class, [39](#page-38-0) fitMeasures(), *[16](#page-15-0)* fitMeasures,OLDlavaan.mi-method *(*OLDlavaan.mi-class*)*, [92](#page-91-0) fitmeasures,OLDlavaan.mi-method *(*OLDlavaan.mi-class*)*, [92](#page-91-0) fitted,OLDlavaan.mi-method *(*OLDlavaan.mi-class*)*, [92](#page-91-0)

# INDEX 171

fitted, twostage-method *(*twostage-class*)*, [166](#page-165-1) fitted.values,OLDlavaan.mi-method *(*OLDlavaan.mi-class*)*, [92](#page-91-0) fitted.values,twostage-method *(*twostage-class*)*, [166](#page-165-1) fmi, [41](#page-40-1) fmi(), *[154](#page-153-0)* graphics::hist(), *[8](#page-7-0)*, *[81](#page-80-0)*, *[119](#page-118-0)* graphics::legend(), *[8](#page-7-0)*, *[119](#page-118-0)* growth.2stage *(*twostage*)*, [164](#page-163-1) growth.auxiliary *(*auxiliary*)*, [3](#page-2-1) hist,BootMiss-method *(*BootMiss-class*)*, [8](#page-7-0) hist,permuteMeasEq-method *(*permuteMeasEq-class*)*, [118](#page-117-0) htmt, [43](#page-42-0) imposeStart, [45](#page-44-0) indProd, [48](#page-47-2) indProd(), *[126](#page-125-0)*, *[138,](#page-137-0) [139](#page-138-0)*, *[141,](#page-140-0) [142](#page-141-0)*, *[144](#page-143-0)*, *[146](#page-145-0)*, *[148,](#page-147-0) [149](#page-148-0)*, *[153,](#page-152-0) [154](#page-153-0)* kd, [50](#page-49-0) kurtosis, [52](#page-51-1) kurtosis(), *[61,](#page-60-1) [62](#page-61-0)*, *[154](#page-153-0)*, *[158](#page-157-0)* lavaan, *[4,](#page-3-0) [5](#page-4-1)*, *[12,](#page-11-0) [13](#page-12-0)*, *[16](#page-15-0)*, *[18](#page-17-1)*, *[29](#page-28-0)*, *[41](#page-40-1)*, *[63](#page-62-1)*, *[65](#page-64-1)*, *[67](#page-66-0)*, *[80,](#page-79-0) [81](#page-80-0)*, *[94,](#page-93-0) [95](#page-94-0)*, *[107](#page-106-0)*, *[137](#page-136-1)*, *[140](#page-139-1)*, *[143](#page-142-1)*, *[147](#page-146-1)*, *[166](#page-165-1)*, *[168](#page-167-0)* lavaan(), *[106,](#page-105-1) [107](#page-106-0)*, *[164](#page-163-1)* lavaan.2stage *(*twostage*)*, [164](#page-163-1) lavaan.auxiliary *(*auxiliary*)*, [3](#page-2-1) lavaan.mi, *[12](#page-11-0)*, *[41](#page-40-1)*, *[122](#page-121-0)* lavaan.mi::lavaan.mi, *[5](#page-4-1)*, *[16](#page-15-0)*, *[18](#page-17-1)*, *[63](#page-62-1)*, *[121,](#page-120-0) [122](#page-121-0)*, *[137,](#page-136-1) [138](#page-137-0)*, *[140,](#page-139-1) [141](#page-140-0)*, *[143–](#page-142-1)[145](#page-144-0)*, *[147,](#page-146-1) [148](#page-147-0)* lavaan.mi::lavaan.mi(), *[42](#page-41-0)*, *[69](#page-68-0)*, *[121,](#page-120-0) [122](#page-121-0)*, *[132](#page-131-1)*, *[134](#page-133-0)*, *[136](#page-135-0)* lavaan.mi::lavTestLRT.mi(), *[12](#page-11-0)*, *[16](#page-15-0)* lavaan2emmeans, [53](#page-52-0) lavaan::cfa(), *[29](#page-28-0)*, *[65,](#page-64-1) [66](#page-65-0)* lavaan::fitMeasures(), *[4](#page-3-0)*, *[14](#page-13-0)*, *[16](#page-15-0)*, *[40](#page-39-0)*, *[93](#page-92-0)[–95](#page-94-0)*, *[97](#page-96-1)*, *[107](#page-106-0)*, *[111](#page-110-0)*, *[134](#page-133-0)* lavaan::lav\_partable\_unrestricted(), *[96](#page-95-0)* lavaan::lavaan, *[121](#page-120-0)* lavaan::lavaan(), *[4](#page-3-0)*, *[10](#page-9-0)*, *[42](#page-41-0)*, *[53](#page-52-0)*, *[65–](#page-64-1)[67](#page-66-0)*, *[69](#page-68-0)*, *[75](#page-74-0)*, *[81](#page-80-0)*, *[97](#page-96-1)*, *[114](#page-113-0)*, *[121](#page-120-0)*, *[134](#page-133-0)*, *[160](#page-159-1)*, *[165](#page-164-0)*

lavaan::lavaanify(), *[97](#page-96-1)* lavaan::lavaanList(), *[96](#page-95-0)[–98](#page-97-0)*, *[107](#page-106-0)* lavaan::lavCor(), *[32](#page-31-1)*, *[43,](#page-42-0) [44](#page-43-0)* lavaan::lavOptions(), *[10](#page-9-0)*, *[66](#page-65-0)[–68](#page-67-0)*, *[75,](#page-74-0) [76](#page-75-1)*, *[97](#page-96-1)*, *[107](#page-106-0)*, *[134](#page-133-0)*, *[160](#page-159-1)* lavaan::lavParseModelString(), *[67](#page-66-0)* lavaan::lavPredict(), *[121,](#page-120-0) [122](#page-121-0)* lavaan::lavTestLRT(), *[12](#page-11-0)*, *[16](#page-15-0)*, *[94](#page-93-0)*, *[101](#page-100-2)*, *[155](#page-154-1)* lavaan::lavTestScore(), *[113](#page-112-0)*, *[115](#page-114-0)*, *[120](#page-119-0)* lavaan::lavTestWald(), *[102](#page-101-0)*, *[104](#page-103-0)* lavaan::model.syntax(), *[3,](#page-2-1) [4](#page-3-0)*, *[43](#page-42-0)*, *[65](#page-64-1)*, *[75](#page-74-0)*, *[78](#page-77-0)*, *[80,](#page-79-0) [81](#page-80-0)*, *[97](#page-96-1)*, *[111](#page-110-0)*, *[160](#page-159-1)* lavaan::modificationIndices(), *[77](#page-76-0)* lavaan::modindices(), *[95](#page-94-0)* lavaan::parameterEstimates(), *[93](#page-92-0)*, *[95](#page-94-0)*, *[167,](#page-166-0) [168](#page-167-0)* lavaan::parTable(), *[4](#page-3-0)*, *[65](#page-64-1)*, *[120](#page-119-0)* lavaan::simulateData(), *[87](#page-86-1)* lavaanify(), *[106](#page-105-1)* lavaanList, *[92](#page-91-0)*, *[96](#page-95-0)*, *[98](#page-97-0)* lavCor, *[32](#page-31-1)* lavOptions(), *[164](#page-163-1)* lavTestLRT.mi(), *[94,](#page-93-0) [95](#page-94-0)* lavTestWald.mi(), *[93](#page-92-0)* legend(), *[125](#page-124-0)* loadingFromAlpha, [57](#page-56-0) lrv2ord, [58](#page-57-0) mardiaKurtosis, [60](#page-59-1) mardiaKurtosis(), *[53](#page-52-0)*, *[62](#page-61-0)*, *[154](#page-153-0)*, *[158](#page-157-0)* mardiaSkew, [61](#page-60-1) mardiaSkew(), *[53](#page-52-0)*, *[61](#page-60-1)*, *[154](#page-153-0)*, *[158](#page-157-0)* MASS::mvrnorm(), *[26,](#page-25-0) [27](#page-26-0)* matrix(), *[58](#page-57-0)* maximalRelia, [63](#page-62-1) maximalRelia(), *[23](#page-22-0)*, *[154](#page-153-0)* measEq.syntax, [65,](#page-64-1) *[69](#page-68-0)* measEq.syntax(), *[74,](#page-73-0) [75](#page-74-0)*, *[153](#page-152-0)* measEq.syntax-class, [74](#page-73-0) measurementInvariance(), *[101](#page-100-2)*, *[104](#page-103-0)*, *[113](#page-112-0)*, *[115](#page-114-0)* measurementInvarianceCat(), *[101](#page-100-2)*, *[104](#page-103-0)*, *[115](#page-114-0)* mice::mice(), *[151](#page-150-0)* miPowerFit, [76](#page-75-1) miPowerFit(), *[13](#page-12-0)*, *[86](#page-85-0)*, *[92](#page-91-0)*, *[153](#page-152-0)* model.syntax(), *[164](#page-163-1)* monteCarloCI, [80](#page-79-0) monteCarloMed *(*monteCarloCI*)*, [80](#page-79-0)

# 172 INDEX

moreFitIndices, [83](#page-82-1) moreFitIndices(), *[16](#page-15-0)*, *[79](#page-78-0)*, *[92](#page-91-0)*, *[111](#page-110-0)*, *[153](#page-152-0)* mvrnonnorm, [87](#page-86-1) mvrnonnorm(), *[154](#page-153-0)*

Net, *[88](#page-87-0)* net, [88](#page-87-0) net(), *[16](#page-15-0)*, *[90](#page-89-0)* Net-class, [89](#page-88-0) nobs,OLDlavaan.mi-method *(*OLDlavaan.mi-class*)*, [92](#page-91-0) nobs,twostage-method *(*twostage-class*)*, [166](#page-165-1) nullRMSEA, [91](#page-90-1) nullRMSEA(), *[83](#page-82-1)*, *[86](#page-85-0)*, *[153](#page-152-0)*

oblqRotate, *[31](#page-30-0)* OLDlavaan.mi-class, [92](#page-91-0) orthogonalize *(*indProd*)*, [48](#page-47-2) orthogonalize(), *[154](#page-153-0)* orthRotate, *[31](#page-30-0)*

parallel::clusterSetRNGStream(), *[113](#page-112-0)* parallel::detectCores(), *[113](#page-112-0)* parallel::makeCluster(), *[113](#page-112-0)* parcelAllocation, [97](#page-96-1) parcelAllocation(), *[106–](#page-105-1)[108](#page-107-0)*, *[134–](#page-133-0)[136](#page-135-0)*, *[154](#page-153-0)* partialInvariance, [101](#page-100-2) partialInvariance(), *[153](#page-152-0)* partialInvarianceCat *(*partialInvariance*)*, [101](#page-100-2) partialInvarianceCat(), *[153](#page-152-0)* PAVranking, [106](#page-105-1) PAVranking(), *[99](#page-98-0)*, *[134](#page-133-0)*, *[136](#page-135-0)*, *[154](#page-153-0)* permuteMeasEq, [110,](#page-109-1) *[111](#page-110-0)*, *[114](#page-113-0)* permuteMeasEq(), *[119–](#page-118-0)[121](#page-120-0)*, *[153](#page-152-0)* permuteMeasEq-class, [118](#page-117-0) plausibleValues, [121](#page-120-0) plot(), *[125](#page-124-0)* plotProbe, [124](#page-123-1) plotProbe(), *[49](#page-48-0)*, *[139](#page-138-0)*, *[142](#page-141-0)*, *[146](#page-145-0)*, *[149](#page-148-0)*, *[154](#page-153-0)* plotRMSEAdist, [127](#page-126-1) plotRMSEAdist(), *[35](#page-34-0)*, *[38](#page-37-1)*, *[130](#page-129-0)*, *[153](#page-152-0)* plotRMSEApower, [129](#page-128-1) plotRMSEApower(), *[35](#page-34-0)*, *[38](#page-37-1)*, *[129](#page-128-1)*, *[153](#page-152-0)* plotRMSEApowernested, [131](#page-130-1) plotRMSEApowernested(), *[36](#page-35-1)*, *[39](#page-38-0)*, *[153](#page-152-0)* poolMAlloc, [132](#page-131-1)

poolMAlloc(), *[99](#page-98-0)*, *[108](#page-107-0)*, *[154](#page-153-0)* probe2WayMC, [137](#page-136-1) probe2WayMC(), *[49](#page-48-0)*, *[125,](#page-124-0) [126](#page-125-0)*, *[141,](#page-140-0) [142](#page-141-0)*, *[146](#page-145-0)*, *[149](#page-148-0)*, *[154](#page-153-0)* probe2WayRC, [140](#page-139-1) probe2WayRC(), *[49](#page-48-0)*, *[125,](#page-124-0) [126](#page-125-0)*, *[139](#page-138-0)*, *[146](#page-145-0)*, *[149](#page-148-0)*, *[154](#page-153-0)* probe3WayMC, [143](#page-142-1) probe3WayMC(), *[49](#page-48-0)*, *[125,](#page-124-0) [126](#page-125-0)*, *[139](#page-138-0)*, *[142](#page-141-0)*, *[148,](#page-147-0) [149](#page-148-0)*, *[154](#page-153-0)* probe3WayRC, [147](#page-146-1) probe3WayRC(), *[49](#page-48-0)*, *[125,](#page-124-0) [126](#page-125-0)*, *[139](#page-138-0)*, *[142](#page-141-0)*, *[146](#page-145-0)*, *[154](#page-153-0)* quark, [150](#page-149-1) quark(), *[15](#page-14-1)*, *[154](#page-153-0)* recover\_data.lavaan *(*lavaan2emmeans*)*, [53](#page-52-0) reliability(), *[64](#page-63-0)* reliabilityL2(), *[19](#page-18-0)* resid,OLDlavaan.mi-method *(*OLDlavaan.mi-class*)*, [92](#page-91-0) resid,twostage-method *(*twostage-class*)*, [166](#page-165-1) residualCovariate, [152](#page-151-0) residuals,OLDlavaan.mi-method *(*OLDlavaan.mi-class*)*, [92](#page-91-0) residuals,twostage-method *(*twostage-class*)*, [166](#page-165-1) runMI(), *[96](#page-95-0)*, *[122](#page-121-0)* saveFile *(*clipboard*)*, [13](#page-12-0)

sem.2stage *(*twostage*)*, [164](#page-163-1) sem.auxiliary *(*auxiliary*)*, [3](#page-2-1) semTools, [153](#page-152-0) semTools-package *(*semTools*)*, [153](#page-152-0) set.seed(), *[121](#page-120-0)* show,BootMiss-method *(*BootMiss-class*)*, [8](#page-7-0) show,EFA-method *(*EFA-class*)*, [31](#page-30-0) show,FitDiff-method *(*FitDiff-class*)*, [39](#page-38-0) show,measEq.syntax-method *(*measEq.syntax-class*)*, [74](#page-73-0) show,Net-method *(*Net-class*)*, [89](#page-88-0) show,OLDlavaan.mi-method *(*OLDlavaan.mi-class*)*, [92](#page-91-0) show,permuteMeasEq-method *(*permuteMeasEq-class*)*, [118](#page-117-0) show,twostage-method *(*twostage-class*)*, [166](#page-165-1)

# INDEX 173

```
154
simParcel()
, 135
singleParamTest
, 155
singleParamTest()
, 153
skew
, 157
skew()
, 53
, 61
, 62
, 154
splitSample
, 158
160
SSpower()
, 153
stats::cov()
, 60
, 62
stats::p.adjust()
, 101
stats::quantile()
, 80
stats::TukeyHSD()
, 115
summary,BootMiss-method (BootMiss-class)
,
8
summary,EFA-method
(EFA-class
)
, 31
summary,FitDiff-method
(FitDiff-class
)
,
        39
summary,measEq.syntax-method (measEq.syntax-class)
, 74
summary,Net-method
(Net-class
)
, 89
summary,OLDlavaan.mi-method (OLDlavaan.mi-class)
, 92
summary,permuteMeasEq-method (permuteMeasEq-class)
, 118
summary, twostage-method
         (twostage-class)
, 166
```
tukeySEM , [162](#page-161-0) twostage , *[164](#page-163-1)* , [164](#page-163-1) , *[165](#page-164-0)* twostage() , *[154](#page-153-0)* , *[169](#page-168-0)* twostage-class , [166](#page-165-1)

```
update,measEq.syntax-method (measEq.syntax-class
)
, 74
utils::txtProgressBar()
, 97
, 107
utils::write.table()
, 10
, 14
```

```
vcov()
, 81
vcov,OLDlavaan.mi-method (OLDlavaan.mi-class
)
, 92
vcov,twostage-method
(twostage-class
)
,
        166
```